Mitigating income stagnation and volatility in African smallholder agriculture using small reservoir irrigation technology and stochastic programming model

Due to the precarious nature of rainfed crop production, smallholder farmers in Africa are confronted with the peril of insufficient and unstable income (hereinafter referred to as "risk"). While irrigation has been recognized as a means of mitigating this risk, irrigation plans that are well-suited for farmers' risk management are seldom explored. This study introduces a farm management planning model that integrates the production of irrigated crops utilizing a small reservoir with that of rainfed crops. This model is based on the results of a participatory on-farm trial and survey conducted over a five-year period in northern Ghana. Using stochastic programming, the model considers the variability of crop yields, prices, and costs under the prevailing farm, water, and social conditions in order to identify the most effective irrigation cropping patterns that enhance and stabilize income (Fig. 1).

The model analysis revealed three distinct types of optimal cropping based on the level of risk and income: minimal risk, actual risk, and maximal income. To minimize risk, pepper production, which is highly profitable but risky, should be reduced, and rice and leafy vegetables should be grown instead, using a small reservoir. If farmers can tolerate the actual level of risk in rainfed agriculture, it is suggested that they decrease maize production, the primary staple, to a self-sufficient level and expand irrigated rice and leafy vegetable production, which could result in a 60% increase in expected income. Reducing rainfed rice production to a level that enables rice self-sufficiency and increasing pepper production will maximize expected income. Although risk will increase, the income level is expected to exceed that of rainfed agriculture even in the case of a downturn in income (Fig. 2). Note that the investment in irrigation facilities is difficult to recoup in the "minimal risk type" due to the limited increase in expected income. The "maximal income type" can recoup its investment in approximately four years, while the "actual risk type" can do so in approximately eight to twelve years (Fig. 3).

To facilitate the improvement of local cropping systems, a program called BFMgh has been developed to create enhanced farming plans for smallholders in Ghana. The program stores sample data on irrigated and rainfed crops collected during this study and is available on the JIRCAS website. In northern Ghana, attention should be given to potential conflicts over reservoir water usage as some individuals may seek to utilize a portion of the reservoir water for domestic purposes, even if it was constructed for agricultural purposes.

(J. Koide, S. Yokoyama, S. Hirouchi, N. Oka, C. Hirose, S. Yanagihara, M. Oda, W. Oishi [University of Tsukuba])



Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences



Reservoir irrigation Maximal Baseline Minimal Actual (rainfed) risk risk income 100,000 **Doubled income** 80.000 (higher risk) Lowered risk 60,000 (small income gain GHS Expected income 40,000 Standard 20.000 Ι 60% income gains with the deviation same risk level as baseline 0 25 Maximal use of reservoir water 20 Pursuit o Leafy vegetable 15 Rice self-sufficiency ha Irrigated rice 10 Pepper 5 Maize self-Rainfed rice sufficiency Maize 0



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the constructed model

Water allocation to irrigated crops will be optimized based on the available water capacity of the newly constructed reservoir designed to store excess water from the existing reservoir.

Fig. 2. Optimal cropping plan (below) and its income enhancing and stabilizing effect (above)

The results of model analysis for 30 irrigated farmers using the new reservoir (water storage capacity: 5000 m³) constructed at a project site in northern Ghana are shown. The risk denotes the standard deviation of income (bars in the figure).

GHS: Ghanaian Cedi

Fig. 3. Return on investment according to optimal cropping plan and discount rate (10% – 15%)

NPV: the present value of the expected income from each optimal cropping plan minus the investment cost for irrigation facilities (95,624 GHS).

The intersection with zero is the number of years required for investment payback (red arrow in the figure). It varies slightly depending on the discount rate. GHS: Ghanaian Cedi

References: 1) Koide et al. (2021) *Agric. Syst.* 191: 103149. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103149</u> Figures reprinted/modified with permission.

> 2) "BFMgh: Program for creating Improved Farming Plans for African Smallholders" (2022) https://www.jircas.go.jp/ja/database/farm_management_model_for_shfa



Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences