ISSN 0388-9394

Tech. Bull. Trop. Agr. Res. Center, Japan No. 19

# **Technical Bulletin**

of

the Tropical Agriculture Research Center

No. 19

1984

## STUDY ON SOIL PRODUCTIVITY OF PADDY FIELDS IN THAILAND

## SATORU MOTOMURA

ASHARA SEIRAYOSAKOL AND WISIT CHOLITKUL



TROPICAL AGRICULTURE RESEARCH CENTER

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES, JAPAN

Tropical Agriculture Research Center

Director General: Shoichiro NAKAGAWA

-----

Members of the Editorial Board

Kenichi HAYASHI, Chairman Shoshin KONNO Tatsuji TAKAHASHI Fumio IWATA Masanori MIYAKE Genshichi WADA

Editorial Secretary

Toshiharu MACHIMURA

Tropical Agriculture Research Center Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Yatabe, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan Technical Bulletin of the Tropical Agriculture Research Center No. 19

#### STUDY ON SOIL PRODUCTIVITY OF PADDY FIELDS IN THAILAND

# Satoru MOTOMURA<sup>\*</sup>, Ashara SEIRAYOSAKOL<sup>\*\*</sup> and Wisit CHOLITKUL<sup>\*\*</sup>

#### 1984

\*Tropical Agriculture Research Center, Yatabe, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305, Japan (Presently, Saga Prefectural Agricultural Experiment Station, Kawasoe, Saga, 840-23, Japan)

\*\*Soil Chemistry and Fertility Branch, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand.

Tropical Agriculture Research Center Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Yatabe, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Printed by Foundation Norin Kosaikai

#### ABSTRACT

MOTOMURA, S., SEIRAYOSAKOL, A. and CHOLITKUL, W., 1984, Study on soil

productivity of paddy fields in Thailand

Tech. Bull., Trop. Agr. Res. Center, Japan, No. 19

This study was carried out to analyse the production characteristics of paddy soils in Thailand in relation to soil fertility with a view to contributing to the advancement of rice production. For this purpose, observations of soil profiles were made to analyse the soil conditions of the paddy fields, which cover various kinds of soils in representative rice growing areas throughout the whole country. Physical, chemical and clay mineralogical analyses of the soil samples collected during the field observation of the profiles were conducted to identify the soil factors limiting rice production and/or the extent of possible soil damage among the various kinds of soil groups. Based on these results, several appropriate soil management and fertilization practices were suggested.

# CONTENTS

I.	Introduction 1
Π.	Pedogenetic Characteristics of Paddy Soils41. Methods and Locations42. Physiography and Parent Materials of Soils41) Central Valley42) Continental Highlands63) Khorat64) Peninsula63. Morphological Characteristics of Paddy Soils71) Alluvial Soils72) Low Humic Gley Soils83) Humic Gley Soils94) Regosols95) Gray Podzolic Soils96) Non-Calcic Brown Soils97) Grumusols104. Correlation of Paddy Soil Classification105. Summary12
III.	Physical Properties of Paddy Soils141. Materials and Methods142. Results and Discussion141) Particle Size Distribution142) Three-Phase Distribution173) Bulk Density194) Sedimentation Volume of Soil in Water235) Dispersion Ratio256) Maximum Water-Holding Capacity and Moisture Equivalent263. Summary28
IV.	Chemical Properties of Paddy Soils301. Materials and Methods302. Results and Discussion301) Soil Reaction302) Total Carbon, Total Nitrogen and Carbon Nitrogen Ratio333) Cation Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases364) Macronutrients405) Micronutrients and Available Silica496) Absorption Coefficients of Phosphorus and Nitrogen543. Summary56
V.	Clay Mineralogical Properties of Paddy Soils581. Materials and Methods582. Results and Discussion59

	1)Soils from Central Plain52)Soils from North-Eastern Region63)Soils from Northern Region64)Soils from Southern Region65)Classification of Clay Mineral Composition63.Summary6	59 52 54 54 54 59
VI.	Oxidative-Reductive Properties of Paddy Soil71. Materials and Methods72. Results and Discussion71) Changes in Physico-Chemical Properties under Submergence72) Effect of Liming on Soil Reduction73) Effect of Organic Matter on Soil Reduction74) Effect of Intermittent Drainage on Oxidation-Reduction State73. Summary8	70 70 70 70 74 76 77 33
VII.	Production Capability Classification of Paddy Soils81. Methods and Methods82. Results and Discussion81) Marine Alluvial Soils82) Brackish Water Alluvial Soils83) Fresh Water Alluvial Soils84) Low Humic Gley Soils85) Humic Gley Soils86) Regosols87) Grumusols83. Summary8	34 35 35 37 37 37 38 38 38 38 38
VIII.	Summary and Conclusions 9	<del>)</del> 0
	References	<del>)</del> 3
	Appendix91. Analytical Data on Physical Properties92. Analytical Data on Chemical Properties11	)8 )8 [5

#### Study on Soil Productivity of Paddy Fields in Thailand

#### I. Introduction

Thailand is located between  $5^{\circ}$  and  $21^{\circ}$  of north latitude and between  $97^{\circ}$  and  $106^{\circ}$  of east longitude, covering approximately 60 million hectares. Of the total area, farmland accounts for 22 percent, forest and grazing for 51 percent, and the rest includes urban areas, lakes, swamps, rivers, highways, railroad and so on. About 52 percent of the total farmland, that is 6.9 million hectares, is devoted to paddy rice cultivation. For the convenience of statistical consideration, Thailand is divided into four regions, namely the Central Plain with 35 provinces, the North-eastern Region with 15 provinces, the Northern Region with 7 provinces, and the Southern Region with 14 provinces.\*

Though rice is grown in all the regions, the Central Plain which accounts for about 50-55 percent of the total production and about 38 percent of the total acreage of paddy fields, is frequently called the "rice bowl of Thailand." The soils and climatic conditions in this region are particularly suited to paddy rice cultivation. The rice fields in the North-eastern Region are the largest of the four regions of the country, amounting to about 2.7 million hectares. However, the soils are generally characterized by a low fertility and inadequate water supply. Approximately 30 percent of the total area of this region is not suitable for cropping due to shallow soils, low moisture holding capacity, low fertility, and erosion hazards. The Northern Region is chiefly mountainous and forested. Agriculture is limited to the valleys of the Chao Phraya river tributaries. Main rice cultivation areas are Chiang Mai, Lampang, Chiang Rai, Phrae and Nan Basins, totalling about one million hectares. The soils there are the most fertile in Thailand, and multiple cropping system is being promoted in the areas where irrigation water is available. The Southern peninsula is a region of narrow, rugged mountains. Rubber is widely grown on small holdings, but the yield is rather low, and the trees generally are in poor condition. Paddy rice, is also an important crop, but the cultivated area is only 0.5 million hectares.

In most of the country a monsoon type of climate with pronounced wet and dry seasons prevails. The average annual precipitation fluctuates between 1000 mm and 2000 mm, but the rainfall is usually concentrated in six months from May to October and the precipitation during this period often exceeds 85 percent of the total amount. However, the time of rainfall as well as the amount greatly varies from year to year. The delay in the onset of the rainy season frequently interferes with the time of rice planting and a high amount of rainfall often causes severe inundations over a considerable area, resulting in flood damage to rice plant. The average annual temperature ranges between 26°C and 28°C. Although April is the hottest month and January the coldest month, the fluctuations in monthly temperature are usually less than 5°C. With respect to the temperature rice cultivation in Thailand can take place all the year round.

Agriculture plays a major role in the economy of Thailand. Nearly one third of the Gross Domestic Product originates from the agricultural sector, and exports of the country have been mainly depending upon agricultural products, which account for

<sup>\*</sup>Number of provinces: data from 1973

more than 60 percent of the total foreign exchange earnings. Especially, rice has been the most important export product. Thailand is exporting a quantity of rice amounting to 1.0 to 1.5 million metric tons annually in terms of milled rice. However, the increase in the population of Thailand with an annual growth rate of nearly 3 percent has resulted in the rapid expansion of domestic rice consumption. Coping with the population increase of nearly 3 percent, rice production had to be increased by more than 3 percent in order to maintain the same level of exports with a supply sufficient to meet the domestic requirements.

The total annual rice production steadily increased from 5.5 million metric tons in 1957 to 13.7 million metric tons in 1977 (12). This upward trend has mostly been caused by the expansion of rice fields. On the other hand, the average yield per unit area gradually decreased until 1960 presumably because the land has been cultivated without application of any fertilizers for many years. However, yield started to increase from 1961 due to the introduction of improved varieties, use of chemical fertilizers, and control of pests and diseases. Nevertheless, the average yield per unit area, which was estimated at about 1.7 metric tons per hectare in 1977 (12), is still low compared with that in other rice producing countries. Low yield may be ascribed to various factors such as climatic conditions, water supply system, fertilizer application technique, cultivation practices, varieties used, soil fertility and so on. Among those factors, variety improvement has significantly contributed to the increment in rice yield, but it should be emphasized that high yielding varieties are generally more exacting than the native ones in their requirements of fertility management.

A large part of the rice growing area in Thailand has been cultivated without the application of any fertilizers for many years as mentioned before. Consequently, most of the nutrients required for rice plant must be derived from the soil and irrigation water. Therefore, the enhancement of soil fertility is one of the prerequisites to high rice production. The fertility of paddy soils in Thailand varies from area to area as evidenced by the rice yield per unit area. For instance, based on the simple fertilizer trials carried out all over the country from 1964 to 1966, the average yeild following fertilization at the rate of about 95 kg of ammophos fertilizer per hectare, was about 2 tons per hectare in the North-eastern Region, 3 tons in the Central Plain, and 4 tons in the Northern Region, respectively (39). On the other hand, it has been reported that about 6 tons of paddy per hectare were producted in some areas of the Petchabun Province in the Central Plain even without any fertilizer application.

Soil fertility is closely related to the soil forming process including parent materials, the mode of deposition and physiographic position. The first systematic study of soils in Thailand was started by Pendelton in 1935 (64), and under his guidance soil surveys were carried out all over the country. These results have been comprehensively compiled by Montrakul (48). From 1962 onwards, a detailed reconnaissance soil survey has been organized to cover the whole country using aerial photographs and topographic maps under the guidance of FAO staff. A general soil map at a scale of 1,250,000 was prepared and printed in 1968 (49). Moormann and Rajanassonthon outlined the physiographic regions of Thailand and their main soil types, and also Komes (34) described some of the problem soils in this country and discussed methods by which their productivity may be increased. Thereafter, Kawaguchi and his colleagues conducted field studies and laboratory analyses with a view to characterizing paddy soils in Thailand in terms of their materials and fettility (7, 29, 30). Thus the knowledge on paddy soils in Thailand has been increasing both in quantity and quality. However, it is considered that the evaluation of physical,

chemical, biological and mineralogical characteristics of paddy soils in relation to soil fertility is still insufficient to enable the introduction of advanced technology for high production of rice.

This study has been undertaken with the aim to clarify the following aspects:

1) Relationship between the physical, chemical and clay mineralogical properties of paddy soils as reflected by their taxonomic classification and their inherent content or deficiency in various nutrient-elements required for rice plant.

2) Evaluation of the soil limiting factors not only for rice production but also for the introduction of upland crops to paddy fields based upon the soil properties and the environmental conditions.

3) Get an insight into some soil management practices for the enhancement of soil fertility and/or improvement of soil conditions.

This study has been carried out under the cooperation research program between the Agriculture Department (former Rice Department), Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand and the Tropical Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan.

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Dr. Sala Dasananda and Dr. Bhakdi Lusananda, former Directors-General of Rice Department, and to Dr. Sombhot Suwanawong, Soil Specialist of the Department, for their continued administrative support and encouragement throughout the research activities. The authors are greatly indebted to the National Research Council for the friendly advice and coordination for the work in Thailand.

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Dr. Jisuke Takahashi and Dr. Hidetoshi Matsuo, former FAO experts, for their invaluable criticism and encouragement throughout the work. They are also grateful to Dr. Sarot Montrakul, Soil Expert in the Rice Department, and Mr. Pongpit Piyapongse, Chief of Soils and Water Research Branch, for their useful information on the soils. The authors wish to express many thanks to Mr. Chakrapon and Mrs. Kasemsri Sugkaburee for their help in the chemical analyses, to Mr. Banharn Tangcham for his help in the collection of soil samples, and to Mr. Masamoto Araake for his help in the clay mineral analyses.

The authors wish to convey their cordial gratitude to Dr. Noboru Yamada and Dr. Kan-ichi Murakami, former Directors of Tropical Agriculture Research Center, and Dr. Sho-ichiro Nakagawa, Director of the Center, Dr. Kotaro Nagai and Dr. Sukeo Kawanabe, former Chiefs of Research Division, Dr. Tatsuzi Takahashi, Chief of Research Division, Dr. Ken-ichi Hayashi, Chief of Planning and Coordination Division and to all the staff members of the Center for their kind support and suggestions. They also wish to extend their thanks to Dr. Shu-ichi Ishizawa, Dr. Masatada Oyama and Dr. Yasuaki Matsuzaka, former Directors of Soils and Fertilizers Department, National Institute of Agricultural Sciences, and to Dr. Hajime Yokoi, former Chief of the Second Division of Soils in the same Institute for their continued encouragement.

The authors also thank many people whose name cannot be listed here but without the help of which this work would have been impossible.

#### **II.** Pedogenetic Characteristics of Paddy Soils

Paddy rice in Thailand is grown mainly on alluvial lowlands and on the lower part of low terraces. Paddy fields are composed of a wide range of natural soils showing great variations in their morphology and physical and chemical properties. In this chapter, physiographical and morphological characteristics of paddy soils will be discussed through the field studies.

#### 1. Methods and Locations

During the field studies, at the selected sites, a pit one meter deep, wherever possible, was dug so that the soil profile could be examined. The methods of profile examination have been described in a previous publication (58).

Several field trips to various parts of Thailand were made to analyse soil profiles of paddy fields and to take soil samples. The sites for field studies and soil sampling were selected so as to include various kinds of soil groups and to cover representative soils of paddy fields all over the country. Throughout the whole country, 71 profiles in the Central Plain, 44 profiles in the North-eastern Region, 37 profiles in the Northern Region, and 24 profiles in the Southern Region were studied. The names of the soil groups used in this study follow the terminology adopted in the report on "The Soils of the Kingdom of Thailand" published by Mooremann and Rajanasoonthon (50).

#### 2. Physiography and Parent Materials of Soils

Mainly based upon the similarity of internal landform and climatic conditions, Pendelton (64) divided Thailand physiographically into the following four regions as shown in Fig. 1.

1) Central Valley

The major parts of the Central Valley are covered with Quaternary Alluvium. The Central Valley is subdivided into three physiographic sub-regions, that is, Bangkok Plain, Upper Plain and Marginal Plain.

The Bankgkok Plain has two main physiographic units, namely recent alluvial plains and semi-recent terraces. The surface deposits of the Bangkok Plain are derived from riverine alluvium in the northern part and from marine alluvium in the southern part with a transition to brackish water in the central part. The recent alluvial plains have very few topographic features except for natural levees along the river channels. The semi-recent terraces formed by the rivers are located on the upper part of the Central Valley. In general, the landform of the semi-recent terraces is flat to slightly undulating.

In the Upper Plain and Marginal Plain, five main physiographic units are distinguished: recent alluvial plains, semi-recent terraces, low terraces, high terraces and hills. The recent alluvial plains and semi-recent terraces are formed by the sediments transported from the Chao Phraya, the Mae Klong, the Pasak and the Prachin rivers and their landform is flat to gently undulating. The lower part of the low terraces has a flat to slightly undulating landform. The high terraces and hills are fairly high above the recent alluvial plains, having an undulating or rolling topography. The hills consist of various kinds of rocks, varying from granite and quatzite to andesite and limestone. Paleozoic limestone also covers a considerable part of the western mountains and the eastern valley. Granite, gneiss and schist occurring in the catchment area of the Ping and the Wang rivers are the source of



Figure 1. Physiographic Regions of Thailand, after R. L. Pendelton.

the muscovite fragments found in the levees of the Central Valley. Some effusion rocks (rhyolite, and esite and basalt) also are found in the eastern part of the Central Valley.

Important paddy field areas in Thailand cover most of the Central Valley, and both broadcast and transplanted rice is grown.

2)Continental Highlands

> Structurally this region is a part of the extension of the Himalaya folded mountain system into Southeast Asia and divided into two sub-regions, that is, Northern Hills and Valley, and Western Mountains.

> In the Northern Hills and Valley, there are five physiographic units: recent alluvial plains, semi-recent terraces, low terraces and hills.

> The recent alluvial plains are situated in the lowest part of the flat lands along the Ping, the Yom, the Wang and the Nan rivers. The materials of these basins are composed mainly of fine-textured sediments from those rivers, but in some places, more coarser, mica-containing materials are deposited, reflecting the nature of the parent rocks. The semi-recent terraces extend between the recent alluvial plains and low terraces. The low terraces are subdivided into higher and lower parts. The lower part of the low terraces is flat to slightly undulating or gently sloping towards the recent alluvial plains. The higher portion of the low terraces is in contact with either the higher terraces or hills. The materials of the semi-recent terraces are loamy to clayey in the surface layer and a little heavier in the lower layer. The hills have three sub-regions, namely inter-mountain plateau, incised mountain plateau, and steep mountain land. The rocks in the hills include both igneous and sedimentary rocks that have undergone locally varying degree of metamorphism. Older granite and gneiss predominate among the igneous rocks. The sedimentary rocks consist of the Silurian-Devonian Kanchanaburi Formation containing mainly shale, sandstone and phyllite.

> Paddy fields are mostly on the recent alluvial plains and semi-recent terraces, and scattered on the lower part of the low terraces.

3) Khorat

> The landform in the Khorat region consists of alluvial plains, terraces of three levels (low, middle, and high) and hills and mountains.

> The alluvial plains stretch as a narrow strip along the Mun, the Chi and the Mekong Rivers. The low terraces which are mostly found in this region, are flat to slightly undulating. The middle terraces are mainly seen in the northern part of the Khorat plateau. The topography of the middle terraces is generally undulating. The high terraces occur in small areas. Hills are scattered throughout the region. The bed rocks belong to the Mesozoic Khorat series characterized by a continental sequence of sandstone, siltstone, shale and conglomerate. Limestone occurs as a minor inclusion and basalt crops out near Buri Ram and elsewhere.

Paddy fields are concentrated in the present river alluvium and in the low terraces throughout the region. The lower part of the undulating middle terraces is also used for rice, whereas the higher areas are usually cultivated with field crops. 4) Peninsula

The Peninsula in Thailand is divided into two physiographic sub-regions, that is, East Coast and West Cost, being bordered by the hilly uplands and mountains located in the center of the peninsula.

The East Coast along the Gulf of Thailand has a smooth shoreline backed by broad coastal plains and marine terraces. The plains occur between the terraces and the tidal flats associated with the estuaries or lagoons. The topography of the coastal plains is generally flat. The tidal flats and swamps are fringing the lagoons and estuaries. A small area of recent brackish water alluvium is found in spots along the muddy estuaries and the lagoons.

The West Coast along the Andaman Sea is characterized by the existence of drowned rivers or estuaries, rocky headlines and off-shore islands. As a result of the presence of extensive hill ranges in close proximity of the present shoreline, marine and river terrace formations are restricted to narrow strips between the hills. In places, narrow coastal plains occur between the low terraces and tidal flats. Sandy beach formations are of limited occurrence compared with the eastern coast.

The geology of the Peninsula is more complicated than that of the other regions. The main rocks are igneous and sedimentary rocks. The predominant form of granite in the Peninsula is referred to as young granite in Thailand, and is thought to have intruded in the Later Cretaceous of Early Tertiary era. The sedimentary rocks are composed of the Phuket series, Kanchanaburi series, Ratchaburi series and Korat series.

Limited rice cultivation is practiced in the plains and lower terraces.

#### 3. Morphological Characteristics of Paddy Soils

According to Moormann and Rajanasoonthon (50), most of the soils used for rice cultivation in Thailand belong to two soil groups, namely Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils. And, only locally are Humic Gley soils, Regosols, Gray Podzolic Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, and Grumusols cultivated for paddy rice. Soils cultivated for rice are kept submerged either naturally or artificially at least three to four months a year during the growing period of rice plant. Thus, soils that have long been cultivated with rice are known to have acquired special morphological features and to show special physical and chemical changes induced by cultivation (44, 51, 57, 67, 89). The morphological characteristics of each soil group used for rice cultivation are briefly outlined as follows:

#### 1) Alluvial Soils

Alluvial Soils are formed on recent alluvium and are found in flooded plains and valleys throughout the country. They are sub-divided into three subgroups based upon the kinds of materials deposited by water, that is, Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Fresh Water Alluvial Soils.

(1) Marine alluvial Soils: Marine Alluvial Soils derived from marine sediments occur on the tidal flats which are regularly inundated by sea water during high tide, on the slightly higher parts of the tidal flats and on the estuarine area which are never, or hardly flooded by sea water. They also occur on the more inland part of the Chao Phraya river which is now free of tidal flooding. Marine Alluvial Soils are usually very fine-textured soils with an Apg-Cg horizon sequence. The Apg horizons are composed of brownish black to brownish gray heavy clay with common, distinct iron mottles along root channels overlying dark to brownish gray, plastic and sticky heavy clay with few to common, faint yellowish spotty or cloudy iron mottles and sometimes with manganese mottles. Although the area of Marine Alluvial Soils is flooded for several months during the year, typical gley horizons are usually not recognized within a depth of 1 meter from the surface in the dry season, but dark olive gray mudclay occurs at a depth of more than 1 meter in many places. In some places, the Cg horizons contain some gypsum needles. There are also many deep cracks in

the dry season and slickensides are common in the Cg horizons. Ped faces and pore wall of the Cg horizons often have clay coatings, some of which showing clear signs of clay movement.

- (2) Brackish Water Alluvial soils: Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are formed on brackish water alluvium in former tidal flats, or in depressions behind beach ridges. They are distributed widely in the Central Plain and seldom occur in the Southern Region. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are characterized by strongly acid heavy clay soils with "straw yellow" mottles, so called cat-clay, starting at a depth of about 30 cm from the surface. The profiles have an Apg-(Bg)-Cg horizon sequence. The Apg horizons are black to brownish black with few to common, distinct reddish brown to brown iron mottles along root channels. The Bg horizons consist of brownish gray heavy clay with common, prominent red, vellowish brown and dark brown iron mottles and "straw vellow" mottles, where the Bg horizons are present. The Cg horizons consist of gravish brown or gray nearly unripen heavy clay with common to few, prominent red and/or vellowish brown and "straw vellow" mottles. Neither manganese mottles nor concretions are present throughout the profiles. In some places, gypsum needles occur in the subsoils. Many wide and deep cracks are formed during the dry season. Slickensides and clay coating are observed in the subsoils.
- (3) Fresh Water Alluvial Soils: Fresh Water Alluvial Soils are formed on fresh water alluvium (mainly riverine deposits, in some places lacustrine sediments), in the river basin area of flooded plains and valleys, and on the levees bordering the rivers. They are widely found all over the country, but show great variations in physical and chemical features, reflecting the nature of the parent materials in the catchment area of the river. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils in the Central Plain, the Northern Region and the Southern Region are usually fine-textured soils with an Apg-(Bg)-Cg horizon sequence, but those in the North-eastern region are commonly coarse-textured soils except the soils along the Mun and the Mekong rivers, which are usually clayey. Soil color of the Apg horizon greatly varies with the location, the hue ranging from 5YR to 5Y, and the soil color generally becomes more gravish with the depth. The Apg horizons have common, distinct brown to yellowish brown, reddish brown or bright brown spotty or cloudy iron mottles with often common to many manganese concretions. Occasionally, slickensides are present in the lower parts of the Bg horizons and in the Cg horizons, especially when the soil texture is heavy.
- 2) Low Humic Gley Soils

Low Humic Gley Soils are predominantly formed on semi-recent alluvium or old alluvium and situated on semi-recent terraces, low terraces and on lowlying depressions of middle terraces throughout the country.

There are considerable differences in their morphological characteristics depending on the age of the alluvial sediments on which they were formed. They are usually deep soils with an Apg-Bg-Cg horizon sequence, but in some places, they are shallow due to the presence of semi-consolidated and/or consolidated iron-manganese stones which occur within a depth of 50 cm from the surface. Such shallow soils are found in the North-eastern Region. Texture of this soil group ranges from clay to loamy sand. Generally speaking, the soil texture is fine to very fine in the Central Plain, fine to medium in the Northern Region and medium to coarse in the North-eastern Region. The subsoils become usually more clayey than the surface soils, indicating signs of textural B horizon formation. At the present

stage, it is considered that a cambic horizon is present but not an argillic one. Soil color of the Apg horizons mostly is 10 YR or 7.5 YR in hue, but chroma and value show considerable variations. Soil color of the subsoils ranges from 5 Y to 5 YR in hue, 1 to 6 in chroma and 2 to 7 in value. In general, Low Humic Gley Soils in the North-eastern Region have higher value in both chroma and value than those in the other regions. They are mottled throughout the profiles with a shade of yellowish, brownish and reddish color. In many places, ferro-manganese concretions are present in the Bg and/or Cg horizons. In the soils on older alluvium, lateritic concretions occur in the subsoils, mostly as individual concretions but sometimes as large sheets which become very hard when exposed to the air.

3) Humic Gley Soils

Humic Gley Soils in Thailand are formed on semi-recent alluvium derived from calcareous rocks, on flooded plains and low terraces of inter-mountainous valleys. They are distributed to a small extent in the Chiang Rai basin. They are characterized by a black or brownish gray Apg horizon overlying a brownish gray or gray Bg horizon. They are usually fine-textured soils throughout the profile. Common, distinct yellowish brown or bright brown iron mottles occur throughout the profile and iron-manganese concretions may be present in the subsoils. Soil structure develops moderately to strongly. Well developed crumb or granular structure is observed along the root residuals and moderate, medium prismatic structure in the Bg horizon. In the dry season, the soils show deep cracks.

4) Regosols

Regosols are formed on old alluvium on higher part of low terraces or lower part of middle terraces. They are distributed mainly in the North-eastern Region. They are sandy in texture to a considerable depth. The profile is composed of Ap and C horizons. A horizons consist of light brown or greyish brown loamy sand overlying AC or C horizon made of light brown or light reddish brown loamy sand. Mottles usually are limited to the surface. This soil group was formerly called Regosolic Low Humic Gley Soils (11), and thereafter named Hydromorphic Regosols by Kittayarak (32). In some places, a white crust of salts appears on the surface soil in the dry season, due to the presence of salt deposits in the substratum.

5) Gray Podzolic Soils

Gray Podzolic Soils are only sporadically used for paddy cultivation. They are formed on semi-recent alluvium or colluvium derived from coarse-textured materials such as granite or sandstone on low terraces. Their profiles are rather uniform with a very weak horizon differentiation. The majority of these soils have a medium to coarse texture, namely sandy loam or loamy sand in the surface horizon, showing a light gray color when dry and changing to a (dark) grayish brown color when moist. The subsoil usually is yellowish brown. Few to common, faint yellowish brown iron mottles are present in some places. A weak compacted layer is commonly found beneath the A horizon.

6) Non-Calcic Brown Soils

Non-Calcic Brown Soils are formed on semi-recent alluvium and are situated on semi-recent terraces, on the transitional area between river levees and the relatively higher part of semi-recent terraces, and on the border between the present flooded plains and the semi-recent terraces. Their topography is almost flat to slightly undulating. These soils are scattered in every region.

Non-Calcic Brown Soils have a loamy to clayey texture with an Apg-Bg-Cg horizon sequence. The subsurface texture is finer than that of the surface due to

the formation of a textural B horizon. Soil color is deep dark gray, gravish brown, or dark gravish brown in the Apg horizon and dark gravish brown, gravish brown or dark brown in the Bg horizon. Common distrinct vellowish brown iron mottles occur in the Apg horizon along root channels and commmon to many, distinct dark brown, yellowish brown, bright brown, and locally reddish brown spotty iron mottles in the Bg horizon. Sometimes manganese concretions are present in the Bg horizon. There is a weak to moderate development of clay coating in the Bg horizon. Grumusols

7)

Grumusols used for the cultivation of paddy rice are limited to the Lop Buri province in the Central Plain. Grumusols are formed on alluvium or colluvium derived from limestone and occur on semi-recent terraces associated with limestone hills. The profile of Grumusols is characterized by heavy clay containing predominantly montmorillonitic clay minerals with an Ap-C horizon sequence. Most commonly, Ap horizons are black or very dark gravish brown in color to a considerable depth. When dry, wide and deep crakes appear being often over 4 cm in width. The first few rains in the beginning of the rainy season may be percolated fairly rapidly, but once the clay swells, further precipitation has little or no chance to penetrate through the soil column. This shrink-swell pattern of the clays is evidenced by the slickensides and pressure faces occuring in the subsoils. Lime concretions are present throughout the profile, but neither iron nor manganese mottles are usually observed.

#### 4. Correlation of Paddy Soil Classification

Several soil classification systems have been established in many countries in the past, and it is quite probable that more will be proposed in the future.

The soils of Thailand have been classified so far in various ways. Among them, soil groups are generally used as soil classification units in reconnaissance soil survey. This system is fundamentally based upon the predominant parent materials and the soil characteristics in association with the physiographic position (50). At present, the Soil Survey Staff of the Soil Survey Divison, Department of Land Development in Thailand, have been adopting the soil series as the mapping units in semi-detailed soil surveys. So far more than 150 soil series have been identified all over the country including upland soils (9).

In Japan, since the 1930s, many attempts have been made to classify paddy soils (26, 28, 41, 42, 60, 85, 92). At present, arable land in Japan has been classified into sixteen soil groups in considering diagnostic horizons such as gleyed horizon, gray-colored horizon, volcanic ash horizon in the profile, parent materials and mode of deposition and so forth (2).

On the other hand, a new system of soil classification, "A Comprehensive System, 7th Approximation" has been officially adopted by USDA. Based upon the presence or absence of diagnostic horizons, there are ten soil orders in this system. (86, 87, 88)

As these systems greatly differ in their classification criteria each soil group classified in a given system needs to be correlated for a better understanding of the soils. For this purpose, soil groups or subgroups used in Thailand are correlated with the great group of the USDA system and major soil groups in Japan as shown in Table 1. In addition, names of soil series employed in the Soil Survey Division, Department of Land Development are indicated when identified.

Thailand's system	USDA system	Japanese system
Marine Alluvial Soils	Tropaquent.	Gray Lowland Soils, partly Gley Soils.
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Tropaquent, partly Tropaquept.	Gray Lowland Soils.
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Tropaquept, Tropaquent.	Gray Lowland Soils, Brown Lowland Soils.
Low Humic Gley Soils	Tropaquept, partly Plintaquult.	Gray Lowland Soils, Brown Lowland Soils, partly Yellow Soils.
Humic Gley Soils	Tropaquept.	Gray Lowland Soils.
Hydromorphic Regosols	Ustipsamment, Psammaquent.	Brown Lowland Soils, Yellow Soils.
Hydromorphic Gray Podzolic Soils	Dystropaquept.	Gray Lowland Soils, Gray Upland Soils.
Hydromorphic Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Tropaqualf.	Brown Lowland Soils. Gray Lowland Soils.
Grumusols	Pellustert.	

Table 1. Correlation of Soil Groups in Paddy Soil among Three Classification Systems

All of the Marine Alluvial Soils investigated in this study belong to the Tropaquents in the USDA system because there is no distinct pedogenetic horizon due to the lack of time to develop a diagnostic horizon. But, in some areas, the formation of a plow-sole is observed and cambic horizons are weakly developed. According to the classification system of Japan, most of the Marine Alluvial Soils are classified as Gray Lowland Soils and partly as Gley Soils. Based on the presence or absence of gypsum, color of mottles and texture, Marine Alluvial Soils examined here were grouped into the following soil series; Bang Leng (presence of gypsum), Bang Khen (presence of gypsum and red iron mottles), Samut Prakan (presence of reduced layer), and Bang Lamun (coarse texture).

Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are classified as Tropaquents or Tropaquepts in the USDA system, but most of them belong to the Tropaquents. In the Japanese system, all the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are classified as Gray Lowland Soils. According to the presence or absence of gypsum and the depth of the cat-clay layer, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are grouped into the following soil series; Ongkarak (cat-clay layer appears within a depth of less than 30 cm from the surface), Rangsit (cat-clay layer is present deeper) and Ayuthaya (presence of gypsum).

Fresh Water Alluvial Soils are classified as Tropaquents or Tropaquepts in the USDA system according to the degree of horizon differentiation. Although there are no significant illuvial or eluvial horizons, a sign of development of argillic horizon is found in some places. In the Japanese system, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils are classified as Gray Lowland Soils and Brown Lowland Soils according to the color of the subsoil. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils are grouped into the following soil series based on the soil color of subsoils, texture, parent materials and so on; Phimai (gray subsoil), Chai Nat (visible presence of mica flakes), Pa Sak, Si Songkram (coarse texture), Mae Chan, and Chiang Mai.

Low Humic Gley Soils are classified as Tropaquepts and Plintaquults in the USDA system according to the presence of diagnostic horizons. Although silicate clays tend to accumulate in the subsoils in most places, the presence of an argillic horizon is not

clear. Therefore, most of the Low Humic Gley Soils can be classified as Inceptisols. Low Humic Gley Soils which are classified as Ultisols, have a fairly well developed argillic horizon and predominant plinthitic iron concretions in the lower parts. According to the Japanese system, this soil group is classified as Gray Lowland Soils.

Based on the presence of plinthite, soil color of subsoil, texture and parent materials and so on, Low Humic Gley Soils are grouped into the following soil series: Hing Khong, Tha Lat, Phen (presence of unconsolidated plinthite), Roi Et, Nakhon Phanom, Tha Thum, On (presence of consolidated plinthite), Mae Sai, Lampang, Hong Dong, Nan, Klaeng, Chanburi and Bangnara.

Humic Gley Soils are classified as Tropaquepts because of the lack of distinct argillic horizon. In the Japanese system, they are classified as Gray Lowland Soils. They belong to the Mae Khan series.

Regosols are classified as Ustipsamments and Psamaquents in the USDA system. In the Japanese system, they are classified as Brown Lowland soils, and Yellow Soils. According to the presence of salt accumulation and soil color of subsoils, they are grouped into the following soil series; Ban Khai, Ubon and Udon (slat accumulation).

Gray Podzolic soils are classified as Dystropaquepts in the USDA system. In the Japanese system, they are classified as Gray Lowland Soils. According to the parent materials, they are grouped into the San Pa Thong and Nam Krachai series.

Non-Clacic Brown Soils mainly belong to the Tropaqualfs in the USDA system. In the Japanese system, they are classified as Gray Lowland Soils. They are grouped into the following series; Manorom, Nakhon Pathom and Kamphaen Saen.

Grumusols are classified as Pellusterts in the USDA system. In Japan, this kind of soil has not been identified. Grumusols used for the cultivation of paddy mainly belong to the Lop Buri series, but in the area bordering the other soil groups, there are some other soil series, for example, Bang Mi series.

#### 5. Summary

About 20 percent of the total area of Thailand (about 60 million hectares) is cultivated. Of this cultivated area, 6.9 million hectares are devoted to rice cultivation. Paddy rice is cultivated on alluvial plains or on alluvial lowlands and the lower part of low terraces under flooded conditions. The soils used for rice cultivation belong mainly to two soil groups, namely Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley soils. Only sporadically are Humic Gley Soils, Regosols, Gray Podzolic Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils and Grumusols cultivated for paddy rice.

Alluvial Soils are formed on recent alluvium and are still youg soils. They have an Apg-Cg horizon sequence. They are sub-divided into three sub-groups based upon the kind of water-deposited materials, namely Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Fresh Water Alluvial Soils. Marine Alluvial Soils are derived from marine sediments and are generally heavy-textured soils. Soil color is brownish black to brownish gray. Common, distinct or faint iron mottles, and sometimes manganese mottles are recognized in the whole profile. In some places the Cg horizon contains some gypsum crystals. Slickensides are common in the Cg horizon. These soils are distributed mainly in the Bangkok Plain and the Peninsula. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are derived from brackish water alluvium. They are characterized by the presence of strongly acid heavy clay with "straw yellow" mottles, so-called cat-clay. They are distributed widely in the Central Plain and less in the Peninsula. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils are derived from fresh water alluvium and occur mainly on the river basins and valley bottoms. They are found all over the country. Their morphology greatly differs

according to the parent materials. In the Central Plain, Northern Region, and Southern Region, they are usually fine-textured soils, while coarse-textured soils predominate in the North-eastern Region except along the Mun and the Mekong rivers. Soil color greatly varies ranging from 5YR to 5Y in hue. Iron and/or manganese mottles are commonly found throughout the profile.

Low Humic Gley Soils are derived from semi-recent alluvium or old alluvium and occur on semi-recent terraces and low terraces. They are usually deep soils with an Apg-Bg-Cg horizon sequence. In general, the soils in the Central Plain have a fine texture, fine to medium in the Northern Region, coarse in the North-eastern Region and various kinds of textures in the Southern Region, but the subsoils are more clayey than the surface soils. Soil color greatly varies ranging from 5YR to 5Y in hue. There are mottles of iron/or manganese throughout the profile. In some places, lateritic concretions are found in the subsoil.

Humic Gley soils are derived from semi-recent alluvium originating from limestone. They are narrowly distributed in the Chiang Rai basin. They are fine-textured soils with a black or brownish gray Apg horizon and brownish gray or gray Bg horizon. Soil structure develops moderately to strongly.

Regosols are derived from old alluvium on the higher part of low terraces. They are distributed mainly in the North-eastern Region. They are deep sandy soils. Mottles usually are limited to the surface. In some places, a white crust of salt appears on the surface in the dry season.

Gray Podzolic Soils are derived from semi-recent alluvium. They are scattered in the Northern Region. Soil color is light gray in the surface horizon, changing to yellowish brown in the subsoil.

Non-Calcic Brown Soils are derived from semi-recent alluvium. They are scattered in every region. They are medium- to fine-textured soils. Soil color is dark gray to dark grayish brown in the Apg horizon and grayish brown to dark brown in the Bg horizon. Oxidative sediments of iron and manganese are found in the profile. Grumusols are derived from marls and alluvium originating from limestone. Grumusols used for paddy cultivation are limited to the Lop Buri Province. They are characterized by a black or dark gray Ap horizon. Because of the presence of montmorillonitic heavy clay, they crack deeply in the dry season. There are distinct slickensides in the subsoils. Neither iron nor manganese mottles are present.

#### **III.** Physical Properties of Paddy Soils

Physical properties of a soil greatly influence plant growth. The penetration of plant roots, drainage and retention of available water and plant nutrients are primarily linked with the physical conditions of soil. Physical properties also influence the chemical and biological behavior of the soil. The importance of the physical properties of paddy soils, however, had not been properly recognized up to this time. A possible reason for this is the fact that paddy soils are kept submerged during the growing period of rice plant. Recently, it has been reported that the technology to achieve high yield of rice is based on the assumption that drainage and irrigation can be carried out any time when necessary (10, 62, 69). It has also been emphasized that the diversification of paddy fields is of fundamental importance for the agricultural development of Thailand. Moreover, the introduction of mechanized farming for promoting labor efficiency is closely related to the physical properties of paddy soils. Under such conditions, the physical behavior of paddy soils should be more emphasized in the near future.

The physical properties greatly depend upon the amount, size, shape, arrangement, and clay mineral composition of soil particles; kind and amount of organic matter; and the volume and form of pores and the way they are occupied by water and air at a particular time.

Of the important physical properties of paddy soils, particle size distribution (mechanical analysis or soil texture), distribution of three-phase, bulk density, sedimentation volume, dispersion ratio, water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent will be discussed in this chapter.

#### 1. Materials and Methods

Analytical methods for the physical properties analysed in this study were described in a previous publication (58). Soil samples for the analysis were taken from each genetic horizon concurrently with the profile examination.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 1) Particle Size Distribution

The particle size distribution or the mechanical composition of a soil is the most stable property of a soil, and is little affected by soil management. It reflects the nature of the parent materials, degree of weathering, and pedological and geological process that affected it. The retention of plant nutrients and available water and water permeability conditions are greatly influenced by the particle size distribution. In dealing with soil fertility, particle size distribution is one of the most fundamental soil properties. Soil texture refers to the relative proportion of sand, silt and clay fractions; coarse sand, 2-0.2 mm; fine sand 0.2-0.02 mm, silt, 0.02-0.002 mm; and clay, less than 0.002 mm in diameter, following the definition of the International Soil Science Society.

The results of mechanical analysis of paddy soils in every region were plotted in a triangular graph in terms of sand, silt and clay content, as shown in Fig. 2. And also, the mean and standard deviation of coarse sand, fine sand, silt and clay content for each soil group are tabulated in Table 2.

As seen from the figure, the soils from the Central Plain were concentrated in the upper right corner of the triangles which corresponds to the area with high clay content. The greater part of the soils from this region had a HC texture. In contrast with the soils in the Central Plain, the soils from the North-eastern Region are seen in the lower left corner which corresponds to the area with a high content of sand fraction. Of the 43 profiles analysed in the North-eastern Region, 39 profiles were FSL to L in textural class. Fine sand fraction of the coarser-textured soils often accounted for more than 60% and sometimes for more than 80%. This sand fraction is mainly derived from continental sandstone, shale and conglomerates of Triassic and Jurassic age. However, along the Mekong and the Mun rivers and their tributaries, fine-textured soils were found sporadically. The soils from the Northern Region are observed toward the lower right side of the triangle, indicating that silt fraction in this region is the predominant component. Accordingly, LiC and SiC in textural class were prevalent, especially in the Phrae, Nan and Lampang basins. In other words, soils on lower or young terraces were definitely heavier in soil textures than those on higher or older terraces. The soils from the Southern Region were scattered in the triangular diagram. In other words, a wide variety of soil texture is seen in the soils from this region, ranging from HC to SL in textural class depending upon the parent materials and physiographic position on which the soils developed. As a rule, soils on higher elevations or at the foot of the mountains were coarse-textured, while those on lower flat plains were fine-textured.



Figure 2. Particle Size Distribution in Triangular Diagram

		CoS	(%)	FS	(%)	Silt	(%)	Clay	(%)
Soil group	Layer*	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	0.3	0.4	8.2	4.3	28.5	5.3	63.0	8.0
(n:14)	II	0.9	1.6	9.2	5.5	28.6	5.7	61.3	10.2
	III	1.6	1.3	12.2	7.7	25.7	4.9	60.5	9.7
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	1.0	1.2	8.1	6.5	32.5	6.9	58.4	11.0
(n:9)	II	2.2	2.8	8.3	4.9	30.0	8.2	59.5	6.8
	III	3.2	3.6	8.6	4.0	30.9	6.5	57.3	7.7
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	4.7	6.1	24.3	19.7	34.5	13.4	36.5	16.5
(n:34)	II	7.4	13.7	21.9	17.9	31.5	12.8	39.2	16.1
	III	6.3	8.4	21.6	19.3	31.0	12.0	41.1	14.5
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	5.8	6.7	38.3	25.3	31.5	15.0	24.4	17.2
(n:80)	II	6.8	7.0	35.3	22.5	30.2	13.3	27.7	17.7
	III	8.6	8.5	32.4	19.9	27.0	12.0	32.0	16.0
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	1.4	0.2	8.7	2.2	31.0	0.9	58.9	3.2
(n:2)	II	2.9	1.7	6.4	0.3	30.4	0.5	60.3	1.4
	III	1.8	0.6	6.7	2.0	26.6	4.3	64.9	6.4
Regosols	Ι	17.4	14.0	69.8	19.9	7.8	4.9	5.0	4.3
(n:11)	II	19.9	15.5	66.4	20.8	9.6	5.0	4.1	3.8
	III	18.7	17.2	67.6	17.5	9.4	4.5	4.3	3.6
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	18.6	13.8	51.7	6.1	18.3	5.9	11.4	2.9
(n:4)	II	19.5	15.4	48.2	6.1	19.2	6.9	13.1	8.8
	III	17.4	15.1	40.0	10.0	21.6	9.3	21.0	12.8
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	2.5	2.5	32.3	19.9	30.8	8.0	34.4	15.5
(n:4)	II	1.9	1.8	32.1	19.0	30.1	8.2	35.9	16.3
	III	0.6	0.3	13.8	7.8	24.7	3.9	60.9	11.3
Grumusols	Ι	4.6	2.6	12.3	6.5	28.5	11.1	54.6	10.7
(n:4)	II	8.0	7.3	12.8	5.9	23.3	5.4	55.9	13.2
	III	6.9	4.0	11.6	5.6	24.1	5.5	57.4	11.4

Table 2. Particle Size Distribution by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Of the 16 soil profiles from Marine Alluvial Soils, 14 sites had a HC soil texture throughout the profile. The clay content of these soils often exceeded 60%.

In the Marine Alluvial soils, downward movement of clay in the profile did not occur often, so that the soil texture was nearly uniform throughout the profile. In the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, both the silt and clay fractions were slightly more abundant than in the Marine Alluvial Soils, which brought about a relative decrease in the sand fraction. The distribution of particle size was nearly uniform throughout the profile as in the Marine Alluvial Soils. In the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, clay content decreased and fine sand fraction was more conspicuous compared with the Marine Alluvial Soils and Brackish Water Alluvial Soils. Soil texture in this soil group varied with the sites, ranging from HC to LFS. A greater part of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils in the Central Plain were HC to LiC in soil texture, while those in the North-eastern Region showed a coarse texture except the soils derived from riverine alluvium of the Mekong and the Mun rivers and their tributaries. In this soil group, the clay fraction commonly increased more or less with the depth, suggesting a downward movement of the clay fraction. In the Low Humic Gley Soils, the clay content decreased and fine sand content increased

compared with the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils. Of the 12 profiles from the Low Humic Gley Soils in the Central Plain, only one profile had a soil texture with HC and the other soils were characterized by textures ranging from LiC to L. Most of the Low Humic Gley Soils from the North-eastern Region were coarse-textured soils, (FSL to CL). Since the silt fraction generally predominated in the soils of this group in the Northern Region, as seen in Fig. 3, LiC and SiC textures prevailed. In the Southern Region, the soil texture of the Low Humic Gley Soils greatly varied from HC to SL. The clay fraction clearly increased with the depth, indicating the formation of an argillic horizon. In the Humic Gley Soils, soil texture was HC. The clay fraction slightly increased with the depth. In the Regosols, the fine sand fraction was prevalent throughout the profile, as implied from the name of this soil group. Soil texture of the Gray Podzolic Soils was SL in the surface soils but ranged from CL to LiC in the subsoil. Soil texture of the Non-Calcic Brown Soils was LiC in surface soils and HC in subsoils. Grumusols were HC in soil texture throughout the profile and showed no variation with the depth.

2) Three-Phase Distribution

Three-phase distribution of a soil is defined as the relative ratio of solid, liquid and air phases by which a unit volume of the soil is occupied.

Three-phase distribution of the undisturbed soil samples of the whole profiles examined is delineated in Fig. 3. And also, the mean and standard deviations of solid phase (Sv), liquid phase (Lv) and air phase (Av) for each soil group are tabulated in Table 3.

Soil samples were taken in the dry season, although the climatic conditions at sampling time varied. Accordingly, although it is very difficult to make a thorough comparison among the regions or soil groups, data obtained are as follows. Solid



\* I : Surface soils, II : Subsurface soils, III : Subsoils. Figure 3. Three-Phase Distribution by Region

Soil group	Laver*	Solid pha	Solid phase (%)		ase (%)	Air phase (%)		
Son group	Buyer	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	
Marine Alluvial Soils	I	44.7	7.1	36.4	10.9	18.9	9.3	
(n:10)	II	45.2	4.8	38.2	9.3	16.6	9.2	
	III	46.2	3.2	44.5	10.1	9.3	5.4	
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	44.6	6.3	33.6	8.5	21.8	11.0	
(n:7)	II	47.1	4.9	36.2	5.2	16.7	5.9	
	III	44.1	2.4	40.1	7.6	15.8	7.5	
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	50.4	7.5	23.2	8.7	26.4	9.7	
(n:25)	II	53.7	7.6	26.9	6.1	19.4	7.8	
	III	51.9	7.8	29.2	6.3	18.9	7.9	
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	54.6	7.7	24.5	9.2	20.9	8.7	
(n:73)	II	60.6	8.7	24.7	8.6	14.7	8.1	
	III	57.8	7.7	27.9	7.5	14.3	7.3	
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	40.0	2.9	31.4	0.5	28.6	3.4	
(n:2)	II	49.3	2.9	33.9	0.1	16.8	3.0	
	III	48.4	8.8	33.5	1.9	18.1	1.2	
Regosols	Ι	58.7	3.2	20.6	6.4	20.7	5.2	
(n:10)	II	64.3	9.1	20.2	7.1	15.5	6.9	
	III	66.9	6.4	23.0	6.3	10.1	5.5	
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	59.0	5.9	28.9	8.1	12.1	7.0	
	II	62.9	9.1	25.2	9.6	11.9	6.8	
(n:4)	III	59.5	8.1	23.2	5.8	17.3	4.0	
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	47.7	4.4	21.7	11.6	30.6	15.9	
	II	54.4	6.7	25.2	9.4	30.4	7.0	
(n:3)	III	53.2	5.1	31.4	0.3	15.4	5.4	
Grumusols	Ι	42.4	1.9	31.6	3.7	26.0	1.9	
(n:2)	II	42.2	1.7	32.3	1.5	30.5	1.5	
	III	41.5	2.5	33.0	1.8	25.5	0.7	

Table 3. Three-Phase Distribution by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

phase occupied the largest portion in a unit volume of the soils regardless of regions or soil groups. Solid phase had the highest value in the North-eastern Region where sandy soils are widely distributed, and the lowest value in the Central Plain where clayey soils predominate. In every region, the solid phase showed the highest value in the subsurface soils except in the Central Plain where vertical changes in the solid phase were negligible. Liquid phase in surface soils had the highest value in the Southern Region and the lowest in the North-eastern Region. Most commonly, the value of the liquid phase increased with the depth, except for the soils from the Southern Region where the value of the liquid phase remained unchanged throughout the profile. This is probably due to the fact that the ground water table was high at the time of sampling in the Southern Region. Air phase had the lowest value in the Southern Region as in the other regions. Value of air phase, as a rule, abruptly decreased with the depth.

As for the soil groups, the following features are described: Solid phase had the highest value in the Regosols and the lowest in the Grumusols. The value of the solid phase in the subsurface soils was the highest in the profile except for the Marine Alluvial Soils and the Grumusols which showed nearly the same value throughout the profile, suggesting the formation of a plow-sole. The variations of the values of the liquid phase with the soil groups were rather small, but the Regosols had the lowest value probably due to the fact that the water holding capacity is very low in this soil group. Value of the liquid phase usually increased with the depth. This was especially evident in the Alluvial Soils. Value of the air phase in the Grumusols remained high even in the subsoils due to the formation of many deep cracks at the time of sampling in dry season. Value of the air phase usually declined with the depth, in contrast with the increase of the values of the liquid phase in deeper soils.

Since the surface soils of paddy fields are puddled before transplanting, the threephase distribution of the surface soils does not appear to be important. However, the pattern of the three-phase distribution of the subsoils is one of the important factors for water permeability, particularly in relation to the water infiltration, aeration, or water retention properties when upland crops are introduced to paddy fields.

3) Bulk Density

Bulk density or apparent density is defined as the weight of a dry soil in a unit volume and is usually expressed as grams per cm<sup>3</sup>. Average bulk density of surface soils, subsurface soils and subsoils in the undisturbed samples are represented in the histogram shown in Fig. 4 by region. The mean and the standard deviations in both the undisturbed and disturbed samples for every soil group are listed in Table 4.

The average values of bulk density of the undisturbed surface soils were 1.270 ranging from 0.963 to 1.753 in the Central Plain, 1.498 ranging from 1.053 to 1.813 in



\* I : Surface soils, II : Subsurface soils, III : Subsoils.

Figure 4. Bulk Density by Region

Soil group	Laver*	Undistu	urbed sam	ples	Disturbed sampled		
Sou Proub	Buyer	No. of samples	Bulk de mean	ensity S.D.	No. of samples	Bulk de mean	ensity S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	11	1.163	0.178	13	1.141	0.062
	II	11	1.184	0.121	13	1.217	0.049
	III	11	1.205	0.081	12	1.196	0.047
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	8	1.168	0.153	8	1.082	0.062
	II	8	1.226	0.119	8	1.140	0.043
	III	8	1.160	0.069	8	1.162	0.047
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	I	28	1.304	0.207	39	1.117	0.139
	II	28	1.388	0.187	38	1.179	0.110
	III	27	1.358	0.195	33	1.191	0.118
Low Humic Gley Soils	I	74	1.415	0.194	78	1.210	0.167
	II	74	1.587	0.224	79	1.289	0.132
	III	73	1.514	0.194	79	1.271	0.111
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	1.040	0.074	2	0.997	0.021
	II	2	1.286	0.072	2	1.102	0.026
	III	2	1.256	0.020	2	1.128	0.029
Regosols	Ι	10	1.528	0.081	11	1.419	0.126
	II	10	1.681	0.246	11	1.467	0.107
	III	9	1.740	0.163	9	1.464	0.136
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	1.546	0.160	4	1.272	0.135
	II	4	1.649	0.099	4	1.346	0.036
	III	4	1.576	0.216	4	1.295	0.051
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	3	1.365	0.173	5	1.223	0.107
	II	3	1.449	0.142	5	1.297	0.124
	III	2	1.386	0.132	3	1.196	0.032
Grumusols	Ι	2	1.102	0.048	4	1.129	0.064
	II	2	1.077	0.025	4	1.161	0.076
	III	2	1.081	0.067	4	1.144	0.059

 Table 4.
 Bulk Density by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

the North-eastern Region, 1.309 ranging from 0.966 to 1.587 in the Northern Region, and 1.386 ranging from 1.055 to 1.795 in the Southern Region, respectively. These values appeared to be high compared with those of Japanese paddy soils (59). Among the soil groups, the Humic Gley Soils, Grumusols and Brackish Water Alluvial Soils which have a relatively high content of organic matter, showed a relatively low bulk density, the value for the surface soils being 1.040, 1.102 and 1.168, respectively. In contrast, the Gray Podzolic soils, Regosols, and Low Humic Gley Soils from the North-eastern Region which are very poor in organic matter had a relatively high bulk density, the value in the surface soils being 1.566, 1.524 and 1.522, respectively. In fact, a high correlation between organic matter content and bulk density of the surface soils could be recognized, as shown in Fig. 5. Bulk density in relation to organic matter content is calculated in Table 5 for reference.

Bulk density is also expected to be considerably affected by the soil texture. In general, the higher the sand fraction content, the higher the bulk density, as shown



\* Significant at 1% level

Figure 5. Relationship between Organic Matter Content and Bulk Density

Organic matter %	No. of	Bulk d	lensity
(air-dried soil basis)	samples	mean	S.D.
less than 0.49	123	1.618	0.215
0.50 - 1.00	123	1.459	0.210
1.01 - 1.99	149	1.373	0.203
2.00 - 3.99	- 84	1.229	0.168
more than 4.00	6	1.128	0.142

Table 5. Bulk Density in Relation to Organic Matter Content

in Table 6. Shaykewich, et al. (71) have observed that organic matter was the soil component most closely related to the bulk density, next to the clay content, and fine sand plus silt and that the interaction of clay and organic matter was less but approximately equally related to the bulk density.

Air-dried fine soils, in other words, disturbed samples, had a lower bulk density than the undisturbed soil samples almost without any exception. The average values were 1.109 in the Central Plain, 1.370 in the North-eastern Region, 1.092 in the Northern Region, and 1.189 in the Southern Region, respectively. The difference in bulk density between the undisturbed samples and the air dried fine soil samples may indicate that the paddy soils in Thailand have a tendency to be packed more compactly under field conditions. Soil compaction was observed more clearly in the soils where the silt fraction predominated, as seen in Table 6.

When soil particles of uniform size are packed into a definite volume, the bulk density depends only on the type of packing, regardless of the size of the soil particles. There are two typical packing systems, the hexagonal (close packing), and

1 a.u.	le 0. Duik	Density	III Kelat		xturar Clas		g/c.c.
	Und	isturbed sam	Dis	turbed samp	oles		
Textural class	No. of samples	Bulk density mean (A) S.D.		No. of samples	Bulk de mean (B)	ensity S.D.	(A)/(B)
Heavy clay	179	1.237	0.171	205	1.140	0.098	1.085
Silty clay	32	1.409	0.183	37	1.112	0.096	1.267
Light clay	93	1.437	0.183	106	1.223	0.111	1.175
Sandy clay	2	1.547	0.047	6	1.269	0.036	1.219
Silty clay loam	8	1.547	0.178	12	1.247	0.076	1.241
Clay loam	41	1.565	0.190	38	1.312	0.103	1.119
Sandy clay loam	18	1.625	0.210	15	1.373	0.065	1.183
Silty loam	4	1.608	0.184	7	1.216	0.065	1.322
Loam	13	1.627	0.207	13	1.380	0.049	1.179
Sandy loam	53	1.656	0.129	62	1.381	0.106	1.199
Loamy sand	13	1.627	0.129	13	1.436	0.079	1.133
Fine sand	23	1.663	0.169	27	1.505	0.091	1.105

Table 6. Bulk Density in Relation to Textural Class



\* I : Surface soils, II : Subsurface soils, III : Subsoils.

Figure 6. Sedimentation Volume by Region

Soil group	Layer*	No. of samples	Sedimentat mean	ion volume S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	11	2.3	0.3
	II	. 11	2.9	1.2
	III	11	2.7	0.7
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	9	2.1	0.3
	II	9	2.2	0.2
	III	9	2.3	0.2
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	28	1.7	0.4
	II	28	1.9	0.5
	III	27	2.0	0.5
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	76	1.4	0.4
	II	76	1.6	0.5
	III	76	2.2	1.3
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	1.7	0.2
	II	2	2.1	0.1
	III	2	2.2	0.1
Regosols	Ι	11	0.9	0.2
	II	11	1.0	0.3
	III	9	1.1	0.2
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	1.1	0.1
	II	4	1.4	0.4
	III	4	1.6	0.5
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	4	1.7	0.3
	II	4	1.8	0.3
	III	4	2.5	0.2
Grumusols	Ι	4	2.6	0.1
	II	4	2.5	0.2
	III	4	2.6	0.2

Table 7. Sedimentation Volume by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

the cubic (open packing). The closest packing occurs when soil particles are packed according to the hexagonal system. In this case the mean density of the system will be  $2.0/\text{cm}^3$ . On the other hand, in the case of the open packing system, the mean bulk density will be  $1.41 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . Some of the Thai paddy soils exceeded 2.0 in bulk density. Yokoi (93) disclosed that the bulk density of three fraction systems in soil particles amounted to 2.41 in the open packing state, and 2.65 in the closest packing state. On the other hand, Terasawa (82) reported that the existence of a bulk density of less than 1.41 (theoretical value) in the open packing system may be ascribed to the aggregate formation of soil particles.

According to Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29), the high bulk density observed in the Thai paddy soils is due to various soil properties such as the paucity of soil organic matter, the strong association of highly humified organic matter with clay and the characteristics of the clay itself, as well as to cyclic drying and wetting of very heavy clayey soils.

4) Sedimentation Volume of Soil in Water

Sedimentation volume of a soil is the volume of soil particles contained in water.

ml/g

Average values of the sedimentation volume of the Thai paddy soils are listed in Fig. 6 by region. The mean and the standard deviations for each soil group are indicated in Table 7.

The average values of the sedimentation volume of the surface soils were 2.0 ml per one g of dry soils on a dry basis in the Central Plain, 1.0 ml in the North-eastern Region, 1.5 ml in the Northern Region and 1.5 ml in the Southern Region, respectively. Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Humic Gley Soils and Grumusols had higher values than the other soil groups. In general, the higher the clay content of clay, the higher the value of sedimentation volume, as might be expected. When the clay contents are nearly identical, the soils containing chiefly montmorillonitic minerals have higher values than kaolinitic soils, as reported by Abe and Arrake (1). Sedimentation volume generally increased with the depth. For instance, Profile Nos. 115 (Ubon Ratchathani, Khuan Nai), 125 (Buri Ram, Prakhon Chai) had a very high sedimentation volume in the subsoils compared with that in the surface soils.

In the Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, and Grumusols, the soil particles in water were readily flocculated, while in the other samples they occurred in a well dispersed state for a long period of time. The sedimentation volume gradually decreased with time in the former soil groups and gradually increased in the latter. This is probably due to the difference in the kind and amount of exchangeable cations adsorbed on soil particles and hydration degree of soil colloids. Calcium ion is likely to flocculate soil particles whereas sodium ion tends to disperse soil particles. But, excessive amount of salts is liable to flocculate soil particles. Thus the sedimentation volume of a soil in water is markedly



Figure 7. Dispersion Ratio by Region

influenced by the physico-chemical properties of soil such as electric charge carried on soil particles, kind and amount of exchangeable bases absorbed on the surface of soil particles and the degree of hydration of the soil.

Although the relationship between sedimentation volume and other physical properties is not sufficiently documented, it is considered that there is a relationship with the solid phase, moisture equivalent and so on. Middleton (43) showed that the sedimentation volume is closely related to the amount of colloids, organic matter, moisture equivalent and the ratio of silica to alumina. And also, it has been reported that the soils with high values of sedimentation volume show a low water permeability (53). Therefore, the sedimentation volume is regarded as one of the indices of the physical properties acquired during the soil forming process (77).

#### 5) Dispersion Ratio

As shown in Fig. 7, the average values of the dispersion ratio of the surface soils were 78.6% in the Central Plain, 85.7% in the North-eastern Region, 78.6% in the Northern Region and 85.1% in the Southern Region, respectively. Great variations with the depth were not recognized. The soils on which a granular structure was

Sail moun	L over*	No. of	Dispersi	on ratio
Soll group	Layer*	samples	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	14	82.1	9.2
	II	14	85.5	9.6
	III	14	86.2	7.1
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	I	9	69.9	10.9
	II	9	64.8	11.2
	III	9	68.6	5.2
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	35	78.5	13.5
	II	35	78.2	14.4
	III	34	75.4	16.7
Low Humic Gley Soils	I	78	85.5	10.7
	II	78	84.9	11.5
	III	78	84.5	12.0
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	53.9	3.7
	II	2	70.5	4.2
	III	2	71.9	4.7
Regosols	I	11	79.8	15.0
	II	11	82.1	10.3
	III	9	73.0	17.6
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	88.2	14.6
	II	4	86.8	9.3
	III	4	68.3	20.8
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	I	4	79.3	12.9
	II	4	86.0	7.8
	III	4	78.6	5.1
Grumusols	Ι	2	55.1	15.0
	II	2	55.0	19.4
	III	2	66.1	7.0

 Table 8. Dispersion Ratio by Soil Group

(%)

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

developed under the field conditions tended to have a lower value of the ratio. For instance, as seen in Table 8, the ratios of the surface soils were 55.1% in the Grumusols and 53.9% in the Humic Gley Soils. These ratios, however, increased in the subsoils. However, significant differences among other soil groups were not evident. These values seem to be fairly high compared with those of paddy soils in Japan (53).

Dispersion ratio is obtained by dividing the amount of silt plus clay that is easily suspended in pure water by the total quantity of silt plus clay. The larger this ratio, the more easily the soil can be dispersed. It is considered that the dispersion ratio of a soil is an index of the soil structure in relation to water stable aggregate formation. A negative correlation has been observed between the dispersion ratio and aggregate index (82). Accordingly, the high dispersibility of the Thai soils may indicate that water stable aggregate formation is very poor even in the surface layer probably due to the low organic matter content. It is very important that a soil crust be readily produced in the surface layer since the soil particles are easily dispersed by rain drops when paddy fields are used for the cultivation of upland crops, because the soil crust is liable to cause a significant resistance to infiltration of rain water or irrigation water, resulting in the decrease of available water storage.

6) Maximum Water-Holding Capacity and Moisture Equivalent

Maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent were measured to determine the characteristics of water retention of a soil. The former is defined as the average moisture content when all the pores in a soil are replaced by water and



Figure 8. Maximum Water-Holding Capacity by Region



Figure 9. Moisture Equivalent by Region

the latter as the water retained in a soil against a centrifugal force equivalent to 1,000 g.

Average values of maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent are listed in Figs. 8 and 9 by region, respectively. The mean and the standard deviations for each soil group are tabulated in Table 9. The average values of maximum water-holding capacity of the surface soils were 56.8% in the Central Plain, 33.0% in the North-eastern Region, 55.0% in the Northern Region and 51.6% in the Southern Region, respectively. On the other hand, moisture equivalent was 35.7%, 16.5%, 33.0% and 29.1%, respectively.

Maximum water-holding capacity as well as moisture equivalent were closely related to the textural class of soils, as shown in Table 10. In fact, a significantly high positive correlation between clay content and maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent was recognized, with the correlation coefficients being 0.850 and 0.858, respectively. It is, therefore, natural that these values were high in the Central Plain where the clay fraction predominates and very low in the North-eastern Region where the sand fraction is prevalent. For an identical clay content, montmorillonitic soils have higher values of both maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent than kaolinitic soils, as in the case of the Grumusols. In the same textural class, the higher the organic matter content, the higher the values of both maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent. For example, there was a significantly high positive correlation between organic matter content and maximum water-holding capacity and moisture equivalent in soils belonging to the light clay textural class. The correlation coefficients were 0.823 in the former and 0.922 in the latter, respectively.

		Max. water-holding capacity			Moist	ure equiv	alent
Soil group	Layer*	No. of samples	mean	S.D.	No. of samples	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	13	62.4	5.5	12	44.4	5.5
	II	12	60.6	6.6	11	43.2	5.3
	III	9	67.8	8.6			
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	I	8	61.7	4.1	8	40.8	2.9
	II	8	58.1	4.9	8	38.9	1.9
	III	4	62.8	4.2			_
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	35	55.5	8.8	35	34.6	7.7
	II	33	51.2	8.5	32	31.8	7.6
	III	11	54.0	10.0			
Low Humic Gley Soils	I	73	46.5	12.9	73	25.9	9.1
-	II	73	42.9	10.5	72	23.5	8.3
	III	14	47.4	8.9			
Humic Gley Soils	I	2	64.5	0.2	2	42.0	2.0
-	II	2	52.0	0.3			
	III	1	51.9				
Regosols	I	10	27.4	6.2	10	12.9	4.3
	II	10	24.6	5.2	10	10.8	3.3
	III	4	18.2	3.0			_
Gray Podzolic Soils	I	4	39.0	6.7	4	18.3	4.1
	II	3	37.4	3.6	4	15.7	4.3
	III	2	31.9	0.3			
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	I	4	50.8	3.9	4	30.1	5.2
	II	4	47.2	3.9	4	27.7	5.4
	III	2	53.2	5.3			
Grumusols	I	3	74.2	4.5	3	48.8	1.6
	II	3	70.1	5.4	3	45.1	1.9
	III	2	73.7	2.5			

Table 9. Maximum Water-Holding Capacity and Moisture Equivalent by Soil Group<br/>(Wt. %)

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Although the moisture content available to plant cannot be estimated from these data only, sandy soils poor in organic matter are likely to have very low content of available water, resulting in severe drought damage in the dry season when upland crops are introduced to paddy fields.

#### 3. Summary

The Thai paddy soils have a great variety of textural classes. The soils from the Central Plain mostly are classified as heavy clay soils except the soils on low terraces in the marginal areas. Clay content of the Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Fresh Water Alluvial Soils in some places often exceeded 65%. In contrast, the soils from the North-eastern Region had a coarse texture ranging from fine sand to sandy loam. Fine sand content of Regosols often exceeded 70%. Along the Mekong River, silt fraction was prevalent in some areas. In the Northern Region, the silt fraction often predominated, and silty clay to light clay textures were typical. In the Southern Region

	Max. wa	ater-holding c	capacity	Moisture equivalent			
Textural class	No. of samples	mean	S.D.	No. of samples	mean	S.D.	
НС	147	60.7	8.4	95	38.3	6.6	
SiC	26	53.8	6.6	23	32.0	6.3	
LiC	54	51.0	6.9	48	32.0	6.8	
SC	4	43.4	1.3				
SiCL	6	40.4	3.3	4	16.1	3.1	
CL	22	43.8	7.8	19	26.0	3.6	
SCL	8	39.7	3.1	3	13.1	2.7	
SiL	6	38.0	4.7	5	20.6	6.1	
L	11	37.9	6.9	11	19.8	4.3	
SL	43	34.6	8.1	40	16.4	4.2	
LS	14	29.0	4.8	12	13.1	2.2	
FS	24	22.2	3.9	17	10.2	2.5	

Table 10.Maximum Water-Holding Capacity and Moisture Equivalent<br/>in Relation to Textural Class(Wt. %)

the textural class varied considerably depending upon the parent materials and physiographic position.

Of the three phases, the solid phase accounted for the largest portion regardless of soil groups, ranging from 35.8% to 70.2% in the surface soils. Values of the solid phase were the lowest in the Central Plain and the highest in the North-eastern Region. Values of the liquid phase were the highest in the Southern Region and the lowest in the Northern Region. Air phase values were the highest in the North-eastern Region and the lowest in the Southern Region.

The bulk density was generally high irrespective of textural grades, the average values in the surface soils being 1.270 in the Central Plain, 1.498 in the North-eastern Region, 1.309 in the Northern Region and 1.386 in the Southern Region.

The average values of the sedimentation volume of the surface soils were 2.0 ml/g in the Central Plain, 1.0 ml/g in the North-eastern Region, 1.5 ml/g in the Northern Region and 1.5 ml/g in the Southern Region, respectively.

Dispersion ratio was rather high regardless of the soil groups, indicating that soils can be washed away by heavy rain.

The average values of the maximum water-holding capacity of the surface soils were 56.8% in the Central Plain, 33.0% in the North-eastern Region, 55.0% in the Northern Region and 51.6% in the Southern Region. Moisture equivalent was 35.7%, 16.5%, 33.7% and 29.1%, respectively. These data may indicate that severe drought damage may take place on sandy soils low in organic matter when upland crops are introduced.
## **IV.** Chemical Properties of Paddy Soils

Soil is the medium for plant growth. The majority of essential elements for plant growth are largely supplied by the soil. Soil is the source of 13 of the 16 elements essential for plant growth. These elements originate from the parent rock from which the soil is developed. Another source of plant nutrients is the organic matter contained in the soil. In this connection, the physical, chemical and microbiological factors mutually influence the release of some essential elements.

The supplying capacity of plant nutrients in the soil is of fundamental importance to economic production of crop. Fertilizers and manures basically are only used as supplements. Thus, the plant nutrient supplying power of a soil can be considered to reflect the fertility of the soil in a narrow sense.

In this chapter, the supplying power and availability of plant nutrients in paddy soils will be discussed in relation to their chemical properties such as soil reaction, total organic carbon content, cation exchange capacity, status of exchangeable bases and so on.

### 1. Materials and Methods

Analytical methods of the chemical properties examined in this study have been described in a previous publication (58). Soil samples for the analysis were taken from each horizon of the profile concurrently with the profile examination. Air-dried fine soils passed through a 2 mm sieve were used for the chemical analysis.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

## 1) Soil Reaction

Soil reaction of paddy soils in Thailand varied widely from strongly acid to alkaline, depending upon the parent materials from which the soils were developed. Fig. 10 shows the frequency distribution of the pH values of the whole soil samples when measured in a water suspension of air dried soils. pH of most of the soils fluctuated between 5.5 and 6.0 in the Central Plain, between 4.0 and 5.0 in the North-eastern Region, between 5.0 and 6.0 in the Northern Region, and between 4.5 and 5.0 in the Southern Region, respectively.

The average pH values are listed in Fig. 11 by region. The mean and the standard deviations for each soil group are tabulated in Table 11.

The average pH values of the surface soils were 5.49 ranging from 4.00 to 8.25 in the Central Plain, 5.04 ranging from 4.10 to 8.05 in the North-eastern Region, 5.70 ranging from 4.15 to 7.90 in the Northern Region, and 4.97 ranging 3.95 to 6.35 in the Southern Region, respectively. The pH values of the subsurface soils and subsoils were slightly higher than those of the surface in every region.

The average pH values of the Marine Alluvial Soils were 5.18 in the surface soils, 5.88 in the subsurface soils and 6.25 in the subsoils. The surface soils tended to have a lower pH value than the subsurface soils and subsoils, and some of them showed fairly low pH values (for example, Profile Nos. 12, 20 and 159) because of the persistent influence of marine deposits.

In the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, the soil reaction was very strongly acidic throughout the profile, the average pH values being 4.38 in the surface soils, 4.10 in the subsurface soils and 4.00 in the subsoils, respectively. In fact, the exchange acidity referred to as  $Y_1$  was very high in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, the



Figure 10. Frequency Distribution of Soil pH



Figure 11. pH Values by Region

<u> </u>	-	No. of	pH (	H <sub>2</sub> O)	pH (	KCl)
Soil group	Layer*	samples	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	14	5.26	0.47	4.46	0.45
	II	14	5.95	0.89	5.06	0.79
	III	14	6.38	1.20	5.46	1.17
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	9	4.38	0.31	3.71	0.33
	II	9	4.33	0.42	3.50	0.35
	III	9	4.03	0.30	3.42	0.89
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	38	5.66	0.93	4.56	0.91
	II	38	6.39	1.01	5.12	0.95
	III	33	6.33	0.96	5.08	0.89
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	79	5.25	0.64	4.29	0.63
	II	80	6.02	0.95	4.85	0.87
	III	80	6.22	1.08	4.91	1.00
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	7.75	0.10	7.15	0.15
	II	2	8.05	0.20	6.68	0.03
	III	2	8.03	0.08	6.50	0.10
Regosols	Ι	11	4.80	0.64	4.40	0.32
	II	11	5.72	0.70	4.95	0.15
	III	9	5.69	0.71	4.93	0.76
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	5.35	1.12	4.80	0.32
	II	4	6.06	1.18	5.01	1.15
	III	4	6.03	1.62	4.83	1.55
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	4	6.09	1.32	5.31	1.21
	II	4	6.66	1.46	5.40	1.03
	III	4	6.30	1.00	5.18	1.38
Grumusols	Ι	4	6.65	0.74	5.65	0.67
	II	4	6.90	0.88	5.71	0.66
	III	4	6.91	1.05	5.91	0.87

Table 11. Soil pH Values by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

average values being 19.9 ml in the surface soils, 31.6 ml in the subsurface soils and 40.8 ml in the subsoils, respectively. The high acidity of the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils was caused by the oxidation of sulfur compounds, mainly pyrites and jaroisite during their maturation process as paddy soils (5, 63, 68). But, the soil pHs were fairly higher than those of acid sulfate soils in Malaysia, where soil pHs in this kind of soil were commonly below 4.0 (27).

The average pH values of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils were 5.66 and 5.25 in the surface soils, respectively. But, the pH values differed considerably from one area to another. Soils derived from calcareous or basic rocks, as a rule, showed a high pH while soil originating from igneous rock or sandstone had a low pH. In the surface soils the pH values tended to decrease, especially in the well-drained soils. It should be noted that this tendency appeared more clearly in the North-eastern Region. The high acidity of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils may result in the strong leaching of bases.

Regosols which were mainly distributed in the North-eastern Region in Thailand, showed very low pH values, due to the abundance of sandy, acidic parent materials that have undergone severe weathering and leaching. Among them, there were the so-called salty or saline soils which had a salt accumulation on the surface. It is interesting to point out that these soils showed a rather acidic reaction not only in the surface layers but also in the deeper layers (for example, Profiles Nos. 56 and 57). This was a peculiar property compared with the normal saline soils which usually have a high pH value (6).

Humic Gley Soils and Grumusols derived from calcareous parent material showed high pH values throughout the profile. Both the Gray Podzolic Soils and Non-Calcic Soils had a wide range of soil pH.

The soil reaction is actually the most important fertility factor of a soil. The soil pH largely regulates not only the chemical reactions but also the activity of the microorganisms in the soil. For instance, Matsuguchi et al. (40) reported that soils low in pH had a decreased capacity of fixation of atmospheric nitrogen. The availability of essential nutrients and the amount of toxic substances in the soil depend also upon the soil reaction. In general, an acidic soil is poor in plant nutrients, has a strong ability in phosphate fixation, and is liable to cause aluminum, iron and manganese toxicity while an alkaline soil may indicate possible deficiency of minor elements. Rice crop is relatively highly tolerant of strong acidity. In addition, the pH value of paddy soils is generally close to neutrality during the growing season as a result of water-logging, as will be discussed later. Thus, the low pH value recorded in the air-dried soil samples did not appear to be a serious limiting problem for rice cultivation. However, in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils or sandy soils which are poor in organic matter, pH increase after submergence was maintained for a relatively long period compared with the other clayey soils. Furthermore, soils low in pH commonly are deficient in bases such as calcium and magnesium. Therefore, in strongly acid soils it is preferable to raise the soil reaction to a desired pH by liming. However, it should be emphasized that overliming may induce deficiency of minor elements or other undersirable changes of soils. Accordingly, close attention should be paid to the correction of the soil reaction.

The amount of lime required to raise the soil reaction to a desired pH or lime requirement is determined by several factors such as the nature of soils, chemical and physical properties of liming materials. Although the actual quantity required under field conditions differs from the values obtained in the laboratory, it may be estimated from the buffer curve of the soil. Fig. 12 shows an example of buffer curve of different soils. The acidity of all of the soils decreased when bases were added, but the buffering capacity differed with each soil. From the figure, it appears that the buffering capacity was high in the clayey soils, while low in the sandy soils. The amount of lime required to raise the soil reaction to pH 6.5 was 0.5 meq for 10 g of Klong Luang soil (Brackish Water Alluvial Soils), 0.15 meq for the Phrae soil (Fresh Water Alluvial Soils), and 0.04 meq for the Ubon soil (Regosols), respectively.

2) Total Carbon, Total Nitrogen and Carbon Nitrogen Ratio

Tables 12 and 13 show data on total carbon, total nitrogen and carbon nitrogen ratio of the soil in every region and in every soil group, respectively.

The average values of total carbon content of the surface soils were 1.424% in the Central Plain, 0.434% in the North-eastern Region, 1.459% in the Northern Region and 1.366% in the Southern Region, respectively. These values were more or less comparable with those of the soils from other Southeast Asian countries, except for the North-eastern Region, according to Kawaguchi and Kyuma (30). The average

Soils	Klong Luang	Phrae	Ubon
Clay %	54.7	15.1	1.0
Silt %	37.0	52.1	7.6
Sand %	8.3	32.8	75.8
Texture	НС	SiCL	FS
Humus %	2.52	1.08	0.34



Figure 12. Buffer Curve of pH in Different Soils

values of total nitrogen content of the surface soils were 0.118% in the Central Plain, 0.043% in the North-eastern Region, 0.133% in the Northern Region and 0.118 in the Southern Region, respectively.

As a rule, the total carbon contents were higher in the following order among the soil groups: Humic Gley Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Grumusols, Marine Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils and Regosols. On the other hand, the total nitrogen contents were nearly identical with the total carbon contents, except for the Grumusols which had a rather high organic carbon content.

It should be pointed out that the total carbon as well as the total nitrogen contents of the soils from the North-eastern Region were very low especially in the case of the Regosols and Low Humic Gley Soils, which were widely distributed in this region, presumably because most of these soils were coarse-textured, resulting in a much reduced capacity to accumulate organic matter on account of the very low active surface area of the soil particles. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had

		No. of	Т-С	C (%)	T-N (%)		C/	'N		
Region	Layer*	samples	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.		
Central Plain	Ι	64	1.424	0.440	0.118	0.037	12.1	2.2		
	II	64	0.988	0.393	0.082	0.033	12.2	2.1		
	III	59	0.586	0.234	0.053	0.020	11.1	2.6		
North-eastern	Ι	43	0.434	0.220	0.043	0.023	10.1	2.6		
	II	43	0.299	0.153	0.031	0.017	9.6	2.0		
	III	42	0.214	0.107	0.023	0.012	9.3	1.9		
Northern	Ι	35	1.459	0.583	0.133	0.048	11.0	1.3		
	II	35	0.788	0.334	0.074	0.029	10.6	1.3		
	III	35	0.579	0.275	0.056	0.031	10.3	1.4		
Southern	Ι	24	1.366	0.682	0.118	0.050	11.6	1.9		
	II	24	0.676	0.376	0.062	0.027	10.9	1.8		
	III	22	0.405	0.248	0.038	0.017	10.7	1.8		

Table 12. Total Carbon, Total Nitrogen and C:N Ratio by Region

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Table 13	Total Carbon	Total Nitrogen and	C·N Ratio h	w Soil Group
rable ro.	i otar carbon,	Total Millogen and	Car mano n	y son oroup

	_	No. of	T-0	C (%)	T-N	J (%)	C/	ŃN
Soil group	Layer*	samples	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	14	1.521	0.351	0.128	0.026	11.9	1.4
	II	14	1.155	0.359	0.094	0.027	12.3	1.5
	III	14	0.532	0.299	0.051	0.021	10.4	2.1
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	9	1.975	0.531	0.155	0.026	12.7	1.5
	II	9	1.093	0.381	0.090	0.028	12.1	1.1
	III	9	0.727	0.257	0.065	0.022	11.2	1.1
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils	Ι	38	1.271	0.494	0.116	0.044	11.0	1.6
	II	38	0.787	0.300	0.074	0.030	10.6	1.5
	III	33	0.608	0.304	0.058	0.032	10.5	2.1
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	79	0.022	0.607	0.093	0.053	11.0	2.2
	II	79	0.577	0.320	0.055	0.029	10.5	1.9
	III	79	0.389	0.200	0.038	0.018	10.2	1.8
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	2.984	0.208	0.239	0.012	12.5	0.3
	II	2	1.350	0.298	0.109	0.020	12.4	0.6
	III	2	0.868	0.037	0.068	0.001	12.8	0.7
Regosols	Ι	11	0.351	0.262	0.034	0.020	10.3	2.4
	II	11	0.260	0.220	0.028	0.021	9.3	2.0
	III	9	0.131	0.063	0.014	0.005	9.4	1.7
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	0.902	0.316	0.078	0.026	11.6	0.4
	II	4	0.443	0.222	0.041	0.020	10.8	1.2
	III	4	0.186	0.092	0.019	0.008	9.8	1.1
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	5	1.056	0.356	0.086	0.023	12.3	1.8
	II	5	0.642	0.348	0.050	0.019	12.8	3.5
	III	3	0.451	0.172	0.044	0.007	10.3	2.1
Grumusols	Ι	4	1.613	0.139	0.095	0.011	17.0	1.1
	II	4	0.968	0.259	0.058	0.015	16.7	0.4
	III	4	0.538	0.192	0.033	0.011	16.3	1.9

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

higher contents of both total carbon and nitrogen than the Marine Alluvial Soils or Fresh Water Alluvial Soils distributed in the area adjacent to the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils. This is probably due to the rather slow decomposition of organic matter caused by the strong acidity of the soil reaction. In fact, ammonium nitrogen released from soil nitrogen after anaerobic incubation was considerably low as will be discussed later.

Carbon nitrogen ratios in the paddy soils of Thailand varied from one region to another, but most commonly ranged from 9 to 13. The average values of the carbon nitrogen ratio of the surface soils were 12.1 in the Central Plain, 10.1 in the Northeastern Region, 11.0 in the Northern Region, and 11.6 in the Southern Region. These values nearly coincided with those reported by Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29). It appears that the carbon nitrogen ratio in the North-eastern Region was lower than that in the other regions throughout the profile. The carbon nitrogen ratio in the North-eastern Region was also reported by Montakul (48), to be as low as 7.23. Undoubtedly carbon nitrogen ratios also varied with the soil groups. Grumusols had the highest ratio of 17.1 and the lowest one was found in the Regosols, the value being 9.1.

As might be expected, both total carbon and nitrogen contents of the deeper layer were generally much lower than those of the superficial layers, since most of the organic residues are incorporated in or deposited on the surface. In general, the carbon nitrogen ratio became lower in the subsoils than in the corresponding surface soils.

Organic matter content in a soil is one of the most important characteristics of the soil affecting its fertility. Organic matter in the soils comprises the nutrients needed by growing plants, including some of the minor elements. Upon the decomposition of organic matter, most of the plant nutrients are released and become available to the plants. Thus, organic matter acts as a reservoir of plant nutrients in the soil. Organic matter also plays an important role in the formation of soil aggregates and consequently in the physical properties such as adequate tillage, water infiltration and water retention. Most of the soil micro-organisms derive their energy for life and multiplication from the soil organic matter. Thus, the inherent capacity of a soil to produce rice crop is closely and directly related to its organic matter and nitrogen contents, especially in the case of cultivation without fertilizer application as in the tropical region. However, satisfactory levels of these two constituents are difficult to maintain in the majority of the farm soils, especially in tropical regions. Because organic matter added to the soil is rapidly decomposed due to the high temperature and high activity of the soil microorganisms under the tropical conditions (3), the methods of organic matter application and preservation should receive special consideration in all soil management programs.

3) Cation Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases

The data on cation exchange capacity (CEC) and exchangeable bases by region and by soil group are summarized and listed in Tables 14 and 15 respectively.

The average values of CEC of the surface soils were 22.6 meq per 100 g of soils on a dry basis ranging from 1.7 meq to 58.7 meq in the Central Plain, 4.8 meq ranging from 0.4 meq to 21.9 meq in the North-eastern Region, 11.8 meq ranging from 2.7 meq to 21.6 meq in the Northern Region, and 7.8 meq ranging from 2.7 meq to 27.8 meq in the Southern Region. The cation exchange capacity was higher in the following order among the various soil groups: Grumusols, Marine Alluvial Soils,

											1	
Region	Laver*	No. of	CI	EC	Ex	-Ca	Ex-	Mg	Ex	Na	Ex	:-K
-0		samples	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.
Central Plain	Ι	60	22.55	11.39	11.84	13.05	6.74	6.21	2.46	2.95	0.55	0.37
	II	44	24.21	11.94	12.59	13.03	7.04	6.45	3.01	3.48	0.56	0.40
	III	43	24.42	10.85	14.29	16.74	7.25	6.43	3.80	4.36	0.57	0.42
North-eastern	I	31	4.77	4.13	3.50	9.85	0.83	1.26	1.04	1.57	0.12	0.09
	II	31	5.12	4.29	3.16	4.66	1.07	1.14	0.76	0.55	0.11	0.08
	III	31	8.32	5.61	3.96	3.19	1.31	1.40	1.09	0.95	0.15	0.10
Northern	I	34	11.80	5.11	8.89	10.03	2.38	1.40	0.83	0.61	0.27	0.13
	II	29	10.55	4.96	7.44	4.93	2.55	1.76	0.69	0.50	0.19	0.09
	III	29	11.79	4.89	8.00	5.38	2.94	1.99	0.79	0.49	0.22	0.21
Southern	Ι	24	7.78	5.59	2.93	3.42	1.85	3.99	0.60	1.10	0.21	0.23
	II	24	7.78	4.58	3.66	3.65	1.97	3.72	0.80	1.23	0.15	0.25
	III	22	8.93	5.05	4.07	3.87	2.18	3.79	1.01	1.42	0.18	0.34

 Table 14. Cation Exchange Capacity and Exchangeable Bases by Region

 (meq/100g)

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Table 15.	Cation Exchange	Capacity and	Exchangeable	Bases by Soil	l Group
					(meq/100g)

Soil group	Laver*	No. of	CI	EC	Ex	-Ca	Ex-	Mg	Ex	Na	Ex	-K
8F		samples	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.	mean	S.D.
Marine Alluvial	Ι	13	29.76	5.20	10.88	3.77	17.10	5.73	7.17	3.40	1.08	0.34
Soils	II	10	29.91	5.76	11.04	4.22	16.88	6.49	8.57	3.47	1.17	0.34
	III	10	28.36	5.17	11.88	4.38	17.16	5.27	10.55	4.24	1.23	0.43
Brackish Water	Ι	7	25.25	5.64	8.25	3.15	5.81	2.85	1.43	0.89	0.51	0.22
Alluvial Soils	II	6	24.63	7.00	7.19	3.14	5.94	3.13	1.67	1.30	0.49	0.29
	III	6	24.18	6.47	6.65	4.23	5.32	2.56	1.86	1.41	0.45	0.21
Fresh Water	Ι	37	16.73	8.29	10.31	10.51	3.85	2.34	0.86	0.66	0.29	0.19
Alluvial Soils	II	25	15.83	8.89	10.20	8.77	4.09	2.96	0.87	0.61	0.22	0.20
	III	24	16.52	8.66	10.97	10.97	3.80	2.58	1.21	1.07	0.22	0.19
Low Humic	Ι	70	8.53	5.78	3.78	3.59	1.54	1.25	0.81	0.57	0.26	0.21
Gley Soils	II	67	8.91	5.91	4.48	4.31	1.73	1.50	0.84	0.65	0.21	0.17
	III	67	10.67	5.83	5.25	4.43	2.19	2.39	1.03	0.88	0.24	0.22
Humic Gley	Ι	2	20.66	0.88	46.22	4.19	0.59	0	1.37	0.84	0.26	0
Soils	II	1	20.71	—	20.56		0.92		0.48		0.15	
	III	1	24.05		23.57		2.38		0.46		0.15	
Regosols	Ι	7	1.72	1.43	0.72	0.37	0.24	0.18	2.15	2.98	0.08	0.07
	II	5	2.09	1.76	0.86	0.25	0.30	0.29	0.63	0.78	0.05	0.03
	III	5	1.30	0.94	0.72	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.68	0.77	0.05	0.04
Gray Podzolic	Ι	4	4.86	1.91	2.68	2.72	0.59	0.28	0.27	0.17	0.18	0.11
Soils	II	4	4.59	1.37	2.50	1.12	0.80	0.60	0.52	0.17	0.06	0.01
	III	4	5.24	3.00	1.65	0.55	0.86	0.35	0.84	0.44	0.08	0.04
Non-Calcic	Ι	4	13.06	6.32	7.93	6.53	1.78	0.99	1.07	0.44	0.20	0.09
Brown Soils	II	4	14.59	6.81	9.22	7.78	2.02	1.16	1.63	1.44	0.15	0.02
	III	3	21.88	2.98	13.12	5.43	4.34	3.14	2.85	2.08	0.15	0.01
Grumusols	Ι	4	45.43	10.81	51.02	17.34	7.33	3.34	1.62	0.57	0.50	0.13
	II	4	44.99	13.03	23.35	17.60	6.33	4.00	2.00	0.58	0.39	0.14
	III	4	44.06	10.22	51.35	24.04	5.72	3.96	2.66	1.08	0.36	0.09

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.



Figure 13. Relationship between CEC and Clay Content

Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Humic Gley Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils, Regosols.

It is well known that the cation exchange capacity greatly depends upon the kind and amount of clay fraction contained. In general, the more clay in a soil, the higher the cation exchange capacity. This fact is clearly demonstrated in Fig. 13. Significant correlation at 0.1% level was recognized between cation exchange capacity and clay content, the coefficient being 0.803. However, there were still large fluctuations of CEC values for the same amount of clay fraction. For example, the soils from the Central Plain, had much higher values of CEC compared with those from the other regions, presumably due to the difference in clay mineralogical composition. The soils with high CEC values are usually composed of montmorillonitic clay minerals, wheras those with a low CEC value contain kaolinitic clay minerals. Indeed, Grumusols in the Central Plain had the highest value among the various soils in Thailand, the average value being 45.3 meq. In these soils montmorillonite dominates the clay composition, as will be discussed later.

Another main constituent contributing to the soil CEC is the content in organic matter. Several studies have suggested that the cation exchange capacity of the soil is due as much to the content of organic matter as to that of clay (16). However, Piyapongse (65) pointed out that the contribution to CEC of organic matter content in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Marine Alluvial Soils in Thailand was negligible. Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29) also reported that only small portions of the

cation exchange sites in organic matter were actually operating under field conditions.

Of the exchangeable cations, calcium and magnesium prevailed in the composition of the sorptive complex in most of the Thai paddy soils examined. The average values of exchangeable Ca and Mg of the surface soils were 11.84 meg and 6.74 meq per 100 g soil on a dry basis in the Central Plain, 3.50 meg and 0.83 meg in the North-eastern Region, 8.89 meg and 2.38 meg in the Northern Region, and 2.93 meq and 1.85 meq in the Southern Region, respectively. Exchangeable sodium and potassium were present in the sorptive complex in relatively small amounts, for instance, 2.46 meg and 0.55 meg in the Central Plain, 1.04 meg and 0.12 meg in the North-eastern Region, 0.83 meg and 0.27 meg in the Northern Region, and 0.60 meg and 0.21 meg in the Southern Region, respectively. However, some characteristic features in the composition of exchangeable bases were found in several soil groups. Marine Alluvial Soils are characterized by the predominance of exchangeable magnesium, reflecting their origin from marine deposits. By contrast, Grumusols, Humic Glev Soils and the soils derived from calcareous materials had a high content of exchangeable calcium. In some cases, the exchangeable Ca exceeded the cation exchange capacity, owing to the presence of soluble Ca compounds such as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. In the so-called saline soils which are distributed mainly along the coastal area of the Central Plain and the Peninsula, and in the North-eastern Region, sodium was the predominant exchangeable cation, and sometimes its content exceeded the cation exchange capacity, for example in the case of the Profiles Nos. 50 and 56, due to the presence of large amounts of water soluble sodium.

The base saturation degree of the soils fluctuated from one area to another, depending upon the parent materials, as mentioned before. Most commonly, the base saturation degree increased with the depth of the profile, indicating that bases leached down from the surface soils. In most of the Marine Alluvial Soils, the base saturation degree exceeded the cation exchange capacity probably due to the presence of water soluble cations. Although the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils are considered to contain water soluble cations as in the case of the Marine Alluvial Soils, the base saturation degree was rather low, ranging from 34.2% to 73.5% with an average value of 62.1%. This fact may indicate that hydrogen ion predominates in the sorptive complex of the soils. In the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Regosols, Gray Podzolic Soils and Non-Calcic Brown Soils base unsaturation was present except in the soils derived from calcareous materials, the average values being 83.0%, 72.3%, 71.9%, 49.7% and 71.9%, respectively. The soils from the Northern Region had generally a relatively high base saturation value, while the soils from the North-eastern Region had very low saturation values. As already mentioned above, in the Grumusols and Humic Gley Soils, base saturation exceeded the cation exchange capacity owing to the presence of free calcium compounds such as  $CaCO_3$ .

The cation exchange capacity is one of the most important chemical characteristics of a soil. In fact, it is considered that the capacity of a soil to exchange cations is the best single index of soil fertility. The nature and content of exchangeable bases in a soil have an important bearing on its general properties. Soils with a high calcium base saturation offer suitable physical and chemical conditions and favorably influence the microbiological activities. A calcium-dominated soil is granular in structure and porous, and ensures good aeration and drainage, thus minimizing the unfavorable effect of a high clay content. In contrast

Na-clay is deflocculated, sticky, difficult to work and has poor drainage and poor aeration. Thus, excessive presence of sodium cation adversely affects normal plant growth as well as the physical properties of soil.

The exchangeable bases are the primary source of calcium, magnesium and potassium in plant nutrition. But the exchangeable bases are always susceptible to leaching away from the surface soils with the downward movement of irrigation water or rain water. Thus the surface soils are always likely to be in a state of base unsaturation. Soils with base unsaturation are prone to acidity due to the predominance of exchangeable hydrogen. Low soil pH in the North-eastern Region was caused by the low degree of base saturation.

Owing to the property of base exchange capacity soluble inorganic fertilizer applied to a soil is not washed away from the soil. For example, when ammonium sulfate or potassium chloride are added to a soil, ammonium and potassium ions are absorbed on the surface of the soil colloids by cation exchange reaction. Exchangeable ammonium and potassium ions are directly available to the plant. When a large amount of nitrogen fertilizer is applied to a soil with a low CEC value, a large portion of the fertilizer may be leached away with irrigation water or may be denitrified and lost. In sandy soils poor in organic matter or soils with a low CEC value, it is necessary to increase the efficiency of the fertilizer. Soil management such as soil dressing, deep ploughing, application of organic matter are considered to be useful practices for such a purpose. Also, split application of fertilizer is necessary depending on the stage of plant growth in soils with a low CEC. Macronutrients



(1) Nitrogen

4)

Figure 14. Total and Available Nitrogen by Region

Soil group	Laver*	No. of	T-N mg	g/100g	Av-N	ppm	% of	T-N
Sour Broup	Bayer	samples	average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	14	128	26	53.8	19.8	4.2	1.3
	II	14	94	27				
	III	14	51	21				
Brackish Water Alluvial	Ι	9	155	26	37.6	28.8	2.5	1.9
Soils	II	9	116	27				-
	III	9	65	22				
Fresh Water Alluvial	Ι	38	116	44	40.9	32.6	3.5	1.7
Soils	II	38	74	30				
	III	33	58	32				
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	79	93	53	38.7	25.3	4.4	1.9
	II	79	55	29				
	III	79	38	18				
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	239	12	79.8	7.1	3.4	0.2
	II	2	109	20				
	III	2	68	1				
Regosols	Ι	11	34	20	11.5	9.1	3.2	1.1
	II	11	28	21		—		
	III	9	14	5				
Gray Podzolic Soils	Ι	4	78	26	21.1	14.0	4.7	1.4
	II	4	41	20				No. of Concession, Name
	III	4	19	8				
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	4	98	5	38.5	1.6	4.0	0.3
	II	4	50	19			<del></del> .	
August	III	3	44	17				
Grumusols	Ι	4	95	11	27.5	10.6	3.1	1.4
	II	4	58	15				
	III	4	33	11				

Table 16. Total and Available Nitrogen by Soils Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Total and available nitrogen contents are represented by a histogram shown in Fig. 14 by region. The average and standard deviations of total and available nitrogen in the soil groups are listed in Table 16.

Total nitrogen content of the surface soils ranged from 30 mg to 170 mg per 100 g of soil on a dry basis with an average value of 120 mg in the Central Plain, from 16 mg to 107 mg with an average value of 43 mg in the North-eastern Region, from 31 mg to 179 mg with an average value of 133 mg in the Northern Region, and from 49 mg to 198 mg with an average value of 118 mg in the Southern Region, respectively. Total nitrogen contents were higher in the following order among the various great soil groups: Humic Gley Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Marine Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Grumusols, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils and Regosols. Total nitrogen abruptly decreased with the depth in any soil groups.

Nitrogen in soils is mostly present in the organic form and is not immediately available to rice plant. The determination of available nitrogen is most essential

for the evaluation of the fertility of a paddy soil. Although several methods for the determination of available nitrogen have been proposed (15, 38, 96) the anaerobic incubation method is commonly used in Japan (83). As an index of nitrogen availability, the average values of ammonium nitrogen produced after 4 weeks' anaerobic incubation of air-dried fine soils at 30°C, were 37.3 ppm in the Central Plain, 18.2 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 60.9 ppm in the Northern Region and 44.5 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively. It is, of course, natural for the amount of ammonium nitrogen produced to be quite different among the soil groups. The highest value of 165 ppm was obtained in the Mae Chan soil (Profile No. 152) in Chiang Rai Province, which belongs to the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and the lowest one in the Maha Sarakam soil (Profile No. 51) which belongs to the Regosols, the value being 2.6 ppm. In other words, the amount of available nitrogen was higher in the following order among the various soil groups: Humic Gley Soils, Marine Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Grumusols, Gray Podzolic Soils, and Regosols. This order coincided with that of total nitrogen contents except for the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Grumusols.

It can be assumed from the data available that the average nitrogen contents of grain and straw of rice plant after harvest in Thailand amount to 1.4% and 0.5% respectively, and straw grain ratio is about 1.8. Since the average yield of paddy rice per hectare was 3 tons for the Central Plain, 2 tons for the North-eastern Region and 4 tons for the Northern Region according to the results of simple fertilizer trials (39), the total amount of nitrogen taken up by rice plant may be estimated at 69 kg, 46 kg and 92 kg, respectively. The amount of nitrogen released under anaerobic incubation corresponded to the 54.1%, 39.6% and 66.6% of these figures, respectively.

The average values of ammonification rate (the percentage of ammonium nitrogen produced to the total nitrogen) were 3.2% in the Central Plain, 4.4% in the North-eastern Region, 4.7% in the Northern Region and 4.0% in the Southern Region, respectively. According to Kawaguchi and Kyuma (30), the total nitrogen content in the Thai paddy soils is comparable to that of the other South Asian paddy soils, but both available nitrogen and ammonification rate were quite low, especially in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and typical Grumusols (Profile Nos. 23 and 71), the value being 2.5% and 1.7%, respectively. This may indicate that organic matter in the Thai paddy soils is in a more advanced stage of humification.

From the viewpoint of nitrogen status, it may be considered that the fertility of the Thai paddy soils is high in the Humic Gley Soils, moderate in the Marine Alluvial Soils, moderate to low in the Brackish Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, and low to very low in the Gray Podzolic Soils, Grumusols and Regosols.

(2) Phosphorus

The data on total and available phosphorus contents are summarized and represented by a histogram in Fig. 15, by region. The average value and standard deviation of total and available phosphorus for each soil group are listed in Table 17.

Total phosphorus content of the surface soils ranged from 6.9 mg to 208.3 mg with an average value of 75.2 mg per 100 g soil on a dry basis as  $P_2O_5$  in the



\* I : Surface soils, II : Subsurface soils, III : Subsoils,

Figure 15. Total and Available Phosphorus by Region

Central Plain, from 2.3 mg to 101.3 mg with an average value of 14.1 mg in the North-eastern Region, from 17.9 mg to 129.4 mg with an average value of 66.0 mg in the Northern Region and from 20.4 mg to 82.1 mg with an average value of 45.3 mg in the Southern Region, respectively. In other words, total phosphorus content was higher in the following order among the various soil groups: Humic Gley Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Grumusols, Marine Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils and Regosols.

Many attempts have been made to assess the level of available phosphorus in soils. But, no single method is fully reliable at present. Shiga (73) reported that dilute acidic solutions such as the Bray No. 2 or 2.5% acetic acid solutions could probably be used to estimate the phosphorus supplying ability of paddy soils. The Olsen-EDTA method was found to be applicable for the determination of phosphorus availability in the soils with a wide range of pH and characteristics (47). In this study, Bray No. 2 solution was used for the determination of available phosphorus. The average values of available phosphorus of the surface soils were 16.4 ppm as  $P_2O_5$  in the Central Plain, 6.6 ppm in the Northeastern Region, 17.7 ppm in the Northern Region and 19.8 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively. Among the various soil groups, available phosphorus content was higher in the following order: Humic Gley Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils and Regosols.

samples average	ge S.D.	sample	s average	0.0
	175		5 uverage	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils I 14 57.2	17.5	14	15.3	5.0
II 14 58.1	20.6	12	16.7	6.6
III 14 74.0	37.1	11	22.4	10.4
Brackish Water Alluvial I 9 63.6	22.9	9	18.9	8.2
Soils II 9 51.2	23.0	8	18.2	9.7
III 9 40.4	9.3	8	14.8	6.5
Fresh Water Alluvial I 39 91.5	57.4	38	21.8	13.3
Soils II 39 71.1	48.3	31	23.3	15.7
III 30 68.9	40.7	25	20.2	14.5
Low Humic Gley Soils I 77 37.8	3 28.5	77	12.8	9.6
II 79 33.3	27.6	30	13.2	9.5
III 79 32.4	29.3	29	11.2	7.5
Humic Gley Soils I 2 129.3	3 0.2	2	32.2	0.5
II 2 94.3	6.8	2	28.4	7.7
III 2 85.7	0.6	2	21.1	3.6
Regosols I 11 15.6	5 21.6	11	10.7	11.3
II 11 13.4	21.3	4	6.7	6.1
III 9 10.3	8 15.4	4	4.9	3.1
Gray Podzolic Soils I 4 24.5	11.1	4	17.9	5.5
II 4 17.6	6.5	2	8.9	0.6
III 4 7.2	12.1	2	10.4	1.7
Non-Calcic Brown I 4 37.3	3 16.7	4	15.1	11.0
Soils II 4 35.7	7 17.0	2	8.9	0.6
III <u>3 25.</u> 5	5 13.7	2	10.4	1.7
Grumusols I 4 63.	10.1	4	29.6	10.6
II 4 46.2	2 18.8	4	32.0	17.4
III 4 28.0	5 9.9	4	16.8	9.3

 Table 17. Total and Available Phosphorus by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Both total and available phosphorus contents in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils which are widely distributed throughout the whole country varied significantly with the regions, as shown in Table 18.

In the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils the highest values of both total and available phosphorus were found in soils from the Central Plain, next to those from the Northern Region whereas soils from the North-eastern Region and Southern Region had the lowest amounts of total phosphorus, the value being nearly identical, but available phosphorus content was higher in the Southern Region in the North-eastern Region.

In the Low Humic Gley Soils, the highest value of total phosphorus was found in soils from the Northern Region, followed by those from the Central Plain, Southern Region, and North-eastern Region. Soils from the Northeastern Region had an extremely low value of 12.0 mg per 100 g of dry soil. On the other hand, the highest value of available phosphorus was found in the soils from the Southern Region, followed by those of the Northern Region, the Central Plain, which had nearly the same value, and the lowest value of available phosphorus was found in soils from the North-eastern Region.

		T-I	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> mg/10	)0g	A	v-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppr	n
Region	Layer*	No. of samples	average	S.D.	No. of samples	average	S.D.
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils							
Central Plain	I	22	115.1	53.4	22	25.9	14.8
	II	22	99.3	45.9	22	25.6	16.8
	III	16	80.2	41.3	16	21.8	16.4
North-eastern	I	6	31.3	32.1	5	9.0	3.3
	II	6	29.1	30.0	3	10.8	2.3
	III	6	19.4	15.7	3	7.6	3.4
Northern	I	8	94.4	42.9	8	20.1	8.3
	II	8	72.0	27.1	6	21.0	11.5
	III	8	74.4	25.0	6	22.2	7.7
Southern	I II III	3 3 3	32.1 24.1 20.2	6.1 5.6 7.5	3	17.2	3.1 
(Total)	I	39	91.5	57.4	38	21.8	13.3
	II	39	71.1	48.3	31	23.3	15.7
	III	33	68.9	40.7	25	20.2	14.5
Low Humic Gley Soils							
Central Plain	I	14	48.1	32.5	14	15.4	8.6
	II	14	44.6	31.2	14	13.3	7.5
	III	14	37.7	22.6	14	10.8	6.3
North-eastern	I II III	25 27 27	12.4 12.9 12.4	$10.4 \\ 14.2 \\ 11.7$	26 6 5	5.7 2.8 3.5	$6.0 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.5$
Northern	I	24	53.0	25.4	24	15.8	10.5
	II	24	45.8	27.0	10	19.2	9.5
	III	24	52.4	41.4	10	15.7	7.2
Southern	I II III	14 14 14	46.9 39.7 39.0	19.4 17.8 18.9	14 	18.1 	6.6 
(Total)	I	77	37.8	28.5	77	12.8	9.6
	II	79	33.3	27.6	30	13.2	9.5
	III	79	32.4	29.3	29	11.2	7.5

#### Table 18. Total and Available Phosphorus in Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils by Region

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Assuming that the average phosphorus content of grain and straw of rice plant after harvest is 0.5% and 0.1%, respectively, the total amount of phosphorus taken up by rice plant per hectare may be estimated at 20.4 kg for the Central Plain, 13.6 kg for the North-eastern Region and 27.2 kg for the Northern Region, respectively. The amount of available phosphorus extracted with the Bray No. 2 solution corresponded to the 100%, 46% and 65% of these figures, respectively.

However, in general, both total and available phosphorus amounts were insufficient for normal growth of rice plant in the Thai paddy soils. Especially,

the sandy soils of the North-eastern Region were extremely deficient in phosphorus as well as in other nutrients. Although the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had rather high values of total and available phosphorus in Thailand, rice plants grown on these soils exhibited symptoms of severe phosphorus deficiency when phosphatic fertilizer was not applied especially the improved varieties (37). Phosphorus can be found in soils in several chemical forms, namely organic phosphorus, calcium phosphate, aluminum phosphate and iron phosphate, a part of which is occluded by iron oxides. In some soils, organic phosphorus constitutes a major portion of the total phosphorus, and it is the main source of phosphorus available to plants. Because of the paucity of organic matter in most of the Thai paddy soils, calcium, aluminum and iron phosphates are considered to be by far the most important sources of forms available to crop. Cholitkul and Tyner (8) reported that surface-bonded iron phosphate and reductant-soluble phosphate (occluded phosphate) were the most abundant inorganic phosphorus fractions accounting for 35 and 19 percent, respectively, of the mean total phosphorus and that aluminum phosphate and calcium phosphate accounted for about 5 and 4 per cent, respectively, of the mean total phosphorus. Kawaguchi and Kyuma (30) also reported nearly the same results, but they pointed out that Grumusols contained more than 50% of calcium phosphate.

(3) Potassium

The data on total and available potassium contents are summarized and represented by a histogram in Fig. 16, by region. The average values and



\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

Figure 16. Total and Available Potassium by Region

Soil group	Laver*	No. of	T-K <sub>2</sub> O n	ng/100g	No. of	Av-K <sub>2</sub> C	) ppm
Son group	Buyer	samples	average	S.D.	samples	average	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils	Ι	14	1284	321	13	508	168
	II	14	1343	311	10	549	161
	III	14	1260	347	10	580	205
Brackish Water Alluvial	Ι	9	1123	395	7	240	102
Soils	II	9	1049	391	6	232	135
	III	9	1344	684	6	211	97
Fresh Water Alluvial	Ι	38	876	567	37	137	90
Soils	II	38	919	632	25	105	96
	III	32	799	474	25	103	89
Low Humic Gley Soils	Ι	76	526	460	70	124	96
	II	78	602	510	67	97	82
	III	78	616	476	66	107	87
Humic Gley Soils	Ι	2	831	11	2	123	0
	II	2	849	28	1	91	
	III	2	1019	20	1	71	
Regosols	Ι	11	92	143	7	35	32
	II	11	89	144	4	21	13
	III	9	75	151	4	19	17
Gray Podzolic Soils	I	4	396	229	4	83	51
	II	4	481	362	4	28	7
	III	4	598	522	3	39	21
Non-Calcic Brown Soils	Ι	4	589	298	4	92	40
	II	4	999	855	3	69	11
	III	3	1231	1037	2	68	2
Grumusols	Ι	4	301	152	4	233	60
	Π	4	210	76	4	182	64
	III	4	185	71	4	169	45

Table 19. Total and Available Potassium by Soil Group

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

standard deviation of total and available potassium for each soil group are listed in Table 19.

Total potassium content of the surface soils ranged from 144 mg to 2111 mg per 100 g of dry soil with an average value of 948 mg in the Central Plain; from 10 mg to 1030 mg with an average value of 154 mg in the North-eastern Region; from 55 mg to 1512 mg with an average value of 764 mg in the Northern Region; and from 64 mg to 1994 mg with an average value of 687 mg in the Southern Region, respectively.

In the Central Plain, Alluvial Soils had the highest value, and no significant difference among soils of marine, brackish or riverine origin was recognized, the average value being 1280 mg, 1123 mg and 1100 mg, respectively. Grumusols had the lowest value of 301 mg. In the North-eastern Region, Phimai soil (Profile No. 42), Si Chiang Mai soil (Profile No. 48) and Nakhon Phanom soil (Profile No. 108) contained more than 500 mg of total potassium. However, total potassium in the soils from the North-eastern Region had a critical level which was generally low, especially in the Regosols. In the Northern Region, total

		T-I	K <sub>2</sub> O mg/10	0g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm			
Region	Layer*	No. of samples	average	S.D.	No. of samples	average	S.D.	
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils								
Central Plain	I	21	1100	613	22	166	99	
	II	21	1195	671	11	167	113	
	III	15	981	516	10	154	116	
North-eastern	I	6	453	348	4	93	42	
	II	6	393	282	4	50	26	
	III	6	400	317	4	67	42	
Northern	I II III	8 8 8	792 793 819	292 347 355	8 7 7	$     \begin{array}{r}       108 \\       65 \\       71     \end{array} $	61 35 27	
Southern	I	3	379	67	3	66	7	
	II	3	450	122	3	39	20	
	III	3	635	118	3	43	11	
(Total)	I	38	876	567	37	137	90	
	II	38	919	632	25	105	96	
	III	32	799	474	25	103	89	
Low Humic Gley Soils								
Central Plain	I II III	$14 \\ 14 \\ 14$	584 673 662	279 350 218	13 12 12	265 224 244	99 97 98	
North-eastern	I	24	129	150	21	58	42	
	II	26	151	162	21	55	41	
	III	26	208	163	21	77	48	
Northern	I	24	773	419	22	139	61	
	II	24	865	471	20	99	40	
	III	24	884	406	19	95	47	
Southern	I II III	$\begin{array}{c} 14\\14\\14\end{array}$	761 920 868	548 544 621	$14\\14\\14$	124 50 51	96 26 25	
(Total)	I	76	526	460	70	124	96	
	II	78	602	510	67	97	82	
	III	78	616	476	66	107	87	

### Table 20. Total and Available Potassium in Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils by Region

\* I: Surface soils, II: Subsurface soils, III: Subsoils.

potassium contents of Low Humic Gley Soils were relatively high compared with those in the other regions, as shown in Table 20. The soils from the Southern Region were characterized by a low content of total potassium in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils which contained an average of 312 mg of total potassium, as shown in Table 20.

The amount of exchangeable potassium has been regarded as an index of potassium availability. The average values of available potassium of the surface soils were 263 ppm in the Central Plain, 56 ppm in the North-eastern Region, respectively. Available potassium content was higher in the following order among the various soil groups: Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Grumusols, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils and Regosols. It is interesting to note that the amount of available potassium of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils in the Central Plain was lower compared to the total potassium content, while the amount of available potassium of the Grumusols and Low Humic Gley soils was higher compared to the total content.

Assuming that the average content of potassium of grain and straw after harvst is 0.3% and 1.6%, the total amount of potassium taken up by rice plant may be estimated at 95 kg per hectare for the Central Plain, 64 kg for the Northeastern Region, and 127 kg for the Northern Region. As the available potassium per hectare amounted to 263 kg in the Central Plain, 56 kg in the North-eastern Region, 128 kg in the Northern Region and 100 kg in the Southern Region, these figures seem to be suitable for normal growth of rice plant. Also it should be emphasized that irrigation water plays an important role in the potassium supply to rice crop. According to Kobayashi (33), the average content of potassium in the Thai rivers was 10.7 ppm although the concentration gretly differed depending on the nature of the catchment area. If the water requirement for a single rice crop is considered to be 1000 mm, the total supply of  $K_2O$  from the irrigation water would be about 130 kg per hectare, which is a large enough figure to be taken into account when fertilizers are applied. In fact, simple fertilizer trials (39) indicated that rice plant did not respond to potassium fertilizer. However, the soils in the North-eastern Region were generally very poor in available potassium. Potassium deficiency is likely to take place when rice growth is promoted by the adoption of improved cultivation practives such as the introduction of high yielding varieties, N, P fertilizer application, etc.

It is generally recognized that potassium is present in four forms in soil, namely soluble potassium, exchangeable potassium, non-exchangeable potassium and mineral potassium. These four forms are in equilibrium with one another. Soluble potassium is found in the soil solution, but the amount is usually very small. Exchangeable potassium can be replaced by other cations and is readily available to plant. Non-exchangeable potassium cannot be replaced and is not available to plant. But, when exchangeable potassium is taken up by plant, the non-exchangeable form is gradually released to replenish the exchangeable site. Mineral potassium can also become available to plant through weathering. In the tropical regions, mineral potassium contributes a great deal to the increase of the content of available potassium through accelerated weathering.

In short, potassium nutrition to rice plant was rather good in the Thai paddy soils except in the soils from the North-eastern Region, which have a very low content in both total and available potassium.

## 5) Micronutrients and Available Silica

(1) Available Micronutrients

Of the micronutrients, the content of available iron, manganese, copper and zinc was determined in this study. The data on these elements are summarized by region, as shown in Fig. 17. The average value and standard deviation for each soil group are listed in Table 21.

The average values of available iron extracted with N-ammonium acetate



Figure 17. Available Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn in Surface Soils by Region

solution at pH 4.8 were 102 ppm as Fe ranging from 4 ppm to 550 ppm in the Cental Plain, 54 ppm ranging from traces to 210 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 132 ppm ranging from traces to 380 ppm in the Northern Region and 72 ppm ranging from traces to 145 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively.

Marine Alluvial Soils were very poor in available iron, although they had a fairly high content of easily reducible iron oxides, as will be discussed later. The figures were comparable to those found in the Regosols in the North-eastern Region. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils were comparatively rich in extractable iron. The average values of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils were 104 ppm and 92 ppm, respectively, but these values varied among

Soil group			F	e	M	n	Cu		Zn	
		No. of samples	average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.
Marine Alluvial Soils		7	16	8	32	18	3.2	1.1	6.4	4.6
Brackish Water Alluvial Soils		8	141	166	31	21	5.3	2.9	5.0	1.8
Fresh Water Alluvial Soils										
Region	Central Plain North-eastern Northern Southern	7 6 5 3	109 79 131 98	52 42 133 27	58 54 24 8	34 46 23 6	7.4 2.5 6.1 1.3	2.8 2.4 3.6 0.4	8.2 2.9 7.1 6.7	3.0 1.5 3.4 2.4
	(Total)	21	104	78	41	39	4.8	3.7	6.2	3.5
Low Humic Gley Soils										
Region	Central Plain North-eastern Northern Southern (Total)	10 26 20 14 70	134 52 139 70 92	78 26 75 40 68	37 23 24 27 26	31 25 12 19 22	5.3 1.2 6.9 1.9 3.5	2.5 1.2 2.5 1.4 3.1	5.9 2.5 7.0 10.1 5.8	4.8 3.1 2.8 5.0 4.7
Humic G	ley Soils	1	116		2		8.3		11.0	
Regosols		9	23	18	11	9	0.7	1.2	2.4	1.7
Gray Pod	zolic Soils	4	54	54	26	21	2.3	0.6	7.6	2.7
Non-Calci	ic Brown Soils	2	135	85	8	3	1.3	0.1	2.2	1.0
Grumuso	ls	1	4		2		7.0		4.0	

Table 21. Available Iron, Manganese, Copper and Zinc in Surface Soils by Soil Group

the regions. In the North-eastern Region, the higher the clay content, in general, the higher the available iron content. The soils from the Northern Region had a relatively high content of available iron. In the Southern Region, available iron contents were also high. Grumusols had the lowest available iron contents among the various soil groups.

The average values of available manganese extracted with pH 7.0 *N*-ammonium acetate solution were 77 ppm as Mn ranging from 7 ppm to 110 ppm in the Central Plain, 24 ppm ranging from traces to 120 ppm in the Northeastern Region, 22 ppm ranging from traces to 66 ppm in the Northern Region, and 23 ppm ranging from traces to 75 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively.

In the Central Plain, available manganese contents were slightly higher in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils than in the other soil groups. No significant difference was observed among the Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils, the average values being 32 ppm, 31 ppm and 37 ppm, respectively. Grumusols were very poor in available manganese as well as iron. In the North-eastern Region, the Regosols were poor in available manganese. In some locations in the North-eastern Region, the contents of available manganese exceeded those of available iron (for example, Profiles Nos. 42, 48, 43, 100). The soils from both the Northern and Southern Regions were relatively low in available manganese in every soil group.

The average values of copper extracted with 0.1 NNC1 solution were 5.4 ppm

nnm

as Cu ranging from 2.0 ppm to 10.7 ppm in the Central Plain, 1.2 ppm ranging from traces to 7.2 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 6.6 ppm ranging from 1.8 ppm to 13.0 ppm in the Northern Region, and 1.8 ppm ranging from traces to 13.0 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively.

There was no distinctive feature regarding the available copper contents among the soil groups because of the large variations which could be found even within the same soil group. However, the soils from the North-eastern and Southern Regions seemed to have much lower contents of available copper than those from the Central Plain and the Northern Region in every soil group.

The average values of available zinc extracted with 0.1 N HC1 solution were 5.8 ppm as Zn ranging from 3.0 ppm to 13.0 ppm in the Central Plain, 2.4 ppm ranging from traces to 7.4 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 7.2 ppm ranging from 3.3 ppm to 11.0 ppm in the Northern Region, and 9.0 ppm ranging from 3.2 ppm to 23.6 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively. According to Yoshida (95), the critical concentration of zinc in soils was 4 ppm, when zinc was extracted with EDTA-(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution. Extraction with 0.1 N HC1 solution used in this study was assumed to dissolve zinc more than the method proposed by Yoshida (95). The soils from the North-eastern Region had much lower contents of available zinc in every soil group compared with those of the other regions. It is interesting to note that the available zinc level was the highest in the Southern Region in the whole country.

These figures which were obtained from air-dried fine samples seemed to be somewhat inadequate as an index of the availability of the elements to rice plant, since these elements are likely to become more soluble to be readily available to rice plant when the soil reduction is more or less developed during the rice growing period. Also, Tanaka (81) states that zinc deficiency is usually related to the alkaline reaction of the soils, which could apply to many other minor elements.

(2) Available Silica

The importance of silica as a plant nutrient has not been entirely documented yet. However, it is evident that silica is essential for high production of rice. With regard to the role of silica in rice growth, the following two aspects should be emphasized (24): 1) it contributes to the increase of the resistance of rice to diseases, 2) it increases the resistance of rice to lodging at high rate of nitrogen fertilizer application. The latter function is considered to enhance the absorption of solar energy by the plant, with beneficial effects on yield.

Analytical results on the content of available silica extracted with *N*-ammonium acetate at pH 4.8 are presented in Fig. 18 and Table 22.

The average values of available silica of surface soils were 223 ppm in terms of  $SiO_2$  ranging from 16 ppm to 952 ppm in the Central Plain, 40 ppm ranging from 5 ppm to 389 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 141 ppm ranging from 18 ppm to 722 ppm in the Northern Region, and 66 ppm ranging from 11 ppm to 322 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively.

In the Central Plain, the contents of the Grumusols and Marine Alluvial Soils in available silica were extremely high, the average values being 732 ppm and 312 ppm, respectively. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils had an available silica level close to the average value for this region, but the soils originating from the Pasak river deposits (Profile Nos. 72 and 73) had a significantly higher content in available silica than the other soils from the same group. Available silica



Class : Available SiO<sub>2</sub> ppm

1: 0-25, 2: 26-50, 3: 51-100, 4: 101-200, 5: 201-400, 6: more than 401.



level of Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils showed fairly lower figures compared to the average value for this region, namely 146 ppm and 90 ppm, respectively. The soils from the North-eastern Region were poor in available silica, even the clayey soils except for the Si Chiang Mai soils (Profile No. 48) developed along the Mekong river, which contained 389 ppm of available silica. The coarse-textured soils were generally poor in available silica, especially the Regosols, the value being only 11 ppm, ranging from 5 ppm to 21 ppm.

In the Northern Region, Humic Gley Soils were rich in available silica and the value was comparable to that of the Grumusols in the Central Plain. The available silica content of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils in the Northern Region was lower than that of the soils in the Central Plain. However, the average silica content of Low Humic Gley Soils was similar to that for the corresponding soil groups of the Central Plain. As a rule, the Chiang Mai and Chiang Rai basins seemed to have higher available silica content than the Lampang, Phare or Nun basins.

The soils from the Southern Region, exclusive of the Marine Alluvial Soils, were not as low in available silica as the soils from the North-eastern Region, but the content was considered to be insufficient for normal growth of rice plant.

Yoshida and Imaizumi (94) reported that a positive response could be

	Soil group	n	SiO <sub>2</sub> pp average	pm S.D
Marine Alluvi	al Soils	13	335	184
Brackish Wate	er Alluvial Soils	9	142	46
Fresh Water A	Alluvial Soils			
Region	Central Plain North-eastern Northern Southern	21 6 7 3	219 111 135 55	127 129 67 8
	(Total)	37	172	126
Low Humic G	ley Soils			
Region	Central Plain North-eastern Northern Southern	14 26 24 14	103 34 110 56	64 27 62 36
	(Total)	78	74	59
Humic Gley S	oils	2	600	123
Regosols		9	11	6
Gray Podzolic	Soils	4	35	19
Non-Calcic Br	own Soils	2	42	23
Grumusols		4	732	185

 Table 22.
 Available Silica Content in Surface Soils by Soil Group

expected from the application of silica-containing fertilizer to a soil if the available silica content of the surface soil was less than 105 ppm. Kawaguchi and Kyuma (5) suggested that the same criterion may not be applicable to tropical paddy soils because the rate of silica release from soil minerals or weathering intensity seems to be higher in the tropics and they recognized that soils with a value of less than 40 ppm of available silica are deficient in silica.

Judging from these criteria, most of the soils of the North-eastern Region were extremely deficient in available silica, and such soils were scattered in every region.

6) Absorption Coefficients of Phosphorus and Nitrogen

It is a well known fact that when phosphatic fertilizers are added to a soil, the plant may absorb only 2 to 25 per cent of the phosphorus added (83) since most of the phosphorus is tied up in relatively insoluble compounds with iron, aluminum, calcium and organic matter. The phosphorus absorption coefficient is a relative measure of the capacity of a soil to make applied phosphorus unavailable to crop.

Analytical results are presented in Fig. 19 and Table 23. The average values of the phosphorus absorption coefficients of the surface soils were 1063 mg per 100 g on a dry basis in terms of  $P_2O_5$  in the Central Plain, 251 mg in the North-eastern Region, 675 mg in the Northern Region, and 553 mg in the Southern Region, respectively. These values did not change with the depth.

Grumusols had the highest coefficient amounting to 2498 mg. This value was comparable to that in the Andosols which have a high capacity of phosphorus absorption (36). This soil contained high amounts of exchangeable calcium, which may account for the high phosphorus absorption coefficient. Marine Alluvial Soils



Figure 19. Absorption Coefficient of Phosphorus and Nitrogen by Region

						mg/ 100g
	Soil group	No. of	Phosphoru	Phosphorus as P2O5		n as N
		samples	average	S.D.	average	S.D.
Marine Alluv	vial Soils	10	1195	303	572	110
Brackish Wa	ter Alluvial Soils	4	1209	107	497	87
Fresh Water	Alluvial Soils					
Region	Central Plain North-eastern Northern Southern		1080 470 854 520	374 278 341 25	490 231 362 194	129 130 128 24
	(Total)	21	835	406	396	84
Low Humic Gley Soils						
Region	tion Central Plain North-eastern Nothern Southern		727 205 556 524	327 143 199 86	339 119 245 187	107 65 106 79
	(Total)	35	495	278	223	121
Humic Gley	Soils	1	1143		381	
Regosols		5	71	21	29	9
Gray Podzoli	ic Soils	2	278	34	134	26
Non-Calcic E	Brown Soils	3	821	238	433	149
Grumusols		1	2498		805	

Table 23.	Absorption Coefficient of	Phosphorus and	Nitrogen by Soil	Group
				mg/100g

and Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had also a relatively high coefficient, the average values being 1261 mg and 1210 mg, respectively. In the North-eastern Region, the phosphorus absorption coefficient was generally very low, especially in the Regosols, because of the predominance of coarse-textured soils. In the Northern Region, Humic Gley Soils had a relatively high coefficient. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils from the Northern and Southern Regions had a much lower coefficient of phosphorus absorption than those from the Central Plain for the same textural class.

The average values of the nitrogen absorption coefficient of the surface soils were 491 mg per 100 g of soil on a dry basis in terms of N in the Central Plain, 125 mg in the North-eastern Region, 283 mg in the Northern Region, and 219 mg in the Southern Region, respectively. Grumusols, Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils and Fresh Water Alluvial Soils from the Central Plain had a relatively high nitrogen absorption coefficient. By contrast, the soils from the North-eastern Region had a much lower absorption coefficient.

The amount of ammonium absorption in a soil is directly related to the amount and kind of clay present. As a rule, the higher the clay content, the higher the nitrogen absorption coefficient as well as phosphorus absorption. The absorbed ammonium is not necessarily fixed. Some of the ammonium is readily available to plant, but some is held as non-exchangeable (fixed) ammonium on the soil colloid. The higher the percentage of clay of the expanding-lattice type (montmorillonite), the higher the amount of fixed ammonium.

### 3. Summary

The characteristics of the chemical properties of Thai paddy soils can be summarized as follows:

Soil reaction in the Marine Alluvial Soils was rather acidic in the surface soils, but neutral or slightly alkaline in the subsoils. The soil pH in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils was very low throughout the profile, ranging from 3.5 to 4.5. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils had quite different pH values ranging from a very acid to an alkaline reaction depending upon the parent materials. In the North-eastern Region, a rather strongly acidic reaction was present, even in the so-called saline soils. Grumusols and Humic Gley Soils showed a slightly alkaline reaction throughout the profile.

Organic carbon contents were very low compared to those in the temperate regions, the average values being 1.42% in the Central Plain, 0.43% in the North-eastern Region, 1.46% in the Northern Region, and 1.37% in the Southern Region. Carbon nitrogen ratios were 12.3 in the Central Plain, 10.1 in the North-eastern Region, 11.1 in the Northern Region, and 11.5 in the Southern Region.

In the Central Plain, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) was 27 meq/100 g in the Marine Alluvial Soils, 25 meq in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, 20 meq in the Low Humic Gley Soils and 45 meq in the Grumusols. In the Northern Region, CEC was fairly lower than that of the corresponding soil groups in the Central Plain, the average values being 14 meq in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, 6 meq in the Low Humic Gley Soils, and 21 meq in the Humic Gley Soils, CEC of the soils from the North-eastern Region was very low, the average values being 10 meq in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, 5 meq in the Low Humic Gley Soils, and 1 meq in the Regosols. In the Southern Region, the average values were 9 meq in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and 6 meq in the Low Humic Gley Soils.

Of the exchangeable cations, calcium and magnesium predominated in the composition of the sorptive complex. Marine Alluvial Soils were characterized by the predominance of exchangeable magnesium. By contrast, Grumusols, Humic Gley Soils and the soils derived from calcareous materials had a very high content of exchangeable calcium. In the so-called saline soils, sodium was the predominant cation.

The average values of the base saturation degree of the surface soils were 88.1% in the Central Plain, 68.2% in the North-eastern Region, 94.2% in the Northern Region, and 62.9% in the Southern Region. In the Marine Alluvial Soils, the base saturation degree generally exceeded the cation exchange capacity due to the presence of water soluble cations. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had a rather low base saturation degree, which ranged from 34.2% to 73.5%. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Low Humic Gley Soils, Regosols, Gray Podzolic Soils and Non-Calcic Brown Soils were characterized by the presence of base unsaturation except for the soils derived from calcareous materials.

Total nitrogen content of the surface soils ranged from 0.03% to 1.17% in the Central Plain, from 0.016% to 0.107% in the North-eastern Region, from 0.021% to 0.071% in the Northern Region, from 0.047% to 0.198% in the Southern Region. Ammonium nitrogen produced under anaerobic incubation (which is considered to correspond to the available nitrogen) was 34.7 ppm in the Central Plain, 18.2 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 60.7 ppm in the Northern Region and 44.5 ppm in the Southern Region. Ammonification rate (percentage of ammonium nitrogen produced to the total nitrogen) was very low compared with that in other rice-producing countries.

Total phosphorus content of the surface soils was 75 mg/100 g in terms of  $P_2O_5$  in the Central Plain, 14 mg in the North-eastern Region, 66 mg in the Northern Region, and 45 mg in the Southern Region. Available phosphorus extracted with Bray No. 2 solution was 16 ppm in the Central Plain, 7 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 18 ppm in the Northern Region and 20 ppm in the Southern Region. These figures seemed to be low for normal growth of rice plant.

Supplying power of potassium was rather high except in the sandy soils from the North-eastern Region.

The average values of available iron extracted with *N*-ammonium acetate solution at pH 4.8 were 99 ppm in the Central Plain, 54 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 132 ppm in the Northern Region, 71 ppm in the Southern Region. The average values of available manganese extracted with a neutral *N*-ammonium acetate solution were 37 ppm in the Central Plain, 24 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 23 ppm in the Northern Region, and 21 ppm in the Southern Region. The average values of available copper extracted with 0.1 *N* hydrochloric acid were 5.4 ppm in the Central Plain, 1.2 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 6.7 ppm in the Northern Region, and 1.8 ppm in the Southern Region. The average values of available zinc extracted with 0.1 *N* hydrochloric acid were 5.7 ppm in the North-eastern Region, and 1.8 ppm in the Southern Region. The average values of available zinc extracted with 0.1 *N* hydrochloric acid were 5.7 ppm in the North-eastern Region and 9.9 ppm in the Southern Region.

The average values of available silica extracted with *N*-ammonium acetate solution at pH 4 were 223 ppm in the Central Plain, 40 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 141 ppm in the Northern Region, and 66 ppm in the Southern Region.

The average values of phosphorus absorption coefficient were 1063 mg in the Central Plain, 251 mg in the North-eastern Region, 675 mg in the Northern Region, and 553 mg in the Southern Region. Grumusols had a high coefficient of 2498 mg. The average values of the nitrogen absorption coefficient were 491 mg in the Central Plain, 125 mg in the North-eastern Region, 282 mg in the Northern Region, and 219 mg in the Southern Region.

# V. Clay Mineralogical Properties of Paddy Soils

The composition of clay minerals in a soil is closely associated with the physical and chemical properties as well as the genesis of the soil. Therefore, both clay content and its mineralogical composition play an important role in the soil productivity. In fact, it has been reported that, in Japan, soils with a predominance of montmorillonitic clay have a higher yield potential and show a higher response to nitrogen fertilizer in rice cultivation than those with kaolinitic clay or allophane (13). Clay mineralogical characteristics are often used as an index of the nature of the soil parent materials. For example, in the USDA soil classification system, clay mineralogy is adopted as one of the criteria for differentiating soil families (88).

Concerning the clay mineral composition of soils in Thailand, Sorasith et al. (76) conducted a pioneer study in 1962. Later, some detailed studies were carried out by Hattori et al. (17) and Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29) with a view to clarifying the general clay mineralogical characteristics of paddy soils. Furthermore, Hattori (18, 20–22) studied the clay minerals of Thai soils in more detail in relation to the genesis and physiography of the Quaternary deposits in the Northern Basin and the Central Plain. Charoen (7) attempted to establish a clay mineral classification of paddy soils based on the contents of different clay mineral species along with correlations with the parent materials and fertility studies.

In this chapter, some experiments have been carried out to get a better insight into the clay mineralogical characteristics of paddy soils in Thailand with special reference to the soil forming process.

### 1. Materials and Methods

1) Materials

3)

Soils for clay mineralogical analysis were selected to cover each soil group from each region. Surface soils and subsoils taken from the same profile, (31 profiles in total) were used for X-ray diffraction analysis.

2) X-ray Diffraction Analysis

For a quantitative determination of the clay mineral composition, several methods have been proposed (4, 25, 91), but they are rather complex and laborious. In this report, the method used by Hattori et al. (17) was adopted with some modifications for a semi-quantitative assessment of the clay mineral composition. Estimation of the Clay Mineral Composition

The relative abundance of the layer silicate clay minerals in the clay fraction was approximately determined in the Mg-clay air dried specimens by measuring the intensity of diffraction peaks at  $2\theta$ =12°, 8.8° and 6–5°, which corresponded, respectively, to the basal spacing of kaolinites with 7Å layer, mica clay minerals (10Å) and 14Å minerals. In this report, however, some modifications were made by correcting the relative intensity of diffraction peaks using an intensity ratio, R, (for example, R: I(15Å Mt)/I(10Å Mc)=3, I(17Å Mt)/I(10Å Mc)=4, I(14Å Ch)/I(10Å Mc)=1, I(14Å Ch)/I(10Å Mc)=1, I(14Å Ch)/I(10Å Mc)=3], as reported by Wada (90). Otherwise, in particular the amount of 10Å minerals seemed to be underestimated. The 14Å minerals, which comprised various kinds of 2:1 or 2:2 type minerals, chlorites and interstratified mixed layered minerals in this report. The relative abundance of 14Å minerals was expressed by the symbols (+++,

++, +,  $\pm$ , -) according to the changes in the intensities and positions of X-ray diffraction peaks after the various treatments. The symbols show the relative abundance of clay species only within the same clay fraction of each sample, as follows: +++; abundant, ++; moderate, +; low,  $\pm$ ; very low, -; not detected.

### 2. Results and Discussion

1) Soils from the Central Plain

Clay mineral composition of paddy soils from the Central Plain is summarized in Table 24. Some typical X-ray diffraction patterns are illustrated in Figs. 20 and 21.

Relative Abundance No. Location Horizon Deptch 7 Å 10 Å 14 Å Al-(%) (%) Ver Ch cm (%) Mt Int int 25 2. Phra Nakhon Apg 0 - 1530 45 +++ +  $\pm$  $\pm$ <u>+</u> Bang Khen. 50-65 2540 35 C1g +++ + + ± + Samut Prakan. 50 20 12. 0 - 1530 +++ +  $\pm$ + Apg Bang Phli. 35-55 2540 35 +++ + + C1g -----55. Pathum Thani, 0-17 40 40 20 + ± + Apg ++ \_ ± Klong Luang. C1g 35-65 35 30 35 ++ + + + ± 0 - 1415 25± 37. Pitsanulok, Apg 60  $\pm$ ++ + Muang. 25 - 5055 5 40 ++ +  $\pm$ + B21g \_ 73. Petchabun, 0 - 1720 15 65 ++ ++  $\pm$ + Apg Lom Sak. B22g 38-52 30 15 55 ++ ++ + ± 140. Sukhothai. Apg 0 - 102560 15 + ++ + + +24-60 2555 20+ ++ + ± Si Samrong. Cg ++ 0 - 1210 25 $\pm$ 3. Saraburi, Apg 65 + ++ + + ± Muang. B2g 25 - 5560 5 35 + ++ + 20 132. Nakhon Nayok, 0 - 1365 15 + ++ + ± ± Apg 20 - 6070 10 20 +  $\pm$ Muang. B21g + ++  $\pm$ 135. Chachengsao, Apg 0 - 1445 25 30 + ++ ++ \_ Phanom Sarakham. 29-68 40 2535 + ++ + Cg \_ \_ 138. Kamphaeng Phet, 0 - 1840 5 55 ++  $\pm$ Apg ----40 5 Kong Khlung. 38-70 55 ++ Cg -\_ 6. Suphan Buri, 0 - 1545 50 5 ± + + + Apg Muang. B2g 25 - 4045 45 10 + + + + 11. Nakhon Pathom, Apg 0 - 1530 65 5 + +  $\pm$ Muang. B1g 15 - 4530 60 10 + + ± 0-13 0 85  $\pm$ 23. Lop Buri, Ap 15 +++  $\pm$ A13 13-60 15 0 85 +++ ±  $\pm$ Muang.

Table 24. Clay Mineral Composition of Paddy Soils in the Central Plain

Composition: 7 Å, kaolinite minerals; 10 Å, mica clay minerals, Mt, montmorillonite, Ver, vermiculite; Al-int, aluminum interlayered minerals; Ch, chlorite; Int, interstratified mixed layer minerals.

Abundance: +++, abundant; ++, moderate; +, low; ±, very low; -, not detected.



(1) Mg-glycerol, (2) Mg-air-dried, (3) K-air-dried, (4) K-clay heated at 300 °C, (5) K-clay heated at 550 °C

Figure 20. X-Ray Diagrams of Some Paddy Soils in the Central Plain



(1) Mg-glycerol, (2) Mg-air-dried, (3) K-air-dried, (4) K-clay heated at 300°C, (5) K-clay heated at 550°C

## Figure 21. X-Ray Diagrams of Some Paddy Soils in the Central Plain

The clay fraction of the Marine Alluvial Soils was composed of mica clay minerals, kaolinite minerals and 14Å minerals in nearly even quantity. The 14Å minerals consisted of a large amount of montmorillonite and a small amount of vermiculite and mixed layered minerals. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had nearly the same clay mineral composition as that of the Marine Alluvial Soils, except for the presence of a small amount of chlorites, which exhibited a low peak at 14Å for the K-clay after heating at 600°C.

Clay mineral composition of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils greatly varied with the location depending on the nature of the parent materials. For example, the soils of Profile No. 37 (Pitsanulok, Muang) derived from the fresh water deposits of the Nan river, contained a large amount of kaolinitic minerals, while the soils of Profile No. 140 (Sukhothai, Si Samrong) derived from the fresh water sediments of the Yom river were composed predominantly of 10Å minerals and showed a rather clear peak at 14Å for the K-clay specimens heated at 600°C, particularly in the subsoil. On the other hand, the soils of Profile No. 73 (Petchbun, Lom Sak) derived from the fresh water deposits of the Pasak river were characterized by a large amount of 14Å minerals, which consisted mainly of montmorillonite and vermiculite, and by a relatively low content of both kaolinitic minerals and mica clay minerals. The peak intensity in X-ray diffraction of the specimens from this profile was weak and rather broad, indicating that clay minerals were low in crystallinity, especially for the surface soils presumably due to their younger pedogenetic age.

Most of the soils taken from Low Humic Gley Soils were composed predominantly of kaolinites. In these soils both mica clay minerals and 14Å minerals showed marked fluctuations ranging from 5% to 40% and from 5% to 35%, respectively. The 14Å minerals contained large amounts of vermiculite and a small amount of Al-interlayered minerals and montmorillonite.

Non-Calcic Brown Soils in the Central Plain showed a comparatively high content of both mica clay minerals and kaolinitic minerals, and few 14Å minerals, which consisted mainly of vermiculite and partly of chlorite. The clay fraction of Profile No. 23 (Lop Buri, Muang) belonging to Grumusols developed on marly sediments was highly montmorillonitic (roughly 85%) in its clay mineral composition.

2) Soils from the North-eastern Region

Soils distributed along the Mekong river in this region could be clearly distinguished by their clay mineral composition from the other soils from this region, as readily seen in Table 25. Some typical X-ray diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 22.

The soils of Profile No. 45 (Nong Khai, Si Chiang Mai) belonging to Fresh Water Alluvial Soils derived from the fresh water sediments of the Mekong river were characterized by large amounts of mica clay minerals and by an abundance of chlorites among the 14Å minerals. The soils of Profile No. 107 (Nong Khai, Muang) developed on the old levee of the Mekong river, which belonged to Low Humic Gley Soils, had nearly the same clay mineralogical characteristics as those of Profile No. 45, but the peak intensity at 14Å indicating the presence of chlorite was more striking. Hattori (19) pointed out that Cambodian paddy soils derived from recent Mekong sediments also contained a high amount of illite (corresponding to mica clay minerals).

In contrast, soils which were not considered to be affected by the sediments of the



(1) Mg-glycerol, (2) Mg-air-dried, (3) K-air-dried, (4) K-clay heated at 300°C, (5) K-clay heated at 500°C



				Relative Abundance							
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth	7 Å	10 Å			14	Å		
			cm	(%)	(%)	(%)	Mt	Ver	Al- int	Ch	Int
42.	Nakhon Ratchasima,	Apg	0-15	80	0	20	-	+	++	-	<u>+</u>
	Phimai.	B2g	40-75	75	0	25	-	+	++	±	±
45.	Nong Khai,	Apg	0-15	30	55	15	±	+	<u>+</u>	++	<u>+</u>
	Si Chiang Mai.	Cg	30-55	30	40	20	±	+	±	++	±
48.	Khon Kaen,	Apg	0-10	50	5	40	-	++	++	_	+
	Chum Phae.	Cg	40-75	50	5	40	-	++	++	-	+
107.	Nakhom Phanom,	Apg	0-12	30	45	25	-	+	+	+++	<u>+</u>
	Muang.	B21g	23-42	30	50	20	-	+	+	+++	±
114.	Ubon Ratchathani,	Apg	0-10	50	5	45	-	++	+++	<u>+</u>	+
	Muang.	B1g	15-23	50	0	50	-	++	+++	±	+

 Table 25.
 Clay Mineral Composition of Paddy Soils in the North-eastern Region

Legends are the same as in Table 24.

Mekong river, were characterized by very low mica clay mineral contents and by abundant Al-interlayered minerals among the 14Å minerals, irrespective of the soil groups.

3) Soils from the Northern Region

As a rule, the clay mineral composition of the paddy soils from the Northern Region was characterized by a high amount of either kaolinitic or mica clay minerals and rather low contents of 14Å minerals, which consisted mainly of vermiculite, as tabulated in Table 26. Some typical X-ray diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 23.

Soils with a predominance of kaolinitic minerals were distributed in the Chiang Mai and Chiang Rai basins, while soils with a predominance of mica clay minerals in the Nan and Phrae basins. The soils of Profile No. 154 located at Lampang, Muang, which belonged to Low Humic Gley Soils, contained a relatively large amount of 14Å minerals, which consisted chiefly of montmorillonite.

The clay fraction of the Humic Gley Soils (Profile No. 31, Chiang Rai, Mae Sai) was composed of kaolinitic minerals and mica clay minerals in nearly even quantity, and the amount of 14Å with a predominance of vermiculite was rather small.

4) Soils from the Southern Region

Clay mineralogical characteristics of paddy soils from the Southern Region were quite different from those of soils from the other three regions. Clay mineral composition of the soils in this region is tabulated in Table 27. As seen from the Xray diffraction patterns shown in Fig. 24, they were characterized by a predominance of kaolinite minerals, irrespective of the soil groups, along with a small amount of mica clay minerals and by traces of 14Å minerals such as vermiculite and Al-interlayered minerals.

5) Classification of Clay Mineral Composition

The clay mineral composition of paddy soils in Thailand was classified into 10 classes based on the relative abundance of 7Å, 10Å and 14Å minerals according to the method proposed by Kawaguchi and Kyuma (30). Table 28 gives the profile

						Relative Abundance						
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth	7 Å	10 Å			14	Å			
			cm	(%)	(%)	(%)	Mt	Ver	Al- int	Ch	Int	
27.	Chiang Mai, Sam Kampheng.	Apg B21g	0-15 30-65	65 65	30 30	5 5	± ±	++ ++	± ±	-	± ±	
146.	Nan, Muang.	Apg Cg	0-10 23-65	35 35	50 45	15 20	+ +	++ ++	+ +	-	± ±	
152.	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan.	Apg B22g	0-15 25-40	55 55	35 35	10 10	++ ++	+ +	++ +	-	± ±	
26.	Chiang Mai, Mae Taeng.	Apg B21g	0-15 25-45	40 40	55 55	5 5	+ +	+ +	-	-	-	
33.	Chiang Rai, Phan.	Apg B22g	0-15 45-65	50 50	30 25	20 25	± ±	++ ++	± ±	+ ±	± -	
148.	Phrae, Song.	Apg B2g	0-13 23-65	20 20	60 55	20 25	+ ++	+ +	± ±	+ +	± +	
154.	Lampang, Muang	Apg B2g	0–8 15–50	45 40	25 20	30 40	+++ +++	+ +	± ±	-	-	
158.	Chiang Rai, Fang, Ping Tam.	Apg Blg	0-15 20-30	50 30	40 60	10 10	± ±	++ ++	± ±	-	-	
31.	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai.	Apg B2g	0-15 40-60	50 45	40 40	10 15	± ±	++ ++	+ +	+ +	± ±	

 Table 26.
 Clay Mineral Composition of Paddy Soils in the Northern Region

Lengends are the same as in Table 24.

.

Table 27. Clay Mineral Composition of Paddy Solis in the Southern Regio	<b>Fable 27.</b>	Clay Mineral	Composition (	of Paddy	Soils in	the	Southern Re	gion
---	------------------	--------------	---------------	----------	----------	-----	-------------	------

					Relative Abundance					
Location	Horizon	Depth	7 Å	10 Å			14	Å		
	1	cm	(%)	(%)	(%)	Mt	Ver	Al- int	Ch	Int
Phattalung,	Apg	0-17	75	10	15	-	+	++	_	-
Khuan Khanum.	B21g	30-60	80	10	10	+	++	+	-	-
Satun,	Apg	0-17	60	35	5	±	+	±	-	-
Muang.	B2g	28 - 70	65	30	5	±	+	±	-	-
Patthani,	Apg	0-12	75	20	5	±	+	±	-	-
Khok Pho.	B2g	23-35	80	15	5	±	+	±	-	-
Narathiwat,	Apg	0-15	80	15	5	±	+	±	-	-
Muang.	B2g	30-40	80	20	5	$\pm$	+	$\pm$	-	-
	IICg	60-90	70	25	5	±	+	<u>+</u>	-	-
	Location Phattalung, Khuan Khanum. Satun, Muang. Patthani, Khok Pho. Narathiwat, Muang.	Location Horizon Phattalung, Apg Khuan Khanum. B21g Satun, Apg Muang. B2g Patthani, Apg Khok Pho. B2g Narathiwat, Apg Muang. B2g IICg	LocationHorizonDepthcmPhattalung, Khuan Khanum.Apg B21g0-17 30-60Satun, Muang.Apg B2g 28-700-17 28-70Patthani, Khok Pho.Apg B2g 23-350-12 23-35Narathiwat, Muang.Apg B2g 30-40 IICg0-15 60-90	Location         Horizon         Depth         7 Å           cm         (%)           Phattalung,         Apg         0–17         75           Khuan Khanum.         B21g         30–60         80           Satun,         Apg         0–17         60           Muang.         B2g         28–70         65           Patthani,         Apg         0–12         75           Khok Pho.         B2g         23–35         80           Narathiwat,         Apg         0–15         80           Muang.         B2g         30–40         80           IICg         60–90         70         70	Location         Horizon         Depth         7 Å         10 Å           cm         (%)         (%)         (%)           Phattalung,         Apg         0-17         75         10           Khuan Khanum.         B21g         30-60         80         10           Satun,         Apg         0-17         60         35           Muang.         B2g         28-70         65         30           Patthani,         Apg         0-12         75         20           Khok Pho.         B2g         23-35         80         15           Narathiwat,         Apg         0-15         80         15           Muang.         B2g         30-40         80         20           IICg         60-90         70         25	Location         Horizon         Depth         7 Å         10 Å         Relative           Location         Horizon         Depth         7 Å         10 Å         (%)         (%)           Phattalung,         Apg         0–17         75         10         15           Khuan Khanum.         B21g         30–60         80         10         10           Satun,         Apg         0–17         60         35         5           Muang.         B2g         28–70         65         30         5           Patthani,         Apg         0–12         75         20         5           Khok Pho.         B2g         23–35         80         15         5           Narathiwat,         Apg         0–15         80         15         5           Muang.         B2g         30–40         80         20         5	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Relative AbundanceLocationHorizonDepth7 Å10 Å14cm(%)(%)(%)MtVerPhattalung, Khuan Khanum.Apg B21g0-17 30-6075 801015 10-+Satun, Muang.Apg 	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Relative Journal Relative Jo

Lengends are the same as in Table 24.


(1) Mg-glycerol, (2) Mg-air-dried, (3) K-air-dried, (4) K-clay heated at 300°C, (5) K-clay heated at 550°C

### Figure 23. X-Ray Diagrams of Some Paddy Soils in the Northern Region





# Figure 24. X-Ray Diagrams of Some Paddy Soils in the Southern Region

	Clay mineral		Centra	al Plain	North- Reg	eastern gion	Norther	n Region	Souther	n Region
	••••••F••••••	Sı	urface	Subsoil	Surface	Subsoil	Surface	Subsoil	Surface	Subsoil
e	7 Å				0 42	0 42			<ul><li>○ 174</li><li>● 179</li><li>● 182</li></ul>	<ul> <li>○ 174</li> <li>● 179</li> <li>● 182</li> </ul>
Å-dominant typ	7-10 Å	•	138	• 138			$\circ$ 27 $\circ$ 152 $\bullet$ 33 $\bullet$ 158 $\triangle$ 31	$\circ$ 27 $\circ$ 152 $\bullet$ 33 $\triangle$ 31	• 176	• 176
7Å-6	7-14 Å	•	3 37 132 135	<ul> <li>3</li> <li>37</li> <li>132</li> <li>135</li> </ul>	● 48 ▼ 114	• 48 ▼114	• 154	• 154		
be	10 Å									
Å-dominant ty	10-7 Å		$     \begin{array}{r}       12 \\       55 \\       140 \\       6 \\       11     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>○ 140</li> <li>▼ 6</li> <li>▼ 11</li> </ul>	<ul><li> 45</li><li> 107</li></ul>	• 45 • 107	<ul><li>○ 146</li><li>● 26</li></ul>	<ul><li>○ 146</li><li>● 26</li><li>● 158</li></ul>		
10	10-14 Å						• 148	• 148		
nant	14 Å		23	▲ 23						
14 Å-domi type	14-7 Å 14-10 Å	0	73	0 73	an a		<u> </u>			
7-1 eve	D-14 Å n type		2	□ 2 □ 12 ■ 55						
□ M ○ Fr △ H	arine Alluvial Soils, esh Water Alluvial Soil umic Glev Soils.	ls,			<ul> <li>□ Brack</li> <li>● Low F</li> <li>▲ Grum</li> </ul>	ish Water Iumic Gle usols.	Alluvial S y Soils,	Soils,		

Table 28. Clay Mineralogical Classes of Paddy Soils in Thailand

 $\triangle$  Humic Gley Soils,

▼ Non-Calcic Brown Soils

number corresponding to each class for each region.

As seen from the table, more than half of the soils examined here corresponded to the 7Å mineral dominant types. However, the 10Å mineral dominant types also were widely distributed especially in the Central Plain and the Northern Region. The experimental results showed that mica clay mineral content was much higher than that obtained by Hattori et al. (17), and Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29), who had reported that the Thai paddy soils, in general, were characterized by a high amount of kaolinite minerals and a low content of illite. This discrepancy is probably due to differences in the estimation of mica clay minerals, that is, the relative intensity of the diffraction peak at 14Å was adjusted by using an intensity ratio, "R" in this report, as described before. With regard to soil fertility, the presence of a high content of mica clay minerals in the Thai paddy soils plays an important role as one of the sources of plant nutrients, especially potassium, during the weathering process of these minerals.

Another difference from the results reported by Kawaguchi and Kyuma (29) was the presence of chlorites. The chlorites were commonly found in the soils along the Mekong river in the North-eastern Region and in various soil groups from other regions except for the Southern Region. Mitsuchi (45) suggested that the formation of chlorites from vermiculite in the plowed layer of paddy soils was regulated by the seasonal cycle of reduction and oxidation during rice cultivation. Inoue et al. (23) revealed the possible occurrence of chlorites from montmorillonite caused by acidic irrigation water. However, it is assumed that the chlorites in the Thai paddy soils did not result from the paddy soil forming process, but from inheritance of the parent materials.

The clay mineralogical characteristics of a soil are closely related to the characteristics of the parent materials and the clay mineral composition reflects the weathering history of the soil. Among the various types, the 7Å mineral dominant type may be regarded as the most highly weathered soil, the 14Å mineral dominant type and especially the 10Å mineral dominant type as the least weathered and the type with  $7-10-14\text{\AA}$  minerals in equal amounts as being intermediate between the former two. In this regard, the soils from the North-eastern Region except those affected by the sediments of the Mekong river and the Southern Region were considered to be highly weathered. In such soils, Al-interlayered minerals were predominant among the 14Å minerals. In the Central Plain, and the North-eastern Region, soils containing a large amount of kaolinitic minerals were commonly found in the Low Humic Gley Soils developed on low terraces derived from old alluvium. On the other hand, soils of the 10Å and 14Å mineral dominant types were found in low-lying areas in the Central Plain and basins in the Northern Region. Most of these soils were enriched each year by flooded water containing a large amount of soil particles. The 14Å minerals of these soils were mainly montmorillonite and/or vermiculite.

#### 3. Summary

Clay mineralogy of the Thai paddy soil was, in general, characterized by a large amount of 7Å minerals, but some distinctive characteristics were recognized among both the different soil groups and different regions. Marine Alluvial Soils were composed of mica clay minerals, kaolinite minerals and 14Å minerals in nearly even quantity. Clay minerals of the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils were not different from those of the Marine Alluvial Soils, except for the presence of a small amount of chlorites. The clay mineral composition of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils varied markedly with the regions. In the Central Plain various types ranging from 7Å to 14Å mineral dominant types were found. Soils from the Northeastern Region were characterized by a high amount of 7Å minerals, but in the soils along the Mekong river mica clay minerals predominated and chlorite was the main component 14Å minerals. Soils from the Northern Region were either of the 7Å or 14Å mineral dominant types. Soils from the Southern Region were more kaolinitic than those of the other three regions.

# VI. Oxidative-Reductive Properties of Paddy Soils

Paddy soils are under flooded conditions during the growing period of rice plant. Consequently aerobic micro-organisms at first consume molecular oxygen in water, then oxygen loosely bounded with other compounds and organic matter in soil, and finally anaerobic bacteria utilize other oxides like iron, sulfate, etc. as electron acceptors. Takai (79) clearly described the stepwise reduction pathways in a flooded soil in conjunction with changes in corresponding microbial activities. A series of drastic changes in physical, chemical and biological properties that take place during this process, undoubtedly influence to a great extent the growth of rice plant. In some cases, soil reduction is beneficial to growth owing to the increasing availability of plant nutrients in the soil such as nitrogen, phosphorus, silica, etc. (66, 72, 73). In contrast, in other cases, it brings about serious disadvantages for healthy growth. One of the possible reasons for this is the fact that rice roots are easily damaged by the accumulation of harmful substances such as hydrogen sulfide, methane, organic acid and so on, which are readily produced under strongly reduced conditions (46). Accordingly, it may be said that oxidative-reductive properties of paddy soils are very closely associated with rice production.

Herein, oxidative-reductive characteristics of paddy soils in Thailand will be discussed with regard to the changes in soil pH, redox potential, ammonia production and ferrous iron formation under anaerobic incubation. And also, some aspects of soil management will be briefly taken up in relation to the development of soil reduction in this chapter.

# 1. Materials and Methods

1) Soil Samples

The soil samples used in this experiment were surface soils collected from paddy fields, that is, 63 samples from the Central Plain, 42 samples from the Northeastern Region, 34 samples from the Northern Region and 24 samples from the Southern Region, which were thought to cover the various types of paddy soils in Thailand.

2) Analytical Methods

Sixty grams of air-dried fine soil were placed into 100 ml polyethylene bottle and mixed thoroughly after addition of 60 ml of distilled water. The flooded soil samples were anaerobically kept in an incubator at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 4 weeks.

Soil pH and redox potential before and after incubation were measured using a glass electrode and a platinum electrode, respectively. Redox potential was expressed as mV at pH 6.0 (Eh<sub>6</sub>). Ferrous iron produced during the incubation was determined by the 2,2'-dipyridyl method after extraction with 0.2% AlC1<sub>3</sub> solution (55), and also ammonium nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method after extraction with 10% KC1 solution. At the time of the measurement of ferrous iron and ammonium nitrogen, a given weight of wet soil was used after removing the oxidized surface soil and mixing the reduced subsoil.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

1) Changes in Physico-chemical Properties under Submergence

When a soil is water-logged, the color usually changes from yellowish brown or grayish brown to gray or bluish gray. At the same time, the chroma value is lower.

		No. of		Soi	l pH		Redox	Poter	ntial (Eh <sub>6</sub> )	mV	Fe(II)	ppm
	Soil group	samples	Befo	ore	Afte	er	Befo	re	Afte	er		
			average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.	average	S.D.
Marine	e Alluvial Soils	16	5.26	0.47	6.53	0.47	472	52	122	70	2691	1143
Bracki	sh Water Alluvial Soils	9	4.38	0.31	5.62	0.62	502	46	173	56	2035	1943
Fresh	Water Alluvial Soil											
	Central Plain	20	5.71	0.76	6.51	0.37	509	42	108	90	2918	1545
Domion	North-eastern	6	5.46	1.26	6.31	0.73	457	45	184	70	1159	897
Region	Northern	7	5.57	0.81	6.84	0.15	487	64	94	58	3771	2683
	Southern	3	5.23	0.12	6.57	0.05	423	12	104	6	2787	339
	(Total)	(36)	5.66	0.93	6.55	0.44	488	54	117	82	2779	1873
Low H	umic Gley Soils											
	Central Plain	14	5.34	0.60	6.30	0.40	539	50	130	71	2186	1252
Danian	North-eastern	26	5.07	0.63	5.98	0.50	496	57	189	74	1142	981
Region	Northern	24	5.48	0.59	6.80	0.24	446	47	68	54	4106	1503
	Southern	14	4.93	0.49	6.44	0.29	431	33	133	63	2011	1326
	(Total)	(78)	5.25	0.64	6.37	0.57	477	62	131	78	2398	1693
Humic (	Gley Soils	2	7.75	0.10	6.85	0.30	502	28	99	11	2637	68
Regosols	s	10	4.80	0.64	5.12	0.63	484	52	329	58	228	278
Gray Po	odzolic Soils	3	5.35	1.12	6.48	0.47	448	21	196	67	1187	804
Non-Cal	lcic Brown Soils	5	6.09	1.32	6.53	0.55	508	28	151	65	2224	1204
Grumus	sols	4	6.65	0.74	6.89	0.34	522	31	225	64	823	636

#### Table 29. Changes in Soil pH, Redox Potential and Ferrous Iron Formation by Anaerobic Incubation

It was already demonstrated that the changes in soil color taking place under submergence are closely related to the process of ferrous iron formation (52).

However, as the incubation period proceeds, the soil color of the surface again becomes yellowish or reddish brown due to the oxidation of ferrous iron.

Table 29 shows the changes in soil pH, redox potential, and the amount of ferrous iron produced under anaerobic conditions for 4 weeks.

In most of the soil samples, the soil pH increased more or less and the final values ranged between 6.0 and 7.0. On the other hand, soils with an alkaline reaction showed a slight decrease of pH or no significant change. The magnitude and rate of the change in soil pH greatly varied according to the soil properties. Generally speaking, major changes occurred in soils with a high content in organic matter. For example, soil samples from the North-eastern Region which were very poor in organic matter, showed minor changes in soil pH, while the Alluvial Soils from the Central Plain and the Northern Region which have a relatively high content in organic matter showed conspicuous changes. However, only a small pH elevation after anaerobic incubation was recognized in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils in spite of the rather high content of organic matter. This is probably caused by the low microbiological activity due to the low pH value of the soil. However, it should be noted that soil pH after flooding fairly increased with the growing stages of rice under the field conditions (56).



Figure 25. Fe(II) Production in Submergence

The decrease in redox potential was the most striking electrochemical change induced by flooding. After 4 weeks' anaerobical incubation, the redox potential fell in all of the samples without any exception. According to Ponnamperuma (66), the sequence of changes in redox potential varies depending upon the nature and amount of organic matter and the kind and content of oxidized soil components, especially the content of active manganese and iron. Soils low in organic matter from the North-eastern Region showed fairly high  $Eh_6$  values even after 4 weeks' incubation. On the other hand, the  $Eh_6$  value decreased appreciably in the soils relatively high in organic matter in the Central Plain and the Northern Region. Although it is considered that the lower the redox potential, the more pronounced the soil reduction, it should be noted that the redox potential is a measure of the intensity of reduction but does not give any indication of the concentration of the reduction products. Therefore, to analyse the oxidation-reduction characteristics of paddy soils more accurately, it is necessary to combine the intensity factor of reduction (redox potential) with the capacity of reduction factor which may involve the whole concentration of reduction products.

The most important chemical change that takes place in a flooded soil is the reduction of iron and the concurrent increase in its solubility. As shown in Fig. 25, the average amounts of ferrous iron produced under anaerobic conditions were 2445 ppm in the Central Plain, 976 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 3832 ppm in the Northern Region and 2026 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively. But, these values varied considerably among the soil groups. In other words, ferrous iron concentration after 4 weeks' anaerobic incubation was higher in the following order: Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Marine Alluvial Soils, Humic Gley Soils, Low Humic Glev Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Gray Podzolic Soils, Grumusols, Regosols, as shown in Table 29. Ferrous iron production generally increased with the content in organic matter. However, ferrous iron concentration was much lower in the soils from the Central Plain than in those from the Northern Region where organic matter content in the soil had nearly the same value. This is probably due to the difference in clay mineral composition. According to previous studies (54), soils with 2:1 type of clay minerals contain much less active and much more inactive and non-exchangeable ferrous iron forms than the soils with 1:1 type of clay minerals. Ferrous iron extracted with 0.2% AlCl<sub>3</sub> solution is considered to belong to the active form of ferrous iron (54). Accordingly, the soils of the Central Plain which contain relatively high amounts of montmoril-



Figure 26. Relationship between Redox Potential and Amount of Fe(II) Produced.

lonitic clay minerals may show rather low values of ferrous iron extracted with 0.2% AlCl<sub>3</sub> solution.

Fig. 26 shows the relationship between the redox potential and the amount of ferrous iron produced. It is evident from this figure that the lower the redox potential, the larger the amount of ferrous iron produced. In other words, it may be suggested that the redox potential parallels the formation of ferrous iron.

Another important chemical change taking place in flooded soils is the mineralization of organic nitrogen in the soil. Ammonium nitrogen released from soil nitrogen is most advantageous for rice plant under flooded conditions. Ammonia production under anaerobic conditions has already been discussed in Chapter IV.

As seen from Fig. 27, a significantly high correlation was recognized between the amount of ferrous iron and ammonium nitrogen produced in anaerobic incubation.



Figure 27. Relationship between Amount of Fe(II) and NH<sub>4</sub>-N Produced under Anaerobic Incubation.

2) Effect of Liming on Soil Reduction

In the previous paragraph, it was pointed out that the development of soil reduction was markedly retarded in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils presumably because microbiological activities were remarkably depressed by the low soil pH. Therefore, the current experiment was conducted to analyse the effect of liming on soil reduction. The experiments were carried out at three different locations under field conditions. After liming, the surface soil was well mixed and water-logging was maintained. The measurements were done 3 weeks after flooding.

The experimental results are summarized in Table 30.

In the case of the Klong Luang soil which belongs to the Brackish Water Alluvial

		Lime Ap	oplication	
Items	No Liming	2.5t/ha	3.75t/ha	5.0t/ha
		Bang Kl	hen Soil*	
pН	6.66	6.84		6.99
Eh <sub>6</sub> mV	+ 29	+ 4		- 2
Fe(II) ppm	2813	3246		3443
NH₄-N ppm	40.3	53.6		62.4
		Klong Lua	ang Soils**	
pН	4.86	5.83		6.00
Eh <sub>6</sub> mV	+ 287	+ 160		+ 130
Fe(II) ppm	419	803		1027
NH₄-N ppm	17.2	23.2		24.2
		Sakon Nak	hon Soils***	
pН	6.11		9.14	
Eh <sub>6</sub> mV	+ 232		+ 258	
Fe(II) ppm	174		217	
NH₄-N ppm	6.5		14.3	

Table 30. Effect of Liming on Soil Reduction

\* Marine Alluvial Soils

\*\* Brackish Water Alluvial Soils (Acid Sulfate Soils)

\*\*\* Regosols

Soils, so-called acid sulfate soils, the pH value of the air dried fine soil was 4.2. Without liming, the soil showed minor changes in soil pH and redox potential after flooding. But, liming caused a significant increase in soil pH and a clear decrease in redox potential. Also, liming seemed to promote ferrous iron formation. Thus, soil reduction indicated by the redox potential and ferrous iron formation was conspicuously enhanced in strongly acid soils by liming. It should be pointed out that the amount of ammonium nitrogen released from soil nitrogen increased with the amount of lime applied.

The same results were obtained in the Bang Khen soil which belongs to the Marine Alluvial Soils with intergrading of Brackish Water Alluvial Soils. Soil reaction was slightly acid in the surface. Liming also brought about an increase of soil pH and a decline of redox potential, but the magnitude of the change was not so pronounced. However, liming had a beneficial effect on ammonia production.

In the case of the Sakon Nakhon soil which belongs to the Regosols, following the application of lime at the rate of 3.75 tons per hectare, the soil pH developed a strongly alkaline reaction, because of the very weak buffer capacity of soil. This soil was characterized by a high content of sand fraction. A significant difference in redox potential by liming was not detected, but a large difference in ammonia production took place, namely the ammonia concentration in the limed soil was more than twice compared with that in the control.

From these results, it may be concluded that the correction of soil reaction by

liming promoted soil reduction, especially in strongly acid soils as well as the availability of soil nitrogen. Such effects are beneficial to the growth of rice plant.

#### 3) Effect of Organic Matter on Soil Reduction

The development of soil reduction is greatly affected by microbiological activities (66, 78). Consequently, the magnitude and the rate of soil reduction depend to a large extent upon soil properties related to microbiological activities, mainly upon the quantity and quality of organic matter in the soil. The sandy soils very poor in organic matter in the North-eastern Region, showed rather high values of redox potential even after 4 weeks' anaerobic incubation, as already mentioned before. Therefore, experiments were carried out to analyse the effect of the application of organic matter on soil reduction at Bang Khen and Surin Rice Experiment Stations where the soil conditions are different from one another.

As organic matter sources, city compost and rice straw were applied at the rate of 6 tons per hectare. Total amount of organic matter was introduced 3 weeks before transplanting, incorporated and mixed well with the plowed layer.

Tables 31 and 32 give analytical data on the soil conditions when organic matter was applied. These data were obtained from the Surin field (wet season 1971) and from the Bang Khen soil (dry season 1972), respectively. The soil was sampled just before basal fertilizer application, at the maximum tillering stage of rice (just before drainage), at the flowering stage (Bang Khen field) or at the heading stage (Surin field) and after harvesting.

Surin soil did not show a clear development of reduction indicated by changes in soil pH, redox potential, and ferrous iron content. However, the application of

Treatment	Air-dried Soil	June 21*	July 21**	Sept. 15***	Oct. 15***
			Soil pH		
Control	5.65	6.25	6.30	6.40	6.35
City compost		6.60	6.32	6.55	6.42
Rice straw		6.43	6.46	6.68	6.55
		Redo	ox potential (Ehe	, mV)	
Control	+ 462	+ 163	+ 157	+ 145	+ 136
City compost		+ 36	+ 127	+ 107	+ 191
Rice straw		+ 62	+ 90	+ 113	+ 111
		I	Ferrous iron, pp	m	
Control	0	437	339	341	445
City compost		811	745	823	416
Rice straw		720	706	876	512
		Amn	nonium nitrogen	, ppm	
Control	0	3.7	5.5	6.0	3.6
City compost		8.1	14.5	14.2	4.8
Rice straw		3.5	6.8	8.4	4.1

 

 Table 31.
 Effect of Application of Organic Matter on Soil Reduction in Surin Field, Wet Season, 1971

\* Before basal fertilizer application

\*\* Maximum tillering stage

\*\*\* Heading stage

\*\*\*\* After harvesting

Treatment	Air-dried Soil	Feb. 4*	Mar. 3**	Mar. 31***	May 10****
			Soil pH		
Control	5.20	6.68	6.78	6.68	5.90
City compost		6.74	6.80	6.87	6.30
Rice straw		6.68	6.90	6.88	5.95
		Redo	ox potential (Ehe	., mV)	
Control	+ 425	+ 98	+ 88	+ 44	+ 313
City compost		+ 72	+ 49	+ 36	+ 225
Rice straw		+ 51	+ 31	+ 37	+ 354
		J	Ferrous iron, pp	m	
Control	13	2,786	2,284	3,105	88
City compost		3,384	3,339	3,789	528
Rice straw		3,379	3,431	4,393	92
		Amn	nonium nitrogen	, ppm	
Control	0.3	33.9	18.8	16.7	3.7
City compost		43.6	36.7	31.3	4.2
Rice straw		25.3	19.7	26.8	4.9

# Table 32.Effect of Application of Organic Matter on Soil Reduction<br/>in Bang Khen Field, Dry Season, 1972

\* Before basal fertilizer application

\*\* Maximum tillering stage

\*\*\* Flowering stage

\*\*\*\* After harvesting

organic matter brought about an increase in soil pH, a decline in redox potential and acceleration of ferrous iron formation as a result of the stimulation of microorganism activity.

Ammonium concentration in soil was slightly lower in the rice straw plot, but higher in the city compost plot than that of the control at the early stages, presumably due to differences in the carbon-nitrogen ratio between both types of organic matter. But, the ammonium concentration of all plots increased with the growing stages of rice.

On the other hand, in the Bang Khen soil, reduction was developed even without the application of organic matter. Therefore, the effect of organic matter on the development of soil reduction was not clear, but a slight increase in ferrous iron concentration was observed following the application of organic matter.

Thus the application of organic matter sometimes brings about a pronounced reduction in soil. In this case, appropriate water management such as drainage or intermittent irrigation was effective in removing the harmful substances and in recovering a suitable oxidation-reduction status surrounding the root zone.

#### 4) Effect of Intermittent Drainage on Oxidation-Reduction State

It is essential to keep an adequate oxidation-reduction state in soils for optimum growth of rice throughout the whole growing period. Intermittent drainage of surface irrigation water is considered to be one of the most common soil management practices for this purpose (10, 62, 69). Experiments were carried out to evaluate the changes of some physico-chemical properties of the soils consecutive to intermittent drainage, using different soils at two different stations, Surin and



Figure 28. Changes in Soil pH in Bang Khen Field, Wet Season, 1970

Bang Khen. The intermittent drainage treatment was performed in draining off the surface irrigation water for 7 days or 10 days at the end of the effective tillering stage and for 3 days at the IPP stage.

The soil pH and redox potential measured periodically during the whole growing period in Bang Khen Station in the wet season of 1970 are shown in Fig. 28 and Fig. 29, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 28, a gradual increase in soil pH was observed during the growing period, and the value of the soil pH reached about 7.0 at the end of the period. Soil pH of the uppermost layer (0-5 cm) apparently was higher at the beginning of flooding than that of the following layers, but the values became closer as the growing period proceeded. During intermittent drainage of irrigation water, the soil pH of the uppermost layer decreased, but that in the lower part remained still at a high level.

As expected, the redox potential decreased rather rapidly at the early stage of flooding, and then reached the constant  $Eh_6$  value of 0 mV one month after transplanting. The potentials showed minimum values for the sub-surface layer



Figure 29. Changes in Redox Potential in Bang Khen Field, Wet Season, 1970

(5-10 cm) and maximum for the third layer (10-15 cm) during the whole period. In the drained plot, redox potential was decreasing in the same way as in the undrained plot until drainage started. However, the potential increased rapidly during the drainage period, namely, the Eh<sub>6</sub> value in the uppermost layer rose to +150 mV at the end of the drainage while that of the lower layer still remained at a low level. The redox potential decreased to a low Eh<sub>6</sub> value, after irrigation was resumed.

Effects of intermittent drainage of irrigation water on soil pH and redox potential seemed to be limited only to the uppermost layer. During the drainage period, wide cracks appeared and developed deeply with the drying up of the surface soil. The surface of the large cracks became easily oxidized by air, unlike the deeper parts which maintained a strongly reduced condition.

Changes in the three-phase distribution of soil are shown in Fig. 30. Due to drainage volumes of the solid phase of the soil in Bang Khen field gradually increased with a decrease in the values of the liquid phase, and the air phase increased steadily until it reached a constant value. Such changes were mainly



Figure 30. Changes in Three-Phase Distribution during Water Management



Figure 31. Changes in Fe(II) Content by Water Management

observed in the uppermost layer. Cracks began to appear 3 or 4 days after drainage, and strongly developed with the decrease in the values of the liquid phase. Bang Khen soil is a heavy-textured soil, with a predominance of montmorillonite followed by little kaolinite in its clay mineral composition. Accordingly, volume changes due to shrinkage amounted to almost 90%, suggesting that the soil volume decreased by nearly one-half of the original volume. Such a heavy shrinkage resulted in strong compaction of the soil.

Surin soil was in striking contrast to Bang Khen soil with regard to shrinkage. The values of the solid phase increased only slightly by drainage, but the air phase was kept almost unchanged during the whole period of the treatment. In fact, no cracks were observed during this treatment presumably due to the very narrow range between shrinkage limit and liquid limit on account of the very coarse texture of the soil.

Changes in the ferrous iron concentration during the drainage period are shown in Fig. 31. Ferrous iron content of the uppermost layer and of the second layer in the Bang Khen soil rapidly declined with time, that is, the ferrous iron concentration amounting to 3687 ppm or 4676 ppm in the uppermost layer and the following layer, respectively before the treatment decreased to 91 ppm or 672 ppm at the end of the



Figure 32. Changes in Moisture by Water Management

treatment. Ferrous iron concentration of the third layer, on the other hand, gradually decreased from 3155 ppm to 1363 ppm. And the changes in the ferrous iron content of the fourth layer were not evident, the values ranging between 2462 ppm and 1935 ppm throughout the treatment. Changes in the ferrous iron contents during the drainage treatment in the Surin soil showed the same tendency as those in the Bang Khen soil. However, the ferrous iron concentration was very low compared to that in Bang Khen soil.

Decrease in water content with drainage was evident for the first and second layers of Bang Khen soil, as shown in Fig. 32. However, a constant level of moisture was observed in the deepest layer (15–20 cm), ranging from 59.2% to 53.6%. In the case of Surin soil, changes in water content were limited only to the uppermost layer, ranging from 24.8% to 18.8%, and the moisture level in the following layers remained unchanged.

Fig. 33 shows the relationship between moisture and ferrous iron contents during the drainage period. As indicated in the figure, ferrous iron concentration was closely correlated with the moisture content, with a linear decrease as the moisture content decreased. Ohyama and Sakai (61) reported similar results. The increase in



Figure 33. Relationship between Moisture and Ferrous Iron Content

the values of the air phase during the drainage treatment suggests that air penetrates into the soil from the surface or along the cracks formed by the soil shrinkage. Consequently, reduced substances such as ferrous iron may become oxidized. However, the formation of large cracks followed by shrinkage is likely to result in strong compaction of soil as already mentioned before. Therefore, to improve such strongly reduced soil condition by drainage treatment, it is important to improve the soil structure so that much air may easily reach the root zone. For this purpose, soil structure of the plowed layer should be improved to create as many small cracks as possible instead of a few large cracks.

### 3. Summary

Flooding of soil usually resulted in the rapid development of soil reduction, as shown by the increase in soil pH, the decrease in redox potential, and the formation of ferrous iron and ammonium.

As a rule, the higher the organic matter content in the soil, the more advanced the soil reduction. Soil reduction tended to be more pronounced in the Central Plain and Northern Region, in contrast with the North-eastern Region.

Marine Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, Humic Gley Soils, and some of the Low Humic Gley Soils rich in organic matter showed a pronounced soil reduction.

Liming brought about the development of soil reduction, especially in the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils (Acid Sulfate Soils), resulting in high mineralization of soil nitrogen.

Accelerated development of soil reduction occurred by the application of organic matter, especially for the soils poor in organic matter.

Intermittent drainage treatment during the growing period changed more or less the soil condition from a reduced one to an oxidized one.

# VII. Production Capability Classification of Paddy Soils

In order to increase rice production by improving soil management, it is essential to identify the soil factors limiting rice production. Recently, it has become necessary to introduce upland crops to paddy fields in order to increase farmers' income. Accordingly, it is important to determined whether the introduction of upland crops to paddy fields is suitable. For such purposes, the production capability classification seems to be a very effective method. Production capability classification is one of the interpretative soil classifications based upon soil surveys. It is a practical grading or grouping of soils based upon their limitations (management requirements and risks of damage) when used for crop production. Although the soils placed in the same class have limitations of about the same degree, the kind of limitation may vary considerably.

The production capability classification of paddy soils may indicate the kinds and the extent of soil limitations which impede rice production and concurrently may provide practical methods and/or techniques concerning the amelioration of the physical and chemical properties and enhancement of soil fertility. In other words, each soil class in the production capability classification may reflects the feasibility of soil improvement and fertilization. At the same time, the suitability for the introduction of upland crops to paddy fields may be suggested.

The soil survey staff of the Soil Survey Division, Department of Land Development have dealt with the problem of "Land Capability Classification" in reports on detailed reconnaissance soil surveys in each province (14, 31, 74, 75). However, these applied only to upland crops.

In this chapter, production capability classification of paddy soils in Thailand is attempted by using the methods which are generally applied in Japan (70, 80, 84).

#### 1. Materials and Methods

# 1) Methods

In the production capability classification, the soils are classified into four classes, I, II, III and IV on the basis of the presence or absence of limiting factors for normal growth of crops and the extent of possible deterioration of the soils. The four classes are defined as follows:

- Class I: Soils that have no or only few limiting factors or hazards for crop production and/or risks of soil damage and are regarded as either naturally fertile or as having the greatest potential for crop production without any improvement practices.
- Class II: Soils that have some limiting factors or hazards and/or risks of soil damage and require some improvement practices.
- Class III: Soils that have many limiting factors or hazards and/or risks of soil damage and require fairly intensive improvement practices.
- Class IV: Soils that have greater natural limitations than those in class III, but can be cultivated with some crops under very careful management.

The capability classification is based on the evaluation of each standard factor (the inherent soil characters), which is determined by the combination of dependent factors (supplementary soil characters). The standard factors and the dependent factors used are as follows:

For paddy rice

Thickness of top soil (t) Effective depth of soil (d) Gravel content of top soil (g) Permeability (l) Status of redox potential (r) Inherent fertility (f) Content of available nutrients (n) Presence of harmful substances (h) Frequency of accidents (a) For upland crops

Thickness of top soil (t) Effective depth of soil (d) Gravel content of top soil (g) Easiness of plowing (p) Wetness of land (w) Inherent fertility (f) Content of available nutrients (n) Presence of harmful substances (h) Frequency of accidents (a)

Standard factors are evaluated as I, II, III and IV by combining additional soil properties (dependent factors) which are individually ranked into four grades, 1, 2, 3 and 4. The production capability class of the soils is determined based on the lowest class value of the enumerated standard factors.

The detailed procedure of the production capability classification has been described in a previous publication (58).

2) Materials

Soil samples used for the production capability classification were selected so as to cover various kinds of soil groups in the representative paddy fields all over the country, that is, 71 profiles from the Central Plain, 44 profiles from the Northeastern Region, 37 profiles from the Northern Region, and 24 profiles from the Southern Region.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

Some examples of the production capability classification of the soils are listed in Table 33. Based on the simplified code formula, the soil factors which limit considerably crop production could be identified. For example, the simplified code formula of IIrnh for paddy rice and IIIpIItwnh for upland crops corresponds to the soil of Profile No. 1 (Bang Khen soil). The code formula, IIrnh for paddy rice, indicates that, of nine standard factors, status of redox potential (r), content of available nutrients (n) and presence of harmful substances (h) are evaluated as class II and the other factors are placed in class I. In other words, the code suggests that there is a possible risk for rice to sustain moderate to strong root damage due to strong soil reduction, and that the content of available nutrients in soil is somewhat insufficient for adequate growth and production of paddy rice. Also, the code indicates that rice plants are slightly damaged by the presence of harmful substances. If the soils were to be used for the cultivation of upland crops, there is an indication that plowing operations of the soil may be very difficult due to the very fine texture of soil and due to the fact that the soil is very sticky when moist, very hard when dry, and that upland crops are susceptible to damage caused by excessive wetness.

The production capability classification of the soil groups is briefly summarized as follows:

1) Marine Alluvial Soils

Marine Alluvial Soils analysed here were placed in the capability class II. They were generally very poorly to poorly drained, and had a very fine texture. The plowing layer was about 15 cm thick and the effective depth of soil was more than 1 meter. In general, the inherent soil fertility was high and the content of available nutrients also was rather high except for phosphorus and nitrogen. The excessive

No	Location	Sim	olified code formula
190.	Location	For Paddy	For Upland Crops
Marine A	Iluvial Soils		
1.	Phra Nakhon, Bang Khen St.	IIrnh	IIIpIItwnh
8.	Phetchaburi, Muang	Ilrnh	IIIplltwnh
12.	Samut Prakan, Bang Phli	IIIrlinh	Illpwlltnh
46.	Thon Buri, Nong Khaem	IIIriinn	IIIpwiitnn
Brackish	Water Alluvial Soils		
22.	Pathum Thani, Klong Luang St.	IIInIIrfh	IIIpnIItwfh
74.	Nakhon Nayok, Ongkarak	IIInIIrfh	IIIpnIItwfh
94.	Songkhla Muang	IIrfnh	IIIdpwIIfnh
Fresh Wa	ater Alluvial Soils		
66.	Kanchanaburi, Tha Muang	IItrn	IIItpIIn
67.	Ratchaburi, Photharam	IIInIIf	IIIpnIItf
141.	Sukhothai, Si Satchanalai	IItrfn	IIItpIIwfn
48.	Nong Khai, Si Chiang Mai	IIrfn	IItpfn
98.	Khon Kaen, Nam Phong	IIIfnIIl	IIIfn(III)wIIt
27.	Chiang Mai, San Kamphaeng	IIrfn	IItpfn
83.	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan	IIfn	IIpfn
174.	Phattalung, Rice St.	llrfn	IIIpIIwtn
Low Hum	nic Gley Soils		
132.	Nakhon Nayok, Muang	IIIfIItrn	IIItpfIIn
161.	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong St.	IIInIIIf	IIInIItpf
43.	Khon Kaen, Rice St.	IIIfnIIl	IIIfn(III)wIIt
106.	Sakon Nakhon, Muang	IIIfnIIl	IIIfn(III)wIIt
107.	Nakhon Phanom, Muang	IIIfnIItr	IIItfn
53.	Surin, Rice St.	IIIfnIII	lllfnllt(ll)w
89.	Chiang Mai, Doi Sa Ket	llrtn	llltlldpwfn
28.	Lampang, Hang Chat	lllfn H.G.	Illfnlltpw
30.	Lampang, Ngao	lirtn	litpwin ut une for
150. 165	Chiang Kai, Mae Chai	IIIIII IIIfee IIei	
105.	Trang Muang	IIIIIIII	IIIniiit
175.	Trang, Muang	111 111	mpittii
Humic G	ley Soils		
31.	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai	llrn	llpwn
82.	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai	llrn	Ilpwn
Regosols			
57.	Sakon Nakhon, Rice St.	IIIlfnh	IIIfnh(III)wIIt
103.	Udon Thani, Nong Han	IIIlfn	IIIfn(III)wIIt
104.	Sakon Nakhon, Sawan Daen Din	IIIIfnIIt	IIItfn(III)w
119.	Roi Et, Muang	IIIlfn	IIItfn(III)w
120.	Roi Et, Chaturaphak Phiman	IIIItn	IIItn(III)wIIt
Grumuso	ls		
71.	Lop Buri, Muang	IIn	IIIpIItwn
160.	Lop Buri, Muang	IIn	IIIpIItwn
163.	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong	IIn	IIIpIItwn

Table 33. Production Capability Classification

amounts of salts may adversely affect normal growth of rice plant. However, the most significant limitation of the soil seemed to be the strongly reduced condition caused by very poor drainage.

It would be very difficult to use such soils for upland crop cultivation in the wet season because they are among the poorest drained soils in Thailand. The damage caused by excessive wetness was likely to occur. However, in the dry season, upland crops could be introduced to some areas where irrigation water is available. In this case, plowing of the soil seemed to be very difficult, and there was a possible risk of excessive wetness when heavy rains occur.

#### 2) Brackish Water Alluvial Soils

Most of the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils were grouped in the capability class III. They were very poorly to poorly drained, and very fine-textured soils. The plowing layer was about 15 cm thick and effective depth of soils was as thick as 1 meter. But, in some places, so-called cat clay layer appeared within 50 cm of the surface. This cat-clay layer seemed to impair the normal growth of crops because of the high content of sulfur compounds. The content of available nutrients was low, especially phosphorus and nitrogen. Because of the strong acidity, aluminum, iron or manganese might become toxic and impair the normal growth of rice plant. Soil reduction was not so pronounced as in the Marine Alluvial Soils, but there still remained a possibility of root damage.

If the soils were to be used for the cultivation of upland crops, the acidification of the soil might increase. Accordingly, the amendment of the soil reaction is one of the essential farming practices required for the introduction of upland crops.

3) Fresh Water Alluvial Soils

Fresh Water Alluvial Soils were grouped in the capability class II or III, and the soil limiting factors greatly varied with the soils. Low soil fertility was common in most of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils. It is interesting, however, to point out that the soils formed on the riverine deposits from the Pasak river, were very fertile and had no special limitation except a weak risk of root damage.

In general, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils were moderately well to poorly drained soils with various grades of soil texture. In the poorly drained soils, root damage may occur. In some places, the thickness of the top soil (plowing layer) was unsuitable for adequate growth of rice plant.

Many kinds of upland crops can be cultivated when irrigation water is available, but it is necessary to apply organic matter and fertilizer that contain nitrogen and phosphorus to produce a reasonable yield. In coarse-textured soils, there is a high possibility of drought in the dry season.

4) Low Humic Gley Soils

Low Humic Gley Soils were grouped in the capability class III or II. As in the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils, both the inherent fertility and content of available nutrients were so low that crop production was severely limited. Especially, the soils of the North-eastern Region were extremely deficient in almost all of the nutrients necessary for the growth of plants. Drainage condition of this soil group greatly varied, mainly depending upon the physiographic position and soil texture. In the poorly drained soils, root damage was another limitation to normal production of paddy.

Many kinds of upland crops can be introduced both during the dry and wet seasons, when irrigation water is available. But, critical drought damage may take place in coarse-textured soils due to the very low available water-holding capacity. It is obviously essential to supply organic matter as well as base rich materials and phosphorus to improve the soil fertility. Crop residues, and green manure crops should be returned to the soils, because they supply organic matter and increase the water-holding capacity.

# 5) Humic Gley Soils

Although it is possible that a deficiency in minor elements may occur due to the alkaline reaction, Humic Gley Soils in Thailand appeared to be fertile and could be classified in the capability class II. As their content in organic matter is comparatively high, soil reduction may take place, resulting in root damage. Humic Gley Soils were deep, very fine-textured soils, but the drainage condition was better than in the other fine-textured soils due to the presence of a well developed prismatic structure. Many kinds of upland crops can be safely introduced throughout the year, if irrigation water is available.

# 6) Regosols

Since the Regosols are very low in both inherent fertility and available nutrients, they were grouped in class III or IV. It is considered that their natural fertility is much lower than that of the Low Humic Gley Soils. As the soils were sandy and very poor in organic matter, soil reduction did not take place readily. In some places, high content of salts may hinder normal growth of rice plant. The soils were well drained to moderately well drained, very deep and coarse-textured. The top soils were about 15 cm thick, and effective depth of soil usually exceeded 1 meter. The soils had a high permeability, and were unable to hold much water. Consequently, the soils were likely to become much dry in the dry season. Both very poor physical and chemical soil conditions make it difficult for the Regosols to be cultivated with upland crops. Under intensive management, however, a reasonable level of production can be expected. Although the yield gradually increased when fertilizers were applied, much attention should be directed to the construction of irrigation facilities, to the increase of organic matter content, and the application of soil improvement materials that contain calcium, magnesium and phosphate.

7) Grumusols

Grumusols were fertile soils that were grouped in the capability class II. However, there was a slight risk of minor element deficiency due to the high value of soil pH. The development of soil reduction was not so strong as to cause root damage. The soils were poorly drained with a deep fine texture. The soils showed deep and wide cracks when dry, while the clay swelled to the point of preventing water from infiltrating soil in the rainy season. As the clay was very sticky and plastic when moist and very hard when dry, farming practices may become difficult.

#### 3. Summary

Marine Alluvial Soils were classified in the capability class II. In general, the inherent fertility was relatively high and available nutrient contents were also rather high except for phosphorus and nitrogen. The most severe limitation of the soils seemed to be the strong reduced condition caused by very poor drainage, resulting in serious root damage.

Brackish Water Alluvial Soils were classified in the capability class III. The contents of available nutrients were low, especially phosphorus and nitrogen. Because of the strongly acidic soil reaction, aluminum, iron and manganese may become toxic and impair the normal growth of plant. Also phosphorus fixation capacity was very strong. Soil reduction was not particularly strong, but there still remained a possibility of root damage.

Fresh Water Alluvial Soils were classified in the capability class II or III. Low soil fertility was common in most of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils. In poorly drained soils, root damage may occur.

Low Humic Gley Soils were classified in the capability class III or II. Both the inherent fertility and contents of available nutrients were so low as to limit crop production. Especially, the soils from the North-eastern Region were extremely deficient in almost all of the nutrients necessary for normal growth of plant.

Humic Gley Soils were rather fertile soils in Thailand and classified in the capability class II. Because of the slightly alkaline reaction, there was a possibility that microelement deficiency may occur.

Regosols were classified in the capability class III or IV. Both the inherent fertility and contents of available nutrients were extremely low. In some places, high content of salt may impede normal growth of rice plant.

Grumusols were classified in the capability class II. They were fertile as well as the Humic Gley Soils, but there was a risk of minor element deficiency due to the high value of soil pH.

In general, the improvement of the soil physical properties such as water permeability, available water-holding capacity, tillage etc., is very difficult and requires large investments of capital. On the other hand, it is relatively easy to improve the chemical properties by application of fertilizer and/or soil improvement materials. In Thailand, heavy rainfall occurring during the wet season and the long duration of high temperature tend to promote leaching in soils. Soil fertility is always likely to be decreased. Therefore, much attention should be directed to maintain and to increase soil fertility. The use of commercial fertilizer alone, however, cannot solve the problem and liming, green manure application, and some other proper soil and water management practices are essential.

#### VIII. Summary and Conclusions

Thailand produces approximately 14 million metric tons of paddy annually and has a surplus of about 1.5 million metric tons of milled rice.

Taking into account the growing population and limited land availability for rice cultivation in Thailand the country must make its utmost efforts to increase rice production per unit area. Breeding of new varieties of rice would contribute significantly to the increase of yield, but it must be pointed out that the high yielding varieties usually require higher inputs and depend more heavily on soil management practices than the common local varieties. Therefore, it is essential to understand the importance of soil fertility and to adopt proper measures for soil management and improvement in order to increase crop production.

#### 1. Morphological Characteristics of Paddy Soils

Of the total cultivated area, 6.9 million hectares are devoted to rice cultivation. The soils used for rice cultivation are the Marine Alluvial Soils, Brackish Water Alluvial Soils, Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils. Only sporadically are the Humic Gley Soils, Regosols, Gray Podzolic Soils, Non-Calcic Brown Soils and Grumusols being cultivated with paddy rice.

Marine Alluvial Soils are still young soils with an Apg-Cg horizon sequence, which are derived from marine sediments. The clay contents of the soils were very high throughout the profile, the soil texture consisting of heavy clay. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils (Acid Sulfate Soils) are characterized by the presence of a so-called "catclay". The morphological characteristics of the Fresh Water Alluvial Soils varied considerably with the nature of the parent materials, but iron and/or manganese concretions were commonly found throughout the profile. Low Humic Gley Soils with an Apg-Bg-Cg horizon sequence are derived from semi-recent alluvium or old alluvium on semi-recent terraces. Soil color greatly varied ranging from 5YR to 5Y in hue, reflecting the parent materials. Iron and/or manganese concretions were commonly observed throughout the profile. Regosols which were widely distributed in the Northeastern Region were characterized by high amounts of fine sand. The horizon differentiation of this group was very poor. Grumusols were characterized by black or dark heavy clay containing chiefly montmorillonite with an Ap-C horizon sequence.

#### 2. Characteristics of the Physical Properties of Paddy Soils

Although the importance of the physical properties of paddy soils for rice growth has not been properly recognized hitherto, much attention should be directed to the physical behavior of the soils to increase the agricultural potential of paddy fields.

The Thai paddy soils were grouped into a wide range of textural classes. As a rule, the soils from the Central Plain consisted of heavy clay. By contrast, most of the soils from the North-eastern Region were coarse-textured soils except for the soils distributed along the river. In the Northern Region, silt fraction sometimes predominated, the soil texture consisting of silty clay or light clay.

Of the three phases, the solid phase accounted for the largest portion regardless of soil groups or regions. An also, the bulk density was fairly high irrespective of the textural classes.

#### 3. Characteristics of the Chemical Properties of Paddy Soils

The majority of the essential nutrients for plant growth are principally supplied by the soil and the supplying capacity of plant nutrients is closely related to the chemical properties of the soils such as soil reaction, organic matter contents, cation exchange capacity, etc.

The values of soil pH were quite different among the soil groups depending upon the parent materials from which the soils were derived. As a rule, the Marine Alluvial Soils were slightly acidic in the surface soils, but still remained neutral or slightly alkaline in the subsoils. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils showed very low pH values. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils and Low Humic Gley Soils had a wide range of pH values.

Organic matter contents were very low, compared with those in the temperate region, the average value for the whole country being 2.0%. Although the average value of the cation exchange capacity of the paddy soils was 14.8 meq/100 g for the whole country, the values varied considerably depending on the quantity and quality of clay contained. Of the exchangeable cations, calcium and magnesium predominated in the composition of the sorption complex. Marine Alluvial Soils were characterized by the predominance of exchangeable magnesium.

The average values of total nitrogen and available nitrogen were 0.118% and 34.7 ppm in the Central Plain, 0.023% and 18.2 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 0.133% and 60.7 ppm in the Northern Region, and 0.118% and 44.5 ppm in the Southern Region, respectively. These figures were very low compared with those in other rice growing countries. The average values of available phosphorus as  $P_2O_5$  were 16.4 ppm in the Central Plain, 6.6 ppm in the North-eastern Region, 17.7 ppm in the Northern Region and 19.8 ppm in the Southern Region respectively. The figures seemed to indicate the existence of a severe deficiency in these elements resulting in the impairment of rice growth.

#### 4. Clay Mineralogical Characteristics of Paddy Soils

The clay mineral composition of the Thai paddy soils was, in general, characterized by the presence of a large amount of 7Å minerals. However, some distinctive characteristics were recognized among the soil groups and regions.

Marine Alluvial Soils had a mineral composition characterized by equal amounts of 7-10-14Å minerals. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils had nearly the same composition as the Marine Alluvial Soils except for the presence of chlorite. The soils distributed along the Mekong River were characterized by the predominance of mica clay minerals. The soils from the Southern Region were much more kaolinitic than those from the other three regions. Grumusols derived from marly sediments were highly montmorillonitic in their clay mineral composition.

#### 5. Reductive-Oxidative Characteristics of Paddy Soils

Paddy rice cultivation has been commonly performed under flooded conditions. Flooding of soil resulted in appreciable changes in the soils such as the increase of soil pH, decrease in redox potential, formation of ferrous iron, ammonia and harmful substances, etc. The higher the organic matter contents in the soils, the more progressive the soil reduction. Liming and application of organic materials to the soils accelerated the development of soil reduction. Intermittent drainage treatment resulted in the conversion of soils from a reductive state to an oxidative one during the growing period.

#### 6. Productive Characteristics of Paddy Soils

Production capability classification of paddy soils was attempted to identify the soil factors limiting rice production and/or the extent of soil damage.

In the Central Plain, the most severe limitation in the paddy soils appeared to be the strongly reduced condition caused by very poor drainage. Brackish Water Alluvial Soils were placed in class III, because of the severe deficiency in available phosphorus and nitrogen and possible occurrence of iron and aluminum toxicity. Fresh Water Alluvial Soils were very low in soil fertility, and classified in class II or III. Low Humic Glay Soils were classified in class III or II, because of the low level of both inherent soil fertility and available nutrients, especially the soils from the North-eastern Region.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

In conclusion, the soils in the Central Plain had a moderate fertility. In the Central Plain, rice production was affected largely by the strongly reduced soil condition, resulting in serious root damage. And also, severe deficiency of phosphorus caused low rice yield in addition to nitrogen deficiency and possible toxicity of aluminum, iron and manganese, especially in the area with Brackish Water Alluvial Soils. In the marginal area of the Central Plain, available nutrients were not sufficient for normal growth of rice.

The increase in rice yield in the Central Plain, therefore, may be achieved by the maintenance of a suitable oxidation-reduction state through water management such as intermittent drainage treatment. However it is very difficult to carry out water management efficiently, especially in the rice season. It is important in the case of the Brackish Water Alluvial Soils to enhance the availability of soil phosphorus and to increase the efficiency of phosphorus fertilizer in order to increase yield.

In the North-eastern Region, the soil fertility was too low to enable the cultivation of rice, especially in the coarse-textured soils derived from well weathered sand stone. Analytical data indicated that the soils in this region are characterized by a low pH, low cation exchange capacity and an extremely low content of available nutrients as well as organic matter. It is likely that the deficiency in minor elements will become a problem when rice growth is improved by the introduction of better varieties, the application of fertilizer with three major elements, and so on. Under such conditions it is very difficult to increase soil fertility, which could be achieved, however, by continuous application of organic matter such as farm manure, green manure, rice straw, city compost etc., soil dreesing, suitable crop rotation including leguminous crops and so forth.

The soils in the Northern Region were relatively fertile as indicated by the average yield. In fact, chemical analyses showed that the contents of almost all the nutrients examined were higher in this region than in the other regions. However, continuous application of organic matter is necessary for improving and maintaining the soil fertility and productivity.

In the Southern Region, the soils were very complex and their fertility greatly varied depending upon the parent materials and physiographic position. Undoubtedly the soils were generally deficient in phosphorus and nitrogen.

Soil is the medium for plant growth and animal life, and a fertile soil is thus the basis of human prosperity. The importance of soil fertility should be more emphasized.

# References

- 1) Abe, K. and Araake, M.: Clay mineral composition of paddy soils in Japan and its application to soil classification, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B. 27, 61–127 (1975) (In Japanese with English summary)
- Abe, K.: Present soil condition of cultivated land in Japan, Trop. Agri. Res. Series, No. 15, 97-103 (1982)
- 3) Araragi, M. et al.: Studies on microflora in tropical paddy and upland farm soils, Tech. Bull. Trop. Agri. Res. Center, No. 13, 1-89 (1979)
- Barshad, I.: Thermal analysis techniques for mineral identification and mineralogical composition, In Black, C.A. (ed) Methods of soil analysis. Am. Soc. Agro., Madison Wis., 699-742 (1965)
- 5) Breemen, N. van: Genesis and solution chemistry of acid sulfate soils in Thailand, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, (1976)
- 6) Buringh, P.: Introduction to the study of soils in tropical and subtropical regions, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, p. 29-44 (1970)
- 7) Charoen, P.: Studies on parent materials, clay minerals, and fertility of paddy soils in Thailand, Doctoral dissertation, Kyoto Univ. (1974)
- 8) Chlitkul W. and Tyner, E. H.: Inorganic phosphorus fraction and relation to some chemical indices of phosphate availability for some lowland rice soils of Thailand, Pro. Inter. Symp. on Soil Fertility Evaluation, 1, 7-30, New Delhi (1971)
- 9) Cowie, J. D.: Key to soil series in Thailand, First Approximation, Soil Survey Division, Dep. Land Development and FAO, Bangkok (1969) (Mimeographed)
- 10) Dei, Y.: Water management in relation to growth of rice plant, Water Management for Rice Cultivation, p. 9-23, Tokyo (1968) (In Japanese)
- 11) Department of Land Development USOM/PASA: Some characteristics, inferred quality, adapted plants, management problems and suitability interpretation of soils for north-eastern Thailand, Bangkok (1969)
- 12) Division of Agriculture Economics: Agricultural Statistics of Thailand, crop year 1976/1977, Agri. Stat. No. 72 (1977)
- 13) Egawa, T.: Clay mineral, fundamental studies of soils and fertilizers, Dojo Hiryo Zenpen (Soils and Fertilizers), Yokendo, Tokyo, p. 189-192 (1958) (In Japanese)
- 14) Gallup, D. L. et al.: Soil survey interpretation handbook for North-east Thailand, Part II. Land capability classification, Soil Survey and Land Classification Div., Report SSR-60, Bangkok (1967)
- 15) Harada, T.: The mineralization of native organic nitrogen in paddy soils and the mechanism of its mineralization, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B. 9, 123–199 (1959) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 16) Harada, Y. and Inoko, A.: The relationship between cation exchange capacity and the degree of maturity of city refuse composts, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 26, 353–362 (1980)
- 17) Hattori, T. et al.: A few considerations on clay minerals in Thai paddy soils, Tonan Ajia Kenkyu (The Southeast Asian Studies) 3, 151-165 (1965) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 18) Hattori, T. et al.: Mineral composition of clay fraction in the Quaternary deposits in the Chao Phraya Basin, Central Thailand, ibid., 6, 911-916 (1969)
- 19) Hattori, T. et al.: The caly mineral composition of Cambodian paddy soils in relation to their weathering history, ibid., 7, 49-57 (1970) (In Japanese with

English summary)

- 20) Hattori, T.: Some properties of soils and substrata in the Lampan Basin, ibid., 7, 527-549 (1970)
- 21) Hattori, T.: The Quaternary stratigraphy in the northern basin of the Central Plain, Thailand, ibid., 9, 398-419 (1971)
- 22) Hattori, T.: Clay mineral composition of the soils and substrata of some Quaternary in Saraburi and Lop Buri area of the Central Plain of Thailand, ibid., 13, 481-492 (1975)
- 23) Inoue, K. et al.: Chloritization of paddy soils caused by acidic irrigation-water, J. Sci. Soil and Manure, Japan, 48, 193-200 (1977) (In Japanese)
- 24) Ishizuka, Y.: Nutrient deficiency of crops, ASPAC, Food & Fertilizer Technology Center, Taipei (1971)
- Jackson, M. L.: Soil chemical analysis, Advanced course. Dept. of Soils, Univ. Wis. Madison, 171-250 (1965)
- 26) Kamoshita, Y.: Soil types on Tsugaru Plain, Aomori Prefecture, J. Sci. Soil and Manure, Japan, 10, 311-317 (1937) (In Japanese)
- Kanapathy, K.: Studies on the reclamation of acid swamp soils, Malaysian Agri. J., 49, 33-46 (1971)
- Kanno, I.: A new classification system of rice soils in Japan, Pedologist, 6, 2-10 (1962)
- 29) Kawaguchi, K. and Kyuma, K.: Lowland rice soils in Thailand, Reports on Research in Southeast Asia, Natural Science Series, N-4, The Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto Univ. (1969)
- 30) Kawaguchi, K. and Kyuma, K.: Paddy soils in tropical Asia Their nature and fertility. The Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto Univ. (1977)
- 31) Kevie, W. van der and Yenmamas, B: Semi-detailed soil survey of a part of Central Plain, Report SSR-88, Soil Survey Div., Dept. Land Development, Bangkok (1972)
- 32) Kittayarak, S.: Soil series of the North East, Soil Survey Div., Dept Land Development, Bangkok (1971)
- 33) Kobayashi, J.: Chemical studies on the quality of the river water in the countries of Southeast Asia: Quality of water in Thailand, Nogaku Kenkyu, 6, 63–112 (1963) (In Japanese)
- 34) Komes, A.: The reclamation of some problem soils in Thailand, Tech. Bull, No. 14. ASPAC, Food & Fertilizer Technology Center, Taipei (1973)
- 35) Komoto, Y., et al.: Effect of phosphate fertilization on rice in the warm region, Part 1, Abstracts of the Annual Meeting of the Soc. Soil Sci. and Manure, Japan, 14, 94 (1968) (In Japanese)
- 36) Kosaka, J.: Volcanic Ash Soils in Japan, p. 107-109, ed. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Japan (1964)
- 37) Koyama. T. et al.: Varietal difference of Thai rice in the resistance to phosphorus deficiency, Tech. Bull. TARC, Japan, No. 4, 1-22 (1973)
- 38) Koyama, T. and Shibuya, M.: Determination of nitrogen supplying capacity of soils using <sup>15</sup>N tracer technique, JARQ, 9, 84-86 (1975)
- 39) Lusanandana, B. et al.: Simple fertilizer trials in cultivators' field in Thailand, Bangkok (1968) (mimeographed)
- 40) Matsuguchi, T., Tangcham, B. and Patiyuth, S.: Free living nitrogen fixers and acetylene reduction in tropical rice fields, JARQ, 8, 253-256 (1974)
- 41) Matsuzaka, Y.: Study on the classification of paddy soils in Japan, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B. 20, 155-349 (1969) (In Japanese with English summary)

- 42) Matsuzaka, Y.: Major soil groups in Japan, Proc. Inter. Seminar on Soil Environment and Fertility Management in Intensive Agriculture, p. 89–95 (1977)
- 43) Middleton, H. E. and Byers, H. G.: The settling volume of soils, Soil Sci., 37, 15-25 (1934)
- 44) Mitsuchi, M.: Pedogenic characteristics of paddy soils and their significance in soil classification, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B25, 29-115 (1972) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 45) Mitsuchi, M.: Chloritization in lowland paddy soils, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 20, 107-116 (1974)
- 46) Mitsui, S.: Dynamic aspects of nutrient uptake, The Mineral Nutrition of Rice Plant, p. 52-63 Johns Hopkins Press, Maryland (1964)
- 47) Miyake, M.: Soil testing methods for available phosphorus in paddy soils of Indonesia, Japan. J. Trop. Agri., 22, 133-138 (1978)
- 48) Montrakul, S.: Agriculture and soils of Thailand, The Ministry of Agriculture, Bangkok (1964)
- 49) Moormann, F. R. and Rajanasoonthon, S.: Soils of Thailand, a reconnaissance Survey Report No. 72, Dept. Land Developemtn, Bankgkok, (1968) (mimeographed)
- 50) Moormann, F. R. and Rajanasoonthon, S.: The soils of the Kingdom of Thailand-Explanatory text of the general soil map, Report SSR-72A, Soil Survey Div. Dept. Land Development, Bangkok (1972)
- 51) Moorman, F. R. and Breemen, N. van: Rice, Soil, Water, Land, p. 83-102, IRRI, (1978)
- 52) Motomura, S. and Yamanaka, K.: Studies on gley formation, part 4., J. Sci. Soil Manure, Japan, 34, 428-432 (1963) (In Japanese)
- 53) Motomura, S.: Physical and chemical characteristics of fine textured soils of Japan, Annual report of the 2nd Div. of Soils, Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., p. 1–58, (1969) (mimeographed)
- 54) Motomura, S.: Dynamic behavior of ferrous iron in paddy soils, JARQ, 3, 12-17 (1969)
- 55) Motomura, S.: Determination of ferrous iron of soil, p. 15-26 in Laboratory Manual for Soil Chemical Analysis ed. TARC (1973)
- 56) Motomura, S. et al.: Liming effect on growth and yield of paddy rice, Abst. Annual Meeting of Soc. Soil Sci. and Manure, Japan p. 77 (1975) (In Japanese)
- 57) Motomura, S.: Soil fertility of tropical paddy soils and soil management, Farming Japan, 10, 18-27 (1976)
- 58) Motomura, S. et al.: Field observation and laboratory analysis of paddy soils in Thailand, Nekken Shiryo, No. 45 (1979)
- 59) Nakaya, N. and Motomura, S.: Effects of organic and mineral fertilization on soil physical properties and hydrophobicity of soil organic matter, Organic Matter and Rice, p. 387–398, IRRI (1984)
- 60) Oyama, M.: A classification system of paddy rice field soils on their diagnostic horizons, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B 12, 303–372 (1962) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 61) Ohyama, N. and Sakai, H.: Changes in oxidation-reduction state of plowed layer in paddy soils, J. Sci. Soil and Manure, Tokyo, 42, 349–354 (1971) (In Japanese)
- 62) Onikura, Y.: Soil characteristics concerned with the beneficial effect of water management in rice cultivation, Trop. Agri. Research Series, No. 9, 117–129 (1970)
- 63) Paul Vlek: Some morphological, physical and chemical aspects of acid sulfate soils

in Thailand, SSR-84, Soil Survey Div. Dept. Land Development, Bangkok (1971)

- 64) Pendelton, R. L.: Soils of Thailand, The Natural History Bull., Bangkok, 12, 33-74 (1940)
- 65) Piyapongse, P.: Cation exchange capacity of some paddy soils with high organic matter content, Annual Research Report of Rice Dept. in 1967, Bangkok, pp 215-220 (1970) (mimeographed)
- 66) Ponnanperuma, F. N.: Dynamic aspect of flooded soils and the nutrition of the rice plant, The Mineral Nutrition of the Rice Plant, p. 295–328, Johns Hopkins Press. Maryland (1964)
- 67) Ponnanperuma, F. N.: The chemistry of submerged soils, Advances in Agronomy, 24, 29-96 (1972)
- 68) Pons, L. J. and Kevie, W. van der: Acid sulfate soils in Thailand, SSR-81, Soil Survey Div. Dept. Land Development, Bangkok (1969)
- 69) Sakai, H.: Effect of water managment on paddy soil metabolism and its use in the growth control of rice plant, Trop. Agri. Research Series, No. 9, 139-151 (1975)
- 70) Sakayanagi, M. and Kawai, K.: Soil survey and land classification of paddy field for rice production increase in Japan, IRC 13th Session of Working Party on Soils, Water and Fertilizer Practices, Bangkok, (1972) (mimeographed)
- 71) Shaykewich, C. F. and Zwarich, M. A.: The relationship between soil physical constants and soil physical component of some Manitoba soils, Can. J. Soil Sci., 48, 199–204 (1968)
- 72) Shioiri, M.: Chemistry of paddy soils, Dainiponnokai, (1943) (In Japanese)
- 73) Shiga, H.: Effect of phosphorus fertility of soils and phosphate application on lowland rice, JARQ, 10, 12-16 (1976)
- 74) Scholten, J. J. and Doonyawat, W.: Detailed reconnaissance soil survey of the Northern part of Chiang Rai Province, SSR-86, Soil Survey Div., Dept. Land Developemtn, Bangkok (1971)
- 75) Scholton, J. J. and Doonyawat, W.: Detailed reconnaissance soil survey of Nan province, SSR-90, Soil Survey Div. Dept. Land Development, Bangkok (1972)
- 76) Sorasith, V. et al.: Characterization of selected Thai soils by means of X-ray diffraction, chemical and mineral. (1962) (mimeographed)
- 77) Sudo, S.: Fundamental aspect of soil structure, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B. 12, 255–301 (1962)
- 78) Takai, Y. et al.: Microbial metabolism in reduction process of paddy soils, Soil and Plant Food, Tokyo, 2, 63-66 (1956)
- 79) Takai, Y.: The mechanism of reduction in paddy soil, JARQ, 4, 20-23 (1969)
- 80) Takijima, Y.: A tentative proposal for productivity classification of paddy soils in a detailed soil survey, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 13, 171-185 (1967)
- 81) Tanaka, A. and Yoshida, S.: Nutritional disorders of the rice plant in Asia, IRRI Tech. Bull. No. 10 (1970)
- 82) Terasawa, S.: Physical behavior of various great groups of paddy soils, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci. B. 22, 85-210 (1970) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 83) TARC: Laboratory manual for soil chemical analysis, p. 7-10 (1973)
- 84) The Council on Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry: Proposal on productivity and suitability classification of paddy field soils, Tokyo, (1964) (In Japanese)
- 85) Uchiyama, N.: Morphology of paddy soil, Chikyu Shuppan, Tokyo (1949) (In Japanese)
- 86) USDA: Soil classification, a comprehensive system 7th approximation, (1960)

- 87) USDA: Soil classification system, 7th approximation, 1964 supplement (1964)
- 88) USDA: Soil classification, 7th approximation, 1967 supplement (1967)
- 89) Wada, H. and Matsumoto, S.: Pedogenenic process in paddy soils, Pedologist, 17, 21-15 (1973)
- 90) Wada, K.: Qualitative and quantative determination of clay minerals, J. Sci. Soil and Manure, 37, 9-17 (1966) (In Japanese)
- 91) Whittig, L. D.: X-ray diffraction techniques for mineral identification and mineralogical composition, In Black, C. A. ed. Methods of Soil Analysis. Am. Soc. Agro. Madison, 671-698 (1965)
- 92) Yamazaki, K.: Studies on the pedogenetic classification of paddy soils in Japan, Bull. Toyama Pref. Agri. Exp. Station Special Report, 1, 1-105 (1960) (In Japanese)
- 93) Yokoi, H.: Theoretical analysis of particle size distribution of soil, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B. 25, 1-28 (1974)
- 94) Yoshida, S. and Imaizumi, K.: Edaphological studies on silica supplying power of paddy fields, Bull. Nat. Inst. Agri. Sci., B.8, 261-304 (1958) (In Japanese with English summary)
- 95) Yoshida, S. et al.: Occurrence, diagnosis and correction of zinc deficiency of lowland rice, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 19, 83-93 (1973)
- 96) Yoshino, T. and Dei. Y.: Pattern of nitrogen release in paddy soils predicted by an incubation method, JARQ, 8, 137-141 (1974)

	2	9	
٠	-	•	
	C	3	
	ç		
	٩	ز	
	¢	2	
	È	2	
•	-	1	
	~	4	

# Appendix 1. Analytical Data on Physical Properties Central Plain

No. Location Horizon E 1. Phra Nakhon, Apg Bang Khen, Al2g Rice Ex. St. Al12g 1. Clg 2. Phra Hakhon, Apg Bang Khen, Al2g 1. Clg 8. Phetchaburi, Apg 0- 8. Phetchaburi, Apg 0- 9. Samut Songkhram Al2g 0- 1. Clg 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0- 0-	Depth (cm) 0-15 0-50 50-65 65-	0					Three	phase (%)	~	Bulk de	nsity	tation	persion	holding	equiv
1. Phra Nakhon,     Apg       Bang Khen,     Al2g       Bang Khen,     Al2g       1. Phra Nakhon,     Al2g       2. Phra Hakhon,     Apg       Bang Khen,     Apg       Bang Khen,     Angg       Bang Khen,     Angg       Bang Khen,     Apg       Bang Khen,     Angg	0-15 15-30 30-50 50-65 65-	CoS (%)	FS (%)	Silt C	lay %) Tex	ture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	volume (ml/g)	ratio (%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
Rice Ex. St. AILS In C. S. Bang Anter, AILS In C. S. Bang Anter, AILC 2 In C. S. Bang Khen, AIR Apg In C. S. AILC 2 A Anter An	50-50 50-55 56-65	0.0	4.4	1.1 7	1.5 HC		8.1 4 27 6	1.1	20.8	0.990	1.165	2.1	81.3 84 5	64.5 60.4	48.7
2.     Phra Hakhon,     IIC1g     5       2.     Phra Hakhon,     Apg     1       Bang Khen,     Apg     1       Rice Ex. St.     AllCg     3       Rice Ex. St.     AllCg     3       Muang     C1g     2       O.     0.5     Samut Songkhram       Apg     0.1     C2g       Amphawa     Al2g     10	50-65 65-	0.2	5.1	3.2	0.0 1.5 HC		12.7 4	1.5	15.8	1.111	1.202	2.2	84.2	£.00 99.9	
IIC2g           2. Phra Hakhon,         Apg         1           Bang Khen,         Al2g         1           Bang Khen,         Al2g         1           Rice Ex. St.         Al12g         1           R. Phetchaburi,         Apg         0           Muang         C1g         2           O.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0           Amphawa         Al22g         10         2	65-	1.0	9.0	5.8 6	4.2 HC	~	14.6 4	14.8	10.6	1.161	1.200	2.2	92.7	68.3	
<ol> <li>Phra Hakhon, Apg Bang Khen, Al2g</li> <li>Bang Khen, Al2g</li> <li>Rice Ex. St. Al1Cg</li> <li>Al1Cg</li> <li>Al1Cg</li> <li>Phetchaburi, Apg</li> <li>Muang</li> <li>C1g</li> <li>C2g</li> <li>Amphawa</li> <li>Al2g</li> <li>10</li> </ol>		0.5	9.7	6.2 6	3.6 HC		ı	ı	ı	ı	1.205	2.7	93.4	66.2	
Bang Khen,         Al2g         1           Bang Khen,         Al2g         1           Rice Ex. St.         Al1Cg         4           B. Phetchaburi,         Apg         0-           Muang         C1g         4           O.         C1g         4           Anuang         C1g         4           Anang         Anag         0-           Anang         C1g         2           Amphawa         Apg         0-	0-15	0	5.9 2	6.2 6	7.9 HC		39.5 4	4.0	16.5	1.027	1.161	2.0	81.2	63.7	47.9
Kice Ex. St.         AllCg         3           8.         Phetchaburi,         Apg         0-           8.         Muang         C1g         10           9.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0-           9.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0-	15-30	0	6.5	14.1 6	9.4 HC	~	H.3 4	-0.9 	17.8	1.074	1.161	2.1	76.2	61.9	46.
8.         Phetchaburi,         Apg         0-           8.         Muang         0.         312g         10           9.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0-           9.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0-	50-45 15-65	2.5	9.0 0.5	801 50	5.0 HC		13.0 4 17.2 4	6.4 13.3	0.01 9.5	1.11/	1.138	2.2	92.5	00.3 04.9	
8.         Phetchaburi,         Apg         0-           Muang         A12g         10         2           Muang         C1g         2         2           C2g         4         2         2           9.         Samut Songkhram         Apg         0-           Amphawa         Al22         10	8	2					1	I		1	1		I	I	
Muang         A12g         10           Clg         2         2         2           Octor         C2g         4         9         5 anut Songkhram         Apg         0-           Amphawa         Alzg         10         10         10         10	-10/15	1.1	9.6	80.7 5	8.6 HC		50.2 5	32.6	17.2	1.330	1.222	2.1	71.5	57.4	33.
Clg 2 C2g 4 9. Samut Songkhram Apg 0- Amphawa A12g 10	/15-20	2.2	1.1	0.5 4	6.2 HC		53.2	5.5	11.3	1.410	1.218	2.2	75.5	55.8	ŝ
9. Samut Songkhram Apg 0- Amphawa Al2g 10.	20-40	1.7	7.6 9.7	25.5 7.9 6	5.2 HC		51.5	2.7	10.8 7 3	1.339	1.200	2.3 2.3	88.7 80.6	67.9 65.8	
<ol> <li>Samut Songkhram Apg U- Amphawa Al2g 10.</li> </ol>		0.0	0.2	4 4 4	0.1 11 1		10.0	0.0	2	1171	0011		0.00	0.00	1
Ampnawa A12g 10.	-10/15	0.4	0.0	7 2 2	4.4 100		,	ı	ı	·	1.128	1.2	202 202	77 E	10
	/15-20	0.4	x - x	2.4.0	2.0 HC		ı		ı	,	1.190	010	0.26	C. 1.1	.10
CIG Z	20-43 13-65	0.6 0.6	1.5	23.8	3.1 HC			r i	1 1		1.139	3.1	85.5	6.10 90.5	
19 C	11	60	0	2 3 0	011 000		0 - 0 - 0	10	101	1 990	1 100	16	00.2	50.7	
العسر Dhli Apg المربع Bang Dhli Ac	U-10	7.0 9.0	лц Ч	0.2	0.0 HC		5 7.14 7.14	1.2	10.1 8 5	1 138	1 103	+ 6 9 7	08.9 08.9	09.4 69.4	
	25-55	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0 H H		0.7 0.7	1.6	0.0	1 101	1 160	4 G 7 I	96.3	71.6	
C2g C2g	55-65	1.3	2.1	3.7 7	2.9 HC		37.7 5	8.4	3.9	0.981	1.137	3.4	97.8	68.2	
13. Chachoengsao, Apg-1	0-3	0.3	4.9	6.8 4	8.0 HC	2	13.5 1	8.4	38.1	1.153	1.199	2.0	82.6	50.2	38.
Bang Pakong Apg-2	3-15	0.5	9.8	3.4 4	6.3 HC		ı	ī	ı	ı	ī	2.1	96.2	49.7	36.8
A12g 1	15-30	2.1	6.6	1.2 5	0.1 HC	7	17.5 2	2.3	30.2	1.235	1.223	2.1	92.1	59.2	
Clg 3	30-50 50-	5.8	7.9	4 7 4	7.9 HC		8,44 8,60 4 0,94	5.4 2.2	8.11 8.0	1.165	1.165	2.3	86.2 94.0	64.3 70.4	
20 Samut Sakhon And	0-15	10	64	87	48 HC		1 023	23	30.8	1 420	1 130	2.1	83.1	60.2	38
Krathum Baen A120 1	15-25	0.4	2.0	2.7 4	0H 66		17.2	12	30.7	1.227	1.221	2.1	87.6	57.7	37.
Cg 2	25-65	3.7	0.1	2.3 4	3.0 LiG	0	52.7 2	1.0	20.3	1.370	1.247	2.3	75.2	54.8	
58. Thon Buri, Apg	0-23	0	8.4	14.2 5	7.4 HC		10.8 3	8.8	20.4	1.079	1.065	1	72.1	62.9	42.5
Nong Khaem Clg 2	23-55	0.6	9.2	2.9 5	7.3 HC	-	15.6 3	80 t	15.6 2.6	1.208	1.288	I	75.9	I	
C2g	-00-	0.7	6.6	3.0	0./ HL		14.7 4	1.1	0.1	1.184	1.190		c.c/	1	
59. Nakhon Pathom, Apg (	0-20	0.1	1.7	1.1 5	7.1 HC		ı	ı	ï	ł	1.012	i.	71.6	I	·
Nakhon Chaisi BCg 2	20-50	0.2	1.8	8.3	9.7 HC		ı	ı	ı	ł	1.290	ı	69.8	I	
CIG	09-09	0.4	7.5	6.3 6	5.8 HC		ī	ı	ŀ	1	1.277		C.11	I	

Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	43.2 40.4 -	41.0 44.2 -	48.6 44.2 -	49.2 47.8 -		40.9 35.1 -	36.9 37.3 -	36.2 39.2 -	41.9 40.0 - -	40.6 39.1 -	45.4 39.4 -
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	62.7 53.8 -	59.2 63.0 -	62.7 58.8 -	64.7 61.6 63.4 -		62.0 65.4 63.0 62.0	64.2 50.9 55.7 59.0	52.5 61.2 68.9 69.3	64.3 59.0 57.1 64.2 57.4 69.2	58.9 52.7 -	61.4 55.1 -
Dis- persion	ratio (系)	68.3 76.9 94.6	92.5 98.4 94.7 89.5	74.6 85.8 97.0 85.4	81.9 81.3 81.2 -		63.1 71.1 78.7 77.6	67.8 63.2 69.0 54.4	67.0 55.7 59.2 69.9	54.9 55.8 59.4 66.9 68.0 58.9	55.7 41.5 67.4	75.4 72.5 56.9 78.1
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	ļ I	2.5 2.9 2.8 2.8	2.2 2.6 2.6	2.1 2.2 2.4 2.4		2.0 2.3 2.5 2.5	1.7 1.7 1.6 2.1	2.1 2.2 2.4 2.4	2.3 2.4 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	2.1 2.2 2.3	2.3 2.4 2.1
lensity	Air- dried	1.081 1.124 -	$1.163 \\ 1.186 \\ 1.186 \\ 1.155 \\ 1.146$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.175 \\ 1.242 \\ 1.185 \\ 1.185 \end{array} $			1.160 1.165 1.193 1.213	1.132 1.174 1.163 1.161	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.178 \\ 1.125 \\ 1.142 \\ 1.115 \end{array} $	1.004 1.081 1.060 1.106 1.120 1.101	1.040 1.074 1.144	1.040 1.164 1.139 1.149
Bulk	Field	1 1 1	1.054 1.184 1.169 1.128	1.520 1.326 1.295 1.254	0.949 1.021 1.238 1.256		1.086 1.204 1.135 1.156	1.330 1.473 1.322 1.109	1.326 1.338 1.102 1.069	0.974 1.161 1.144 1.144 1.097 1.163	1.221 1.240 1.252	0.973 1.086 1.228 1.139
(%)	AV	1 1 1	8.0 1.1 0.1 0.4	6.8 10.6 8.8 7.5	21.2 22.3 15.0 3.5		26.0 15.4 13.7 7.4	19.7 9.6 8.0 8.0	19.0 13.7 12.7 0.9	39.4 24.3 20.5 19.8 2.7 2.7	23.1 13.4 16.7	14.6 19.5 16.3 21.7
ree phase	LV	111	51.5 53.3 54.9 56.2	34.7 38.4 41.4 44.3	43.0 39.2 38.3 49.1		32.2 38.3 42.7 48.2	29.1 33.8 41.2 49.4	30.0 34.8 44.9 57.7	23.2 31.0 35.5 38.0 52.6	30.8 39.8 36.1	48.0 38.7 36.5 34.5
Th	SV	111	40.5 45.6 43.4 43.4	58.5 51.0 49.8 48.2	35.8 38.5 46.7 47.4		41.8 46.3 43.6 44.4	51.2 56.6 50.8 42.6	51.0 51.5 42.4 41.4	37.4 44.7 44.0 42.2 44.7 -	46.1 46.8 47.2	37.4 41.8 47.2 43.8
	Texture	HC HC	HC HC	HC HC	HC HC		HC HC	HC HC HC	HC CC HC	HCHHC	HC HC	HC CC CC
	Clay (%)	61.4 50.2 46.3	63.1 61.5 61.0 54.5	69.3 65.5 73.7 64.9	67.5 69.1 67.7 -		69.8 70.0 68.4 67.7	51.8 49.0 45.8 45.8	54.7 58.9 60.8 63.3	54.7 56.8 50.5 51.7 45.2 54.2	64.6 62.8 48.0	69.5 60.1 62.3 61.3
	Silt (%)	25.9 26.3 31.5	29.6 30.3 30.4 27.1	$21.2 \\ 24.0 \\ 15.3 \\ 19.4 $	26.3 25.4 25.6 -		24.7 24.5 27.6 29.1	43.7 48.1 45.7 43.0	37.0 28.9 30.4 29.1	39.6 37.6 37.0 35.8 30.8	27.4 26.1 22.5	24.7 22.7 27.8 27.3
	FS (%)	11.6 17.2 21.1	7.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 17.1	9.4 10.3 8.4 12.2	6.1 5.3 5.5 -		5.3 3.5 2.3 2.3	3.2 2.3 7.3 3.1	8.0 9.4 5.2 5.2	5.3 5.2 10.2 14.9 12.0	6.4 7.1 13.4	5.5 16.0 7.5 7.6
	CoS (%)	1.1 6.3 1.1	0.1 0.1 0.5 1.3	0.2 0.2 3.5	0.1 0.2 1.2 -		0.2 0.7 0.5 0.9	1.3 0.6 3.4 8.1	0.3 2.8 3.0 2.4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4\\ 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 4.1\\ 3.0\end{array}$	1.6 4.0 16.1	0.3 1.2 3.8 3.8
	Depth (cm)	$\begin{array}{c} 0-14\\ 14-30\\ 30-\end{array}$	0-12 12-30 30-52 52-82	0-15 15-40 40-55 55-75	0-15 15-32 32-50 50-		0-15 15-30 30-45 45-85	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30/50\\ 30/50-80\\ 80-100\end{array}$	0-17 17-35 35-65 65-100	0-17 17-40 40-58 58-75 75-90 90-	0-21 21-35 35-52	0-14 14-32 32-55 55-80
	Horizon	Apg BCg Clg	Apg Al2g C1g C2g	Apg A12g ACg Cg	Apg A12g ACg Cg		Apg Al2g BCg Cg	Apg A12g BCg Cg	Apg Al2g Clg C2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g BCg Cg	Apg A12g C1g	Apg Al2g Clg C2g
	Location	Phetchaburi, Muang	Samut Prakan, Bang Phli	Nakhon Pathom, Sam Phran	Phra Nakhon, Bang Khen, Rice Ex. St.	sh Water Alluvial Soils	Pathum Thani, Thanyaburi, Rangsit Rice Ex. St.	Pathum Thani, Klong Luang, Rice Ex. St.	Pathum Thani, Klong Luang, Rice Ex. St.	Nakhon Nayok, Ongkharak	Ayutthaya, Wang Noi	Ayutthaya, Muang
	No.	69.	136.	159.	183.	Brackis	21.	22.	55.	74.	80.	128.

r- Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	43.6 42.1 -	41.1 38.8 -		28.3 23.4 -	28.4 28.0 -	39.1 36.4 -	33.2 30.3	31.1	12.5	31.5 26.0 -	29.1
Max. water holding	capacity (%)	65.4 56.4 -	65.1 64.3 		54.2 50.3 61.3	57.5 54.0 50.9 56.1	50.5 48.9 49.4 48.4	50.1 48.5 45.0 43.8	57.1 - -	35.5	42.7 34.9 -	48.4
Dis- Dersior	ratio (%)	85.9 78.3 68.5 69.9	72.2 68.9 70.5 69.0		88.7 79.9 87.3	66.8 58.1 68.1 64.6	94.1 83.5 82.7 85.9	45.1 29.0 28.4 31.1	75.1 78.1 64.9	80.5 76.6 87.3	91.3 96.7 82.1	79.1
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	2.3 2.3 2.1 2.1	2.6 2.3 2.3		1.8 1.8 1.9	1.9 2.0 2.0	2.0 2.3 2.3 2.3	1.8 2.0 2.1 2.1		1 1 1	1 1 1	
density	Air- dried	1.028 1.132 1.154 1.178	1 1 1 1		$1.134 \\ 1.191 \\ 1.206$	1.144 1.127 1.178 1.100	$\begin{array}{c} 0.933\\ 1.109\\ 1.137\\ 1.085\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.233 \\       1.236 \\       1.265 \\       1.281 \\       1.281   \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.059 \\       1.217 \\       1.111   \end{array} $	1.337	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.213 \\       1.356 \\       1.472     \end{array} $	0.944
Bulk	Field	1.368 1.201 0.965 1.106	1 1 1 1		1.306 1.182 1.064	1 1 1 1	1111		111		1 1 1	1
(%)	AV	2.6 7.3 32.4 21.6	1 1 1 1		30.7 31.1 31.5	1 1 1 1	1111		1 1 1			•
ree phase	ΓΛ	44.8 46.5 30.5 35.9			19.1 23.4 27.6	1 1 1 1			1 1 1		1 1 1	1
Th	SV	52.6 46.2 37.1 42.5	1 1 1 1		50.2 45.5 40.9	1 1 1 1			1 1 1			
	Texture	HHHH	HC HC		HC HC	HC HC SiC	SiC LiC HC	SCEE	HC HC	SCL SCL HC	ECE	SiC
	Clay (%)	62.4 59.9 63.4 62.9	65.9 67.0 60.3 61.8		51.8 47.2 53.5	52.4 57.8 48.7 44.0	39.2 45.2 43.9 45.1	33.2 40.0 30.8 29.2	51.0 72.4 65.2	$   \begin{array}{c}     19.2 \\     27.5 \\     45.3   \end{array} $	14.6 23.5 36.6	26.0
	Silt (%)	32.8 35.7 30.1 30.1	24.6 23.0 21.7 25.8		21.3 20.4 17.3	41.3 38.6 48.6 49.8	45.8 40.0 39.6 42.2	22.9 12.0 12.6 7.3	37.4 25.1 31.4	11.3 14.7 16.1	48.0 38.7 25.3	58.9
	FS (%)	4.5 5.8 6.8	$9.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 16.1 \\ 10.2 $		20.0 24.2 23.4	5.6 2.4 5.4	12.5 10.7 11.4 10.3	35.7 36.1 42.1 47.5	9.6 1.9 2.9	43.2 36.0 25.4	32.4 32.1 33.1	13.8
	CoS (%)	0.3 0.3 0.7 0.2	0.5 0.5 2.2 2.2		6.9 8.2 5.8	0.7 1.2 0.5 0.8	2.5 4.1 2.4 2.4	8.2 11.9 14.5 16.0	2.0 0.6 0.5	26.3 21.8 13.2	5.0 5.7 5.0	1.3
	Depth (cm)	0-10 10-25 25-57 57-90	0-16 16-35 35-63 63-		0-15 15-25 25-50	0-10/15 10/15-30 30-50 50-65	0-12 12-23 23-36 36-60	0-14 14-25 25-50 50-70	0-15 15-30 30-	0-11 11-25 25-	0-20 20-45 45-	0-15
	Horizon	Apg ABg B1g B2g	Apg A12g ACg Cg		Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g C1g C2g	Apg B1g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B21g B22g	Apg B21g B22g	Apg Bg BCg	Apg Bg IICg	Apg
	Location	Ayutthaya, Muang, Hantra, Rice Ex. St.	Ayutthaya, Wang Noi	Water Alluvial Soils	Nakhon Sawan, Phayuhakiri	Ratchaburi, Muang	Uttaradit, Muang	Phitsanulok Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Suphan Buri, U Thong	Suphan Buri, Muang	Ratchaburi, Pak Tho	Phetchaburi,
	No.	129.	130.	Fresh 1	4	10.	36.	37.	61.	63.	68.	70.

Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	41.5 40.9 -	44.5 40.3 -	30.2 28.3 -	25.4 23.1 -	31.7 29.6 -	30.8 29.3 -	38.0 31.6 -	38.6 34.6 -	33.9 32.5 -	39.1 36.8		23.4 20.7 -	37.8 35.4 -	28.4 25.1 -
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	64.6 61.6 72.0 76.6	74.6 69.5 66.0 63.7	55.4 55.1 -	53.0 52.0 -	54.2 50.1 -	57.4 49.6 -	64.9 54.9 -	60.2 58.4 -	57.8 56.2 -	60.0 57.9		47.3 46.6 51.5	62.1 56.9 54.6	49.5 44.4 44.9
Dis- persion	ratio (%)	64.6 65.1 71.1 67.4	59.7 70.7 69.5 80.0	68.2 68.8 69.3 -	71.8 81.5 90.4	74.6 89.7 72.4	84.5 75.1 80.5 84.5 78.5	88.2 87.9 91.0 93.5	77.1 82.5 85.8	89.9 85.4 87.3	90.2 93.3		73.4 96.0 73.3	75.6 77.0 88.5	94.6 95.2 96.0
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	2.2 2.3 2.4	2.2 2.1 2.3 2.4	1.9 1.9 1.9	111	1 1 1	2.0 1.5 1.7 1.4	2.0 2.3 2.2 2.2	2.0 2.3 2.3	1.9 2.2 2.3	2.0 2.4		1.9 2.0 2.2	1.8 2.0 2.0	1.8 1.8 2.1
lensity	Air- dried	1.082 1.163 1.066 1.080	1.012 1.065 1.152 1.176	1.081 1.076 1.225 1.243	1.135 1.136 1.096	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.078 \\       1.088 \\       1.162     \end{array} $	0.987 1.025 1.095 1.134 1.187	0.884 1.035 1.125 1.666	1.090 1.109 1.096	1.047 1.137 1.166	$0.999 \\ 1.082$		1.151 1.271 1.223	$1.154 \\ 1.225 \\ 1.229$	1.158 1.193 1.181
Bulk d	Field	$1.000 \\ 1.080 \\ 0.991 \\ 1.020$	1.117 0.978 1.084 1.126	$\begin{array}{c} 1.059\\ 1.269\\ 1.290\\ 1.495\end{array}$	1.458 1.376 1.434	1.442 1.401 1.264	1.365 1.432 1.299 1.599 1.579	1.341 1.153 1.242 1.482	1.102 1.600 1.465	$\begin{array}{c} 0.958 \\ 1.368 \\ 1.487 \end{array}$	1.399 1.457		1.431 1.395 1.084	1.216 1.282 1.217	1.287 1.505 1.483
(12)	AV	33.0 31.9 34.1 26.5	34.8 37.3 30.0 29.6	31.3 15.8 18.3 13.6	20.7 11.4 1.2	18.6 24.7 14.1	15.9 11.0 10.9 7.7 2.0	14.9 20.4 15.3 3.3	44.7 10.4 17.4	45.7 29.6 14.3	34.3 9.5		30.7 31.1 31.5	34.9 18.3 15.1	17.3 6.6 2.9
ree phase	ΓΛ	28.5 26.6 28.8 34.3	22.2 25.1 28.3 27.1	28.7 36.3 33.0 30.0	24.3 38.1 44.7	27.0 22.4 38.2	31.6 33.9 39.1 40.8 47.3	33.5 35.3 36.9 39.7	12.9 28.0 26.2	7.4 17.8 28.5	11.9 34.5		19.1 23.4 27.6	18.3 32.4 38.1	33.2 35.5 40.1
Th	SV	38.5 41.5 38.1 39.2	43.0 37.6 41.7 43.3	40.0 47.9 48.7 56.4	55.0 50.5 54.1	54.4 52.9 47.7	52.5 55.1 50.0 51.5 50.7	51.6 44.3 47.8 57.0	42.4 61.6 56.4	46.9 52.6 57.2	53.8 56.0		50.2 45.5 40.9	46.8 49.3 46.8	49.5 57.9 57.0
	Texture	HCCC	HHHH	EC HC	LIC LIC SIC	LIC LIC HC	HC FIC FIC FSL	HHHH	HCH	HC	HC HC		SiC SiC HC	HC	ric ci
	Clay (%)	35.0 48.6 62.5 69.3	46.7 49.6 48.1 48.4	69.8 63.1 39.2 -	25.4 29.1 34.9	36.5 33.3 59.8	45.0 30.3 59.7 37.4 12.0	52.4 53.8 53.0 45.6	56.6 54.5 48.3	46.6 50.5 58.3	49.9 62.4		37.7 35.0 49.2	63.2 63.8 68.0	41.6 37.8 39.0
	Silt (%)	40.4 39.5 33.2 26.1	39.0 34.6 29.4 28.0	21.8 21.3 20.9	36.4 42.0 46.2	43.0 40.7 33.7	38.9 34.5 27.4 32.8 5.0	43.8 38.5 38.3 43.2	39.0 41.7 46.4	48.8 42.9 38.2	41.9 28.4		56.2 46.3 39.3	27.9 28.7 25.2	35.4 38.6 41.8
	FS (%)	22.5 11.7 3.9 3.7	13.2 13.9 19.6 20.5	5.7 13.6 29.1	37.9 28.7 18.7	19.4 23.5 5.3	14.8 33.6 12.3 29.0 81.6	3.5 8.6 8.3 8.3	4.2 3.6 4.7	3.2 4.5 1.4	6.7 6.1		1.3 12.3 8.5	8.0 7.0 6.4	9.2 9.8 8.9
	CoS (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$	1.1 1.9 2.9 3.1	2.7 2.0 18.4	0.3 0.2 0.2	1.1 2.5 1.2	1.3 1.6 0.6 0.8 1.4	0.3 0.9 1.8 2.9	0.2 0.2 0.6	1.4 2.1 2.1	1.5 3.1		4.8 6.4 3.0	0.9 0.5 0.4	13.8 13.8 10.3
	Depth (cm)	0-12 12-37 37-57 57-	0-17 17-38 38-52 52-	0-17 17-36 36-60 60-	0-19 19-38 38-64	0.18 18-33 33-	0-10 10-37 37-47 47-62 62-90	0-13 13-33 33-68 68-90	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-24\\ 24-60\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-25\\ 25-50\end{array}$	0-15/19 15/19-52		0-12 12-25 25-55	0-15 15-30 30-60	0-15 15-30 30-50
	Horizon	Apg B21g B22g BCg	Apg B21g B22g B23g	Apg B1g B2g BCg	Apg B21g B22g	Apg Bg Cg	Apg B1g IIB21g IIB22g IIICg	Apg Blg B2g B3g	Apg A12g Cg	Apg A12g Cg	Apg Cg		Apg B1g B2g	Apg B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g
	Location	Phetchabun, Muang	Phetchabun, Lom Sak	Nakhon Sawan Phayuha Khiri	Sing Buri, In Buri, Ponang Dum	Ayutthaya, Maha Rat	Ang Thong, Muang	Sing Buri, Muang	Sukhothai, Si Samrong	Sukhothai, Si Satchanalai	Uttaradit, Laplae	umic Gley Soils	Saraburi, Muang	Chai Nat, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Ratchaburi, Muang, Rice Ex. St.
	No.	72.	73.	76.	78.	79.	126.	127.	140.	141.	142.	Low H	ri	2	7.

~
Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	27.8 24.9 -	30.5 27.4 -	44.3 32.0 -	34.0 29.6 -	20.7 19.8 -	32.8 30.7 -	30.8 30.0 	38.6 37.1 -	31.8 29.0 -	22.1 23.4 -	23.8 23.7 -
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	43.3 41.9 -	54.2 51.9 -	65.2 58.4 -	55.4 50.0 -	37.6 31.6 -	55.7 47.2 -	52.4 52.9 -	68.5 58.2 -	54.3 49.7 -	38.0 40.1 -	38.1 38.4 -
Dis- persion	ratio (%)	85.4 86.7 64.3 57.6	91.9 85.2 75.4 98.6	98.4 89.6 67.0 99.1	82.0 65.2 87.0	88.8 91.3 90.3	99.9 92.9 76.8	95.4 84.5 92.4 86.8	77.8 76.3 81.8	96.2 94.7 59.1	87.5 82.7 96.0 55.5	87.8 97.3 95.6 93.9
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)		$   \begin{array}{c}     1.4 \\     1.7 \\     2.4 \\     1.5   \end{array} $	1.7 2.5 3.1 2.5	1.8 2.1 1.9	1.2 1.1 1.6	1.4 1.9 2.1	1.5 2.1 2.3 2.3	2.1 2.5 3.3	1.3 1.4 1.8	1.3 2.5 2.2 2.2	1.3 2.3 3.0 4.1
lensity	Air- dried	1.233 1.278 1.130 1.233	0.956 1.082 1.093 1.294	$\begin{array}{c} 0.928\\ 0.984\\ 0.973\\ 1.092 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.963 \\ 1.088 \\ 1.283 \end{array}$	1.381 1.452 1.344	1.045 1.135 1.160	1.206 1.191 1.236 1.188 1.108	$0.992 \\ 1.055 \\ 1.197$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.224 \\       1.326 \\       1.102     \end{array} $	1.242 1.364 1.355 1.288	1111
Bulk d	Field	$1.514 \\ 1.740 \\ 1.355 \\ 1.507 \\ 1.50$	1.185 1.475 1.352 1.461	$\begin{array}{c} 1.066\\ 1.232\\ 1.061\\ 1.081\\ 1.081 \end{array}$	1.017 1.018 1.382	$1.753 \\ 1.691 \\ 1.620$	1.525     1.125     1.085	1.658 1.474 1.653 1.653 1.544 1.374	1.395 1.240 1.399	1.481 1.505 1.439	1.808 1.745 1.768 1.471	1.718 1.624 1.615 1.660
(%)	AV	23.1 12.1 27.6 21.2	18.7 7.8 11.2 5.5	41.7 21.1 20.2 25.0	30.6 40.5 28.2	17.8 25.7 25.1	21.2 37.9 36.9	13.8 14.2 18.4 13.8 16.0	23.7 18.6 4.1	32.3 27.1 16.6	11.3 14.7 11.8 23.5	11.4 14.4 11.5 4.2
ee phase	LV	19.8 22.2 21.3 21.9	35.7 35.5 36.8 38.3	17.3 31.5 39.0 33.4	26.8 20.4 18.6	14.8 9.3 12.6	20.1 18.8 21.4	22.4 29.1 18.0 26.8 27.1	22.6 33.7 42.1	10.7 15.0 28.1	18.7 18.2 19.9	17.5 23.1 21.4 32.0
Th	SV	57.1 65.7 51.1 56.9	45.6 56.7 52.0 56.2	41.0 47.4 40.8 41.6	42.6 39.1 53.2	67.4 65.0 62.3	58.7 43.3 41.7	63.8 56.7 63.6 59.4 52.9	53.7 47.7 53.8	57.0 57.9 55.3	70.0 67.1 68.0 56.6	66.1 62.5 62.1 63.8
	Texture	CL LIC HC	SiC HC SiCL	HC CC	HC HC	cr.	SiC SiC HC	LiC HC HC	HC	LIC LIC HC	EEGC	1111
	Clay (%)	18.0 37.7 39.5 52.3	34.2 47.9 62.1 20.4	40.3 71.7 78.4 51.7	45.7 54.8 33.5	13.5 13.4 23.8	28.6 37.8 53.0	26.2 51.0 45.2 52.7 56.0	64.7 67.9 75.4	31.1 29.0 52.1	16.2 21.4 26.6 30.9	20.0 22.9 23.3 28.4
	Silt (%)	20.7 19.0 15.1	57.9 47.4 34.7 70.1	42.3 23.8 17.3 16.2	32.2 21.5 30.1	32.9 29.9 22.6	61.5 49.3 39.5	30.5 29.5 27.6 29.5 29.5	32.7 37.1 16.1	40.2 34.3 17.8	22.8 24.5 28.7 27.2	27.0 26.4 27.0 32.5
	FS (%)	35.8 29.1 27.4 21.4	7.6 4.3 9.0	9.7 3.5 3.4 11.8	21.1 21.2 33.7	43.8 44.3 26.6	9.5 8.8 7.3	29.6 11.2 11.7 8.7 6.8	2.3 3.4 5.8	25.2 31.2 23.8	46.3 39.0 32.2 32.2	38.0 35.3 36.0 28.1
	CoS (%)	25.5 14.1 13.7 11.2	0.3 0.4 0.5	$7.7 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 20.3 \\ 20.3$	1.0 2.5 2.7	9.8 12.4 27.0	0.4 4.1 0.2	13.7 8.3 15.5 11.6 7.7	0.3 1.6 2.7	3.5 6.3 6.3	14.7 15.1 12.2 9.7	15.0 15.4 13.7 11.0
	Depth (cm)	0-15 15-30 30-46 46-	0-15 15-32 32-55 55-75	$\begin{array}{c} 0-13\\ 13-20\\ 20-60\\ 60-\end{array}$	0-20 20-32 32-65	0-15 15-30 30-65	0-14 14-29 29-68	0-13 13-22 22-36 36-47 47-65	0-18 18-38 38-70	0-10 10-19 19-55	0-14 14-27 27-40 40-68	0-20 20-32 32-40 40-70
	Horizon	Apg B21g B22g BCg	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg ACg Cg	Apg A12g Cg	Apg ACg Cg	Apg A12g B1g B2g B3g	Apg A12g Bg	Apg A12g Bg	Apg B1g Cg Cg	Apg B1g B2g Cg
	Location	Nakhon Sawan, Banphot Phisai	Saraburi, Nong Khae	Nakhon Nayok, Muang	Prachin Buri, Prachantakhum	Prachin Buri, Watthana Nakhon	Chachoengsao, Phanom Sarakham	Kamphaeng Phet, Khranu Woralak- Saburi	Kamphaeng Phet, Khlong Khlung	Kamphaeng Phet, Phran Kratai	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong, Rice Ex. St.	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong, Rice Ex. St.
	No.	77.	131.	132.	133.	134.	135.	137.	138.	139.	161.	162.

•

to,         Location         Horizon         Depth         Cos         FS         Silt         Clay         Three phase (%)         Bulk diage           18         Rayon,         Apg         0-10         264         49.3         17.2         7         15.1         57.6         21.4         22.0         1486           18         Rayon,         Apg         0-10         26.4         49.3         17.2         7         21.4         22.0         1486           18         Rayon,         Apg         0-10         26.4         49.3         17.2         7         21.4         22.0         1486           18         Rayon,         Apg         0-10         26.4         49.3         17.7         33.3         29.6         19.4         CL         59.1         1386         1373         136         134         1713         1577         1313         1577         1313         1577         131         1577         132         131         1577         132         131         1577         132         131         1577         132         131         1577         132         131         1577         132         131         132         131         1577	ty Podzolic Soils		- -														
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Location} & \mbox{Horizon} & Horiz$				0	c C	į	ł		Thr	ee phase (	(%)	Bulk d	ensity	Sedimen- tation	Dis- persion	Max. water- holding	Moisture equiv-
Kayon,Apg B0-1026449317.27.1FSL57.62142201.486Big10-2527.252.418.13.6FSL64.722.712.61683Big10-2527.252.418.13.6FSL64.722.713.417.3Calcic Brown SoilsApg0-150.529.526.343.7LiC45.521.422.013.40NathonApg0-150.529.526.343.7LiC45.521.422.113.0Nathon Pathom,Apg0-150.529.526.343.8LiC45.432.112.90Nathon Pathom,Apg0-150.07.429.669.8HC65.224.413.1Warag,Big15-451113.226.069.8HC6.227.413.1MangBig15-45113226.069.8HC7.432.112.90Warag,Big15-45113226.069.8HC7.432.112.90WaragAl215-3044.47.226.969.8HC47.227.91.160WaragAl215-3044.47.427.426.41.10WaragAl215-3044.47.427.927.91.160WaragAl215-3024.246.4HC<	. Location	Horizon	Uepth (cm)	(%) (%)	FS (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	volume (ml/g)	ratio (%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
Calcic Brown Soils           Calcic Brown Soils           Suphan Buri, Nume, Nume, Nume, Nume, Billog         Apg 0-15         0.5         29.5         26.3         43.7         Lic         43.5         12.5         44.0         1130           Nume, Nume, Nume, Muang, Nume         Align Billog         15-36         0.3         28.1         21.7         49.9         HC         45.5         21.4         32.1         1290           Nume, Muang, Billog         Align 15-45         11         132         20.6         69.8         HC         65.2         32.3         13.1         1290           Muang         Billog         15-45         11         18.8         38.2         41.9         Lic         42.4         32.8         13.1         13.9           Muang         Billog         15-45         11         13.2         26.0         69.9         HC         43.2         32.1         1415           Muang         Billog         15-45         11.1         32         26.0         46.9         HC         44.2         24.4         14.0           Muang         Aliz         0-15         32.2         65.3         46.4         HC         44.2         26.3	. Rayon, Klaeng	Apg Alg B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-25\\ 25-40\\ 40- \end{array}$	26.4 27.2 19.2 17.7	49.3 52.4 33.3 33.3	17.2 18.1 31.3 29.6	7.1 3.6 16.7 19.4	FSL CL CL CL	57.6 64.7 65.9 59.1	21.4 22.7 20.7 19.8	22.0 12.6 13.4 21.1	1.486 1.683 1.713 1.537	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.473 \\       1.400 \\       1.359 \\       1.295     \end{array} $	1.0 1.1 1.3 1.4	99.0 95.8 62.5 16.2	27.7 31.7 29.9 33.2	13.1 11.5 -
	Calcic Brown Soils																
	. Suphan Buri, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g Bg BIICg	0-15 15-25 25-40 40-60	0.5 0.3 0.6 0.4	29.5 28.1 20.2 24.4	26.3 21.7 27.5 29.6	43.7 49.9 51.7 45.6	HC HC	43.5 46.5 54.4 62.2	12.5 21.4 32.8 30.4	44.0 32.1 12.8 7.4	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.130 \\ 1.290 \\ 1.415 \\ 1.619 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.179\\ 1.217\\ 1.230\\ 1.245 \end{array} $	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.3	83.7 78.4 77.8 79.1	56.1 52.9 50.4 45.3	36.3 35.0 -
usols           usols           Lop Buri,         Ap         0-15         3.2         6.5         4.39         4.64         HC         4.42         2.99         2.7.9         1.101           Nuang         Al2         15-30         4.4         7.2         2.6.3         6.52         HC         4.4.2         2.7.9         2.7.9         1.101           Nuang         Al2         0-15         5.3         6.5         2.18         66.2         HC         4.4.2         2.8.4         1.101           Clo         5.8         6.1         2.4.8         63.3         HC         4.2.4         2.8.4         1.101           Muang         C1         18-42         5.3         11.6         14.9         68.3         HC         4.0.5         3.5.3         2.4.2         1064           Muang         C21         18-42         5.3         11.6         14.9         68.3         HC         4.0.5         3.5.3         2.4.2         1064           Muang         C21         18-42         2.3         12.6         14.9         68.3         HC         4.0.5         3.5.7         10.6           Muang         C2 <t< td=""><td>. Nakhon Pathom, Muang</td><td>Apg B1g B2g</td><td>0-15 15-45 45-65</td><td>1.1</td><td>17.0 18.8 3.2</td><td>38.2 38.2 26.0</td><td>43.8 41.9 69.8</td><td>LIC HC HC</td><td></td><td></td><td>111</td><td>1 1 1</td><td>1.194 1.208 1.190</td><td>1.9 2.1 2.4</td><td>58.6 78.0 84.8</td><td>52.8 48.7 58.4</td><td>33.1 29.9 -</td></t<>	. Nakhon Pathom, Muang	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-45 45-65	1.1	17.0 18.8 3.2	38.2 38.2 26.0	43.8 41.9 69.8	LIC HC HC			111	1 1 1	1.194 1.208 1.190	1.9 2.1 2.4	58.6 78.0 84.8	52.8 48.7 58.4	33.1 29.9 -
	usols																
	Lop Buri, Muang	Ap A12 A13 C	0-15 15-30 30-60 60-	3.2 5.3 5.8	6.5 7.2 6.5 6.1	43.9 26.3 21.8 24.8	46.4 62.1 66.2 63.3	HC HC HC	44.2 43.8 45.4 42.4	27.9 30.8 34.1 28.4	27.9 25.4 20.5 28.8	1.150 1.101 1.181 1.114	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.127 \\ 1.121 \\ 1.103 \\ 1.130 \end{array} $	2.6 2.7 2.7 2.6	40.1 35.6 48.9 69.2	76.6 71.1 72.5 69.9	50.2 45.2 -
	Lop Buri, Muang	Ap C1 C2	0-18 18-42 42-	5.1 5.5 9.3	9.0 11.6 12.6	14.0 14.6 14.9	71.9 68.3 63.2	HC HC	40.5 40.5 39.0	35.3 33.8 34.8	24.2 25.7 26.2	$1.054 \\ 1.052 \\ 1.014$	1.038 1.133 1.091	2.5 2.5 2.6	70.0 74.3 73.1	78.1 76.2 76.1	49.5 47.4 -
Lop Buri, Apg 0-12 1.6 10.4 32.9 55.1 HC Khok Samrong, A12g 12-40 1.6 9.9 29.0 59.5 HC	Lop Buri, Muang	Apg A12g Cg	0-16 16-30 30-60	8.6 20.4 13.0	23.2 22.6 21.7	23.2 23.3 30.3	45.0 33.7 35.0	HC LiC LiC	1 1 1	111		1 1 1	1.218 1.291 1.244	2.4 2.1 2.2	70.0 84.1 86.6	67.8 63.0 -	46.6 42.8 -
	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong, Rice Ex. Subst.	Apg A12g Cg	0-12 12-40 40-70	1.6 1.6 1.1	$10.4 \\ 9.9 \\ 11.2$	32.9 29.0 28.7	55.1 59.5 59.0	HC HC				111	$1.132 \\ 1.099 \\ 1.122$	2.7 2.6 2.7	89.7 78.5 94.5	1 1 1	111

North- Fresh	-eastern Region Water Alluvial Soils																
, A			-		c L		5		Thre	se phase (	(%)	Bulk d	ensity	Sedimen- tation	Dis- persion	Max. water- holding	Moisture equiv-
NO.	Location	Horizon	Ueptn (cm)	(%) (%)	r (%)	Sut (%)	CIay (%)	Texture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	voiume (ml/g)	(%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
42.	Nakhon Ratchasima,	Apg	0-15	2.9	21.0	17.6	58.5	HC	40.6	23.8	35.6	1.055	1.155	1.6	6.09	61.3	37.5
	Phimai, Rice Ex. St.	Blg B2g	15-40 40-75	3.0 2.2	24.6 18.3	20.3 19.5	52.1 60.0	HC	46.8 42.3	25.2 30.1	28.0 27.6	1.216 1.087	1.215 1.158	1.7 1.8	69.8 44.8	54.3 63.2	34.3
48.	Nong Khai,	Apg	0-15	0.1	38.3	43.0	18.6	CL	40.5	21.8	37.7	1.053	1.064	1.4	79.9	65.6	33.4
	Si Chiang Mai	A12g Ca	15-30 30-65	0.4 3.1	11.6 8.4	53.6 58.6	34.4 20.0	SiC	54.8 63 1	30.2 24.3	15.0 12.6	1.424 1.640	1.116	1.7	84.9 95.4	58.8 44.4	30.2 -
49	Sakon Nakhon	AnC	0-5	41	58.2	23.2	14.5	-	57.7	10.4	31.9	1 501	1 383		87.7		
2	Muang,	Apg	5-20	3.3	50.8	30.7	15.2	cL	59.0	25.2	16.8	1.534	1.403	1.4	92.6	ı	I
	Rice Ex. St.	A12g	20-35	4.8	57.9	21.0	16.3	CL CL	72.9	19.3	7.8	1.896	1.505	1.5	88.8	I	I
		C1g IIC2g	30-43 43-	6.9 5.1	61.5 42.5	17.3 25.1	14.3 27.2	rst LiC	63.8 63.8	15.6 27.0	10.7 9.2	1.626 1.626	1.334 1.419	1.5 1.6	88.9 88.9	1 1	1 1
95.	Nakhon Ratchasima	Apg	0-20	19.0	58.8	10.2	12.0	FSL	57.0	24.6	18.4	1.482	1.406	1.2	99.2	1	1
	Nong Sung	C1g C2g	20-40 40-75	18.4 15.2	43.7 35.6	13.5 24.8	24.4 24.4	SCL SCL	55.3 51.7	29.5 29.2	15.2 19.1	1.436 1.345	1.354 1.281	3.2 4.6	97.7 90.5	1 1	1 1
98	Khon Kaen	Ano	0-15	4.8	68.4	13.9	12.9	FSI	55.1	13.7	31.2	1 432	1 334	11	77.6	1	-
	Nam Phong	Al2g	15-30	4.9	58.6	17.8	18.7	SCL	58.9	22.8	18.3	1.531	1.349	1.5	76.9	I	ı
		C1g C2g	30-43 33-75	3.9 4.2	51.7 52.5	19.9 21.4	24.5 21.9	SCL	- 51.6 45.7	24.7 23.1	23.7 31.2	1.343 1.187	1.377 1.395	$1.5 \\ 1.5$	81.0 73.5	1 1	1 1
102.	Nong Khai,	Apg	0-10	0.9	84.4	10.0	4.7	LFS	61.4	27.1	11.5	1.597	1.386	0.9	87.9	34.5	16.0
	Muang	A12g Cg	10-23 23-65	1.1	85.0 78.4	8.0 8.2	5.9 11.7	LFS FSL	64.6 52.6	20.1 18.6	15.3 18.8	1.678 1.629	1.357 1.120	$0.8 \\ 1.2$	87.7 82.3	33.2	14.8
Low H	umic Gley Soils																
43.	Khon Kaen,	Apg	0-15	9.7	75.2	10.8	4.3	LFS	58.7	20.5	20.8	1.525	1.485	1.0	90.1	29.2	15.4
	Muang, Dio Ev. Ci	BCg	15-35 25 60	11.5	64.0 57.0	10.3	14.2	FSL	65.7 76 o	25.5	8.8 - 6	1.708	1.467	1.2	93.0 06.3	36.9	12.5
	NICE FY. 31.	C2g	50-75 60-75	7.5	54.8 54.8	11.3	18.9	SCL	75.2	24.2	0.6	1.957	1.474	1.6	92.2	43.3	
45.	Khon Kaen,	Apg	0-10	3.3	53.8	22.3	20.6	CL	62.0	35.6	2.4	1.654	1.380	1.4	89.6	41.8	26.3
	Chum Phae, Bina Fy St	$A12g_B\alpha$	10-25 25 40	2.0	32.8 25.8	22.3 10 5	42.9 5.2 s	LiC	51.2	30.7 25.8	18.1 33.3	1.329	1.382	1.6 8	81.0 77.8	43.5 50 1	22.0
	MIC 177. 31.	s S S	40-75	1.1	27.9	18.8	52.2	HC	57.5	25.5	17.0	1.493	1.183	1.8	88.7	55.7	ı
47.	Udon Thani, Muang	Apg R1g	0-15	0.5	78.8 69.7	16.7 18.3	4.0	FSL	56.9 76.2	22.6 17.6	20.5 6.2	1.479	1.428 1.434	0.9 0.9	70.9 82.8	36.2 32.5	16.7 17.8
	0	B21g B22g	30-45 45-75	2.8	69.1 59.5	17.1 16.6	12.6 21.1	SCL	67.3 58.2	19.7 24.7	13.0	1.749 1.541	1.398	1.0	94.5 98.6	34.5 38.8	

- Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	23.8 19.2 -	15.2 10.2 -	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	14.9 18.0 -	16.0 11.3 -	14.4 16.6 -	15.7 11.9 -	20.7 19.8 -	28.9 24.9
Max. water holding	capacity (%)	31.4 31.6 31.9	28.9 35.6 39.4		1111	111	30.1 30.2 -	41.9 33.2 -	29.5 34.9 -	27.7 28.5 -	46.4 41.8 -	57.9 51.2
- Dis- persion	ratio (%)	85.4 32.7 99.1	98.9 67.0 53.7 53.9	99.4 87.4 90.2 72.0	1111	74.8 85.9 83.7	94.7 92.1 97.2 82.3	90.9 98.1 75.4 67.5	93.0 98.9 78.2 63.9	83.7 84.9 84.2 89.0	98.5 98.6 96.8	89.5 84.1 86.9
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	1.1	1.0 1.3 2.0 2.5	1.3 1.8 2.0 2.3	1.2 1.3 1.1 3.3	0.7 1.1 1.3	1.0 1.1 1.5 1.8	1.1 1.1 1.2 1.6	0.9 0.9 1.3 1.4	0.9 0.9 3.7	1.2 1.6 2.4 4.0	1.5 1.7
density	Air- dried	1.476 1.475 1.472	1.517 1.423 1.360 1.401	$\begin{array}{c} 1.220\\ 1.272\\ 1.312\\ 1.346\end{array}$	1.268 1.356 1.221 1.352	1.353 1.421 1.453	$\begin{array}{c} 1.386\\ 1.480\\ 1.362\\ 1.423\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.250 \\ 1.389 \\ 1.366 \\ 1.299 \\ 1.299 \end{array} $	1.365 1.269 1.357 1.352	1.318 1.493 1.414 1.398	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.110\\ 1.289\\ 1.324\\ 1.348\end{array} $	1.074 1.145
Bulk	Field	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.553 \\       2.015 \\       1.671   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.496 \\ 1.658 \\ 1.577 \\ 1.465 \end{array}$	1.449 1.394 1.429 1.574	1.418 1.759 1.807 1.676	$1.568 \\ 1.717 \\ 1.670$	1.600 1.892 1.946 1.918	1.672 1.829 1.434 1.272	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.689 \\       1.708 \\       1.665 \\       1.467 \\     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.400 \\       1.827 \\       1.713 \\       1.583     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.284 \\ 1.657 \\ 1.712 \\ 1.546 \end{array}$	1.571 1.475
(%)	AV	15.8 4.0 12.8	28.5 11.7 12.7 19.9	25.7 24.9 22.3 17.9	29.0 15.3 14.5 10.6	24.9 16.4 7.3	28.4 10.5 0.5 0.8	18.5 17.7 20.6 28.6	18.7 15.3 14.6 18.3	28.0 13.5 22.4 13.1	21.5 18.3 9.0 12.8	22.2 16.2
ree phase	LV	22.5 18.5 22.9	14.0 14.5 16.6 23.7	18.6 21.5 22.7 21.6	16.5 17.0 16.0 24.9	14.8 17.6 28.5	10.0 16.7 25.2 25.4	7.2 11.9 24.2 22.5	16.4 19.0 21.4 25.3	18.2 16.2 11.7 26.0	19.1 18.3 25.1 27.8	17.4 27.1
Th	SV	61.7 77.5 64.3	57.5 63.8 60.7 56.4	55.7 53.6 55.0 60.5	54.5 67.7 69.5 64.5	60.3 66.0 64.2	61.6 72.8 74.3 73.8	64.3 70.4 55.2 48.9	64.9 65.7 64.0 56.4	53.8 70.3 66.9 60.9	59.4 63.4 65.9 59.4	60.4 56.7
	Texture	L FSL FSL	SCL SCL SCL SCL	ECC CC	FSL FSL SCL	FSL FSL FSL	FSL SCL SCL	Sict Sict Lic	FS CL LiC	FS FSL CL	L SiCL LIC	SiC SiC
	Clay (%)	12.0 9.4 13.5	5.2 18.5 22.1 24.6	25.5 31.9 35.6 38.7	8.2 10.1 7.1 17.0	6.0 9.5 10.8	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3\\ 10.7\\ 17.4\\ 21.7\end{array}$	15.4 15.3 19.2 27.0	2.1 2.7 15.9 30.0	0.4 1.1 2.4 19.3	10.6 15.1 22.1 29.0	25.4 36.5
	Silt (%)	37.2 18.2 15.6	4.3 11.7 13.8 11.6	44.9 36.6 25.3 25.5	10.6 11.1 9.4 8.5	11.6 13.1 16.0	13.2 20.1 15.1 9.8	49.9 46.3 46.5 40.1	5.6 17.5 20.2 16.0	10.0 14.5 14.6 21.5	43.5 45.9 41.2 37.3	58.4 46.0
	FS (%)	36.9 46.9 46.7	88.3 69.7 62.1 59.2	28.1 29.9 34.8 30.8	78.2 72.9 79.3 70.7	80.4 75.9 71.5	67.4 55.1 53.3 55.4	34.4 38.9 33.9 32.3	91.7 77.7 62.1 52.5	80.0 72.6 71.2 49.8	45.3 38.2 34.5 31.5	15.4 15.6
	CoS (%)	13.9 25.5 24.2	2.2 2.8 2.0 4.6	1.5 1.6 5.0	3.0 5.9 3.8 3.8	2.0 1.5 1.7	15.1 14.1 14.2 13.1	0.3 0.5 0.6	0.6 2.1 1.6 1.5	9.6 11.8 11.8 9.4	0.6 0.8 2.2 2.2	0.8 1.9
	Depth (cm)	0-15 15-35 35-65	0-15 15-25 25-60 60-100	0-18 18-25 25-35 35-75	0-13 13-19 19-27 27-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-21\\ 21-80\end{array}$	0-13 13-23 23-50 50-80	$\begin{array}{c} 0-11\\ 11-18\\ 18-46\\ 46-80 \end{array}$	0-10 10-30 30-45 45-80	0-13 13-26 26-48 48-80	0-12 12-23 23-42 44-75	0-15 15-31
	Horizon	Apg B1g B2g	Apg Blg B2g B3g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg Al2g Bg IICg	Apg Blg B2g	Apg Al2g Blg B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg B1g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B312
	Location	Maha Sarakam, Muang	Surin, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Nakhon Ratchasima, Bua Yai	Khon Kaen, Phon	Udon Thani, Kumphawapi	Udon Thani, Kumphawapi	Nong Khai, Muang	Sakon Nakhon, Phanna Nikhom	Sakon Nakhon, Muang	Nakhon Phanom, Muang	Nakhon Phanom, Muang
	No.	52.	53.	.96	97.	-66 -	100.	101.	105.	106.	107.	108.

Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	15.1 12.2 -	15.9 20.1 -	15.7 13.2 -	14.4 13.1 -	12.5 11.2 -	12.2 13.6 -	11.2 9.8 -	14.8 14.1 -	16.5 15.0 -	8.5 7.6 -	11.7 9.9 -
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	30.2 23.5 -	30.8 35.3 -	30.3 27.2 -	36.6 35.7 -	28.6 29.3 -	21.8 26.4 -	21.2 16.8 -	29.2 26.3 -	35.4 33.4 -	23.1 25.1 -	26.3 25.5 -
Dis- persion	ratio (%)	99.5 91.6 92.9 90.1	99.1 99.2 99.7	93.2 98.0 99.5	99.4 94.1 90.3 78.5	82.1 94.8 85.4 80.1	76.6 94.5 92.1 93.8	78.1 69.2 90.6 84.5 85.6	70.2 86.3 90.5 97.6	96.0 98.1 79.0 77.9	87.6 84.3 70.2 95.4	91.7 68.9 78.6 79.0
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	0.9 1.0 1.4	1.0 1.5 1.9	0.9 1.0 1.4	1.1 1.0 1.4 1.6	0.9 0.9 1.3	0.8 1.8 3.4 7.1	0.7 0.7 1.7 1.3 1.0	0.9 1.0 8.8	1.0 1.1 1.6	0.8 0.8 4.5	0.9 2.4 8.8
lensity	Air- dried	1.367 1.484 1.423 1.398	1.412 1.398 1.423	1.411 1.477 1.427	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.213 \\       1.323 \\       1.301 \\       1.289 \\     \end{array} $	1.467 1.445 1.338 1.221	1.526 1.435 1.429 1.376	1.507 1.275 1.312 1.444 1.576	1.435 1.402 1.314 1.304	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.110 \\ 1.243 \\ 1.158 \\ 1.213 \\ 1.213 \end{array} $	1.521 1.471 1.413 1.271	1.502 1.468 1.369 1.323
Bulk e	Field	1.474 2.029 1.683	1.466 1.842 1.839	$1.584 \\ 1.866 \\ 1.750$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.323\\ 1.984\\ 1.543\\ 1.496\end{array}$	1.813 1.555 1.562 1.258	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.370\\ 1.731\\ 1.558\\ 1.402 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.828 \\ 1.954 \\ 1.811 \\ 1.811 \\ 1.765 \\ 1.915 \end{array}$	1.434 1.711 1.502 1.387	1.360 1.724 1.621 1.657		
(%)	AV	14.9 6.0 16.3 -	24.8 3.1 6.0	21.7 6.6 8.5	27.1 2.4 15.2 13.3	24.2 30.3 31.4 31.4	33.9 27.8 19.5 21.4	11.9 11.3 9.7 4.3	28.9 23.3 18.5 21.5	18.9 15.7 16.3 8.3		
ree phase	LV	18.4 16.0 19.0 -	28.8 26.1 23.3	17.4 21.6 24.2	22.1 25.2 25.5 29.2	6.1 9.9 17.2 20.2	13.4 11.6 20.6 24.7	17.8 13.5 20.7 20.2 22.1	15.9 10.9 23.7 25.2	$     \begin{array}{c}       18.8 \\       18.0 \\       21.4 \\       28.0 \\     \end{array}   $		
Th	SV	66.7 78.0 64.7	56.4 70.8 70.7	60.9 71.8 67.3	50.9 72.4 59.3 57.5	69.7 59.8 60.1 48.4	52.7 60.6 59.9 53.9	70.3 75.2 69.6 73.6 73.6	55.2 65.8 57.8 53.3	62.3 66.3 63.7 63.7		1111
	Texture	FSL FSL FSL FSL	r cr ric	FSL L CL	ECLE	FSL CL LiC	FSL FSL LiC	FSL FSL FSL FSL FSL	LFS LFS LIC	sic L sit	FS CL SC	LFS LFS SCL SL
	Clay (%)	1.1 1.2 6.1 11.0	7.1 18.7 25.5	2.6 6.3 18.6	7.9 9.2 21.7 32.7	6.8 7.2 21.4 36.6	6.8 11.0 22.6 36.7	4.8 11.8 11.5 2.1 6.6	4.4 10.3 31.9 35.5	12.2 14.8 21.7 33.2	1.6 0.8 21.3 27.2	4.1 4.9 15.2 29.3
	Silt (%)	18.3 21.0 23.8 22.1	32.5 34.6 25.2	25.4 31.3 26.0	51.7 44.8 42.5 35.4	15.6 25.6 30.8 30.9	15.5 20.7 22.2 20.6	10.9 14.5 15.3 19.2 15.6	8.2 2.8 16.8 23.3	53.8 50.8 51.5 45.8	8.8 7.8 20.8 17.7	4.4 8.0 7.9
, c	FS (%)	76.4 71.3 64.7 60.0	53.7 39.4 41.6	69.4 59.3 52.2	38.9 43.5 32.1 28.0	76.2 67.7 43.5 25.8	67.1 57.2 46.8 35.7	68.9 60.5 60.3 63.2 64.1	84.0 81.3 46.3 39.0	29.6 32.1 24.6 17.1	85.5 84.1 50.9 50.4	82.8 75.3 68.2 54.8
c	S (%)	4.2 6.5 6.9	6.7 7.3 7.7	2.6 3.1 3.2	1.5 2.5 3.7 3.9	1.4 1.5 4.3 6.7	10.6 11.1 8.4 6.8	15.4 13.2 12.9 15.5 13.7	3.4 5.6 2.2	4.4 2.3 3.9	4.1 7.3 7.0 4.7	8.7 11.8 10.8 8.0
-	Depth (cm)	0-13 13-28 28-42 42-75	0-11 11-25 25-75	0-7 7-22 22-70	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-43\\ 43-75\end{array}$	0-10 10-15 15-30 30-75	0-9 9-20 35-80	0-10 10-24 24-33 33-55 55-80	$\begin{array}{c} 0-14\\ 14-20\\ 20-40\\ 40-75\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-12\\ 12-25\\ 25-75\end{array}$	0-11 11-46 46-57 57-80	0-12 12-25 25-45 45-70
	Horizon	Apg B1g B2g Cg	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g BCg	Apg A12g B21g B22g	$\substack{\substack{\mathrm{Apg}\\\mathrm{Al2g}\\\mathrm{Bg}}\\\mathrm{BCg}}$	Apg Bg IIC1g IIC2g	Apg A12g B1g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B1ICg IICg	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg Cg IIC2g IIC3g	Apg B1g B21g B22g
-	Location	Nakhon Phanom, Raenu Nakhon	Nakhon Phanom, That Phanom	Nakhon Phanom, Mukdahan	Ubon Ratchathani, Loeng Nok Tha	Ubon Ratchathani, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Ubon Ratchathani, Khuang Nai	Ubon Ratchathani, Kham Khuan Kaeo	Ubon Ratchathani, Yasothon	Roi Et, Selaphum	Roi Et, Suwannaphum	Surin, Muang
:	N0.	109.	110.	111.	112.	113.	115.	116.	117.	118.	122.	123.

•

Moisture equiv- alent	(%)	16.1 11.6 -	16.9 17.0 -		10.7 9.6 -	9.8 5.6 5.3	12.5	13.8 12.0 	15.9 14.7 -	10.9 9.3 -	11.1 9.6 -	10.2 9.2 -	9.5 9.2
Max. water- holding canacity	(%)	34.0 32.5 -	35.1 36.8 -		22.4 19.9 13.0	24.4 23.7 19.8	22.1 20.8 21.6 14.8 19.3 26.8	25.3 18.9 19.5 20.2	31.0 30.6 -	27.8 26.3 -	26.7 22.4 -	26.0 23.9 -	23.4 22.8
Dis- 1 persion ratio	(%)	88.2 77.4 95.3 66.5	96.1 80.4 56.5		88.0 96.8 65.8	60.7 65.3 30.8	96.4 95.9 95.7 86.0 98.1 66.5	75.8 95.7 64.3 80.9 80.3	79.9 85.9 60.2	72.4 82.9 79.6 96.1	45.1 87.7 78.9	85.7 87.3 89.4	93.2 72.6
Sedimen- tation	(ml/g)	1.2 1.1 1.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ 1.0 \\ 8.4 \end{array}$		0.9 1.0 1.2	0.9 1.0 1.2	0.7 0.8 0.8 0.9 1.0	0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.9	0.9 0.9 1.4	0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4	0.7 0.9 1.0	0.8 1.0 1.2	0.7 0.7
density	Air- dried	1.405 1.455 1.387 1.315	$1.372 \\ 1.421 \\ 1.403 \\ 1.403 \\ 1.403 \\ 1.403 \\ 1.10$		1.597 1.689 1.732	1.484 1.526 1.569	$\begin{array}{c} 1.342\\ 1.528\\ 1.531\\ 1.518\\ 1.518\\ 1.564\\ 1.407\end{array}$	1.532 1.564 1.564 1.542 1.562 1.416	$1.219 \\ 1.342 \\ 1.235$	1.501 1.467 1.482 1.254	1.410 1.405 1.511	1.436 1.454 1.361	$1.520 \\ 1.483$
Bulk	Field	1 1 1 1	1 1 1		1.627 2.153 2.017	1.515 1.589 1.604	$\begin{array}{c} 1.584 \\ 1.564 \\ 1.575 \\ 1.682 \\ 1.665 \\ 1.556 \end{array}$	1.481 1.576 1.610 1.664 1.584	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.350 \\       1.830 \\       1.735     \end{array} $	$1.585 \\ 1.821 \\ 1.805 \\ 1.722$	1.507 1.669 1.887	1.619 1.831 1.923	1 1
(%)	AV	1 1 1 1	1 1 1		26.9 4.0 10.5	20.1 15.3 9.1	20.7 20.1 25.0 11.4 7.8 6.4	29.4 24.8 22.1 28.2 17.8	23.2 14.0 9.3	24.8 19.6 20.9 11.9	19.0 15.9 0.1	17.1 11.9 3.7	т т
ree phase	LV	1 1 1 1			10.8 15.3 11.9	21.2 23.6 29.1	18.3 19.7 14.4 23.9 28.2 33.7	13.3 14.6 15.9 17.8 21.2	24.9 15.6 24.0	$     \begin{array}{c}       14.2 \\       10.4 \\       9.7 \\       21.9 \end{array} $	23.0 19.9 27.3	20.6 17.7 22.3	1.1
Th	SV	1 1 1 1	1 1 1		62.3 80.7 77.6	58.7 61.1 61.8	61.0 60.2 64.7 59.9 59.9	57.3 60.6 62.0 64.0 61.0	51.9 70.4 66.7	61.0 70.0 69.4 66.2	58.0 64.2 72.6	62.3 70.4 74.0	1.1
	Texture	FSL FSL SCL	FSL FSL FSL		FS FS FS	FS FS FS	FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS FS F	LFS FS FS FS LFS	FSL FSL FSL	FS LFS FSL	LFS FS FS	FS FSL FSL	FS
Clav	(%)	9.5 10.6 12.0 24.4	7.9 12.7 13.1		1.0 1.7 0.3	3.2 3.2 1.3	1.5 0.6 0.8 1.3 2.6	8.7 1.0 2.3 7.5 7.5	5.5 3.7 12.1	1.5 3.6 2.1 10.8	4.1 2.9 0.9	1.5 5.2 4.6	2.2 0.1
ţ	(%)	16.0 18.0 10.5 10.1	13.1 11.8 10.6		7.6 11.3 10.0	2.6 2.9 4.4	7.5 7.2 3.1 11.2 7.2 6.3	1.3 8.7 8.8 8.8 6.8	15.0 15.8 19.5	6.5 9.7 13.8 12.8	9.5 4.6 4.3	5.9 12.4 10.6	1.9 2.2
у Ц	(k) (k)	66.6 61.4 66.2 57.5	76.7 72.5 72.2		74.0 63.3 61.4	85.8 85.1 86.1	68.2 72.7 73.4 64.5 73.7 69.1	64.2 57.0 66.2 63.3 63.3	78.4 79.1 67.1	79.7 76.8 73.5 64.8	80.7 84.4 85.6	89.3 78.0 79.9	87.0 . 82.4
Sol	(K)	7.9 10.0 11.3 8.0	2.3 3.0 4.1		17.4 23.7 28.3	8.8 8.8 8.2	22.8 19.5 20.7 17.8 23.5 23.5 22.0	25.8 33.2 23.6 22.9 22.4	1.1 1.4 1.3	12.3 9.9 10.6 11.6	5.7 8.1 9.2	3.3 4.4 4.9	10.9 15.3
Denth	(cm)	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-43\\ 43-80 \end{array}$	0-9 9-21 21-32		$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-75\end{array}$	0-15 15-30 30-70	0-25 25-35 35-56 56-70 70-86 86-100	0-12 12-26 26-44 44-77 77-100	$\begin{array}{c} 0-16\\ 16-25/31\\ 25/31-46\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-22\\ 22-35\\ 35-80\end{array}$	0-13 13-40 40-80	0-14 14-37 37-80	0-12 12-75
Horizon	10711011	Apg Blg B2lg B22g	Apg A12g Bg		Apg B1g B2g	Ap C2 C2	RCCCCC	C3 C1 Ap	Apg A12g B1g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg C1g C2g	Apg A12g Cg	$_{\rm C}^{\rm Ap}$
Location	FOCATION	Surin, Prasat	Buri Ram, Prakhon Chai	S	Ubon Ratchathani, Muang	Maha Sarakam, Muang, Pamong Project	Sakon Nakhon, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Sakon Nakhon, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Udon Thani, Nong Han	Sakon Nakhon, Sawang Daen Din	Roi Et, Muang	Roi Et, Chaturaphak Phiman	Roi Et, Kaset Wisai
Ň		124.	125.	Regosol	50.	51.	56.	57.	103.	104.	119.	120.	121.

2			-	0	c C		ā		Thre	se phase (	(¥)	Bulk d	ensity	Sedimen- tation	Dis- persion	Max. water- holding	Moisture equiv-
N0.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(%) (%)	FS (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	volume (ml/g)	(%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
114.	Ubon Ratchathani, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-20\\ 20-33\\ 33-80\end{array}$	$1.6 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.4$	17.0 17.3 6.1 9.9	39.0 38.1 18.8 21.7	42.4 43.2 74.8 68.0	HC CCC	45.9 62.9 47.6 48.6	14.5 16.0 30.0 32.1	39.6 21.1 22.4 19.3	1.542 1.635 1.239 1.269	$\begin{array}{c} 1.167\\ 1.187\\ 1.187\\ 1.140\\ 1.179\end{array}$	1.4 1.6 2.5 2.9	93.8 94.3 68.6 76.2	45.9 43.2 -	28.2 25.5 -
Northe Fresh	ern Region Water Alluvial Soils																
25.	Chiang Mai, San Pa Thong, Rice Ex. St.	Apg Al2g IIC1g IIIC2g IVBg	0-15 15-30 30-45 45-55 55-80	11.0 20.6 5.4 4.3	30.2 34.8 32.5 48.8 21.1	25.9 19.2 15.8 23.5 39.3	32.9 25.4 22.9 35.3	LIC SCL CL LIC	57.4 57.7 55.5 55.5 44.8	18.2 22.8 22.8 29.0 30.6	24.4 19.5 21.7 15.6 24.6	$\frac{1.491}{1.500}$ $\frac{1.433}{1.422}$ $1.164$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.211 \\ 1.271 \\ 1.279 \\ 1.232 \\ 1.159 \end{array}$	1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.4	68.4 86.4 94.9 77.2 85.4	50.5 43.5 51.6 55.2	32.3
27.	Chiang Mai, San Kamphaeng	Apg B21g B22g	0-15 15-30 30-65	11.9 7.3 11.8	27.3 22.6 25.1	28.1 30.7 24.5	32.7 39.4 38.6	LIC	55.9 54.3 55.4	18.3 19.6 20.8	15.8 26.1 23.8	1.454 1.410 1.440	1.240 1.228 1.268	1.4 1.6 1.6	93.1 93.9 89.2	43.6 45.7 42.2	33.7 28.8 -
32.	Chiang Rai, Muang	Apg B1g Cg Cg	0-12 12-25 25-60 60-80	15.5 38.6 35.9 30.0	44.8 16.6 19.8 21.1	21.4 20.5 11.7 10.9	18.3 24.3 32.6 38.0	CL CL SC CL	60.5 67.6 61.3 -	19.5 19.5 23.7 -	20.0 12.9 15.0 -	1.574 1.753 1.594	1.122 1.212 1.211 1.201 1.116	1.2 1.8 1.9 2.0	73.3 68.9 73.7 24.5	48.7 38.7 41.3 51.5	32.4 23.6 -
81.	Chiang Rai, Chiang Saen	Apg A12g B21g B22g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-13\\ 13-24\\ 24-40\\ 40-65\end{array}$	3.4 3.2 2.9 2.8	19.0 20.4 17.4 23.5	21.4 27.8 28.3 24.8	56.2 48.5 51.3 48.9	HC HC HC	43.4 45.2 42.5 33.9	33.2 29.4 28.3 26.9	23.4 25.4 39.2 39.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.127\\ 1.176\\ 1.104\\ 0.880\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.863\\ 0.913\\ 0.942\\ 0.913\end{array}$	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.6	72.0 74.3 63.9 56.4	60.1 50.9 -	39.7 37.4 -
83.	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan	Apg A12g IIC1g IIIC2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-12\\ 12-21\\ 21-40\\ 40-56\end{array}$	13.4 71.4 29.1 5.1	10.9 5.7 10.6 17.1	44.1 10.5 26.7 35.3	31.6 12.4 33.6 42.5	LiC CoSL LiC LiC	46.7 54.6 59.7 47.3	41.3 38.4 33.6 42.6	12.0 7.0 6.7 10.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.213 \\ 1.420 \\ 1.552 \\ 1.231 \end{array}$	0.977 1.265 1.149 1.318	1.5 1.2 1.3	86.9 86.3 92.0 99.7	58.2 33.9 	42.1 15.4 -
84.	Chiang Rai, Muang	Apg C1g C2g	0-12 12-37 37-65	1.2 2.3 1.2	2.5 5.7 0.7	36.5 31.8 40.0	59.8 60.2 58.1	HC HC	42.8 44.0 50.4	33.8 34.1 37.6	23.4 21.9 12.0	1.113 1.144 1.309	0.922 1.122 1.015	111	69.7 72.6 64.2	56.8 54.0 -	35.2 33.7 -
146.	Nan, Muang	Apg C1g C2g	0-10 10-18 18-55	0.4 0.9 1.8	9.0 7.6 8.5	55.1 51.0 47.8	35.5 40.4 41.9	sic sic sic	40.6 60.8 58.5	24.1 23.1 34.5	35.3 16.1 7.0	1.056 1.582 1.520	0.921 1.080 1.187	1.7 1.8 2.0	87.9 83.0 92.0	63.2 59.2 -	48.2 41.1 -
152.	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-10 10-25 25-40 40-65	1.5 2.2 3.2 5.7	14.9 11.3 12.5 19.4	42.0 45.7 39.7 34.5	41.6 40.8 44.3 40.4	LIC LIC LIC LIC	44.8 46.8 43.7 43.3	39.1 40.1 43.5 35.0	16.1 13.1 12.8 21.7	1.166 1.218 1.137 1.127	1.216 0.982 1.019 1.143	1.9 1.9 2.1 2.1	41.0 45.8 60.6 70.6	70.9 63.0 -	54.1 48.5 -

Non-Calcic Brown Soils

Moisture	equiv- alent (%)	38.6 37.1 -	30.4 29.4 -	30.2 26.2 -	30.5 30.3 -	30.1 24.2 -	17.4	31.4 25.0 -		26.2 24.9 -	1111	29.1 27.8 -
Max. water-	holding capacity (¾)	59.5 54.4 69.9 66.8	52.4 52.0 51.9	52.2 42.4 43.5	51.3 51.2 52.3 55.6	54.2 45.3 48.2 52.3	41.0 38.0 42.3 42.2	53.6 47.8 -	1 1 1 1	48.4 44.1 -	1 1 1 1 1	49.2 47.3 -
Dis-	persion ratio (%)	70.7 76.8 91.9 79.2	99.0 90.2 85.9	81.2 80.2 79.8	84.5 65.4 67.5 43.9	56.0 57.4 65.4 46.8	90.0 92.1 77.8	72.8 66.1 79.7	85.5 91.3 88.3 94.5	95.4 88.0 94.6 97.4	58.7 75.9 90.4 93.8 97.5	80.6 86.8 92.7 93.8
Sedimen-	tation volume (ml/g)	1.8 1.9 2.1 2.1	1.4 1.6 1.7	1.6 1.8 1.9	1.8 2.2 2.2 2.3	1.8 1.8 2.0	11111	1 1 1		1.5 2.1	2.0 1.9 2.1 2.2	
	lensity Air- dried	$1.099 \\ 1.220 \\ 1.094 \\ 1.108$	1.087 1.139 1.172	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.126 \\       1.273 \\       1.236     \end{array} $	1.218 1.174 1.163 1.160	1.114 1.235 1.200 1.166	1.184 1.294 1.216 1.232	1.006 1.154 1.145	0.997 1.240 1.208	1.424 1.451 1.212 1.368	1.038 1.134 1.183 1.173 1.173	1.043 1.188 1.068 1.054
	Bulk o Field	1.204 1.466 1.127 1.305	1.457 1.538 1.562	1.468 1.651 1.464	1.178 1.169 1.227 -	1 1 1 1		1.355 1.639 1.680	1.479 1.631 1.495 -	$\begin{array}{c} 1.410 \\ 1.776 \\ 1.582 \\ 1.253 \\ 1.253 \end{array}$	1.081 1.503 1.524 1.524 1.401 1.415	1.194 1.353 1.444 1.444
	(%) AV	26.0 10.2 23.7 7.5	28.8 14.3 10.8	17.0 10.5 17.1	15.1 24.1 15.3 -	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	31.2 12.7 11.8	9.8 8.4 13.7 -	39.0 21.5 21.8 35.6	18.0 0.9 1.3 1.4 5.6	15.8 18.1 12.0 22.7
	ree phase LV	27.7 33.3 33.0 42.1	15.2 26.5 29.1	26.5 26.0 26.6	39.5 30.9 37.7 -	1 1 1 1		16.7 24.2 23.6	33.3 28.9 28.8	10.6 10.2 17.4 16.2	40.4 41.3 40.1 44.7 40.0	38.3 29.9 32.5 28.6
	Th SV	46.3 56.5 43.3 50.4	56.0 59.2 60.1	56.5 63.5 56.3	45.4 45.0 47.0 -	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	52.1 63.1 64.6	56.9 62.7 57.5 -	50.4 68.3 60.8 48.2	41.6 57.8 58.6 53.9 54.4	45.9 52.0 55.5 48.7
	Texture	ECC CCC	ECC C	sic sic sic	HC HC HC	HC HC HC	SicL SicL SicL SicL	HC	sic sic sic	L CL L	SCC	SIC HC HC
	Clay (%)	37.3 40.5 47.2 39.1	32.1 42.0 43.4	26.4 32.2 35.6	54.2 60.7 68.6 58.7	56.3 41.9 49.0 48.7	15.1 14.5 19.6 21.4	48.8 50.9 50.5	34.5 31.6 34.2 30.7	$   \begin{array}{c}     12.3 \\     9.2 \\     21.7 \\     29.2 \\   \end{array} $	38.1 32.6 31.5 37.4 27.9	31.8 49.5 53.4 57.6
	Silt (%)	35.3 31.8 33.3 34.9	39.9 36.6 36.6	53.4 55.7 53.4	31.7 28.7 23.8 33.7	29.5 35.5 28.8 29.9	52.1 50.7 45.4 52.0	39.0 44.0 40.7	45.3 48.7 45.3 42.0	30.7 30.8 27.4 25.0	49.9 53.7 47.9 41.6 45.0	55.4 40.5 36.9 28.9
	FS (%)	23.7 23.2 14.6 21.8	25.5 16.4 15.0	19.1 10.4 8.1	11.4 8.8 6.2 7.1	13.7 21.7 19.4 20.4	29.0 29.2 22.7 22.7	11.3 4.0 4.8	13.9 14.5 16.1 21.1	44.3 42.2 34.6 31.8	10.4 10.5 16.1 14.2 20.8	8.1 3.9 5.1 8
	CoS (%)	3.7 4.5 4.9	2.5 5.0 5.0	1.1 1.7 2.9	2.7 1.7 1.4 0.5	0.5 0.8 1.0	3.6 3.6 3.9 8.0 3.9	0.9 1.1 4.0	6.3 5.2 6.1 6.1	12.8 17.8 16.3 14.0	1.6 3.1 4.5 6.8 6.3	4.6 6.1 4.7 5.4
	Depth (cm)	0-15 15-25 25-45 45-90	0-15 15-25 25-65	0-15 15-25 25-65	0-15 15-25 25-45 45-65	0-13 13-25 25-45 45-75	0-15 15-30 30-50 50-75	0-12 12-35 35-65	0-13 13-24 24-39 39-65	0-10 10-18 18-33 33-65	0-12 12-18 18-25 25-38 38-48	0-15 15-43 43-55 55-75
	Horizon	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B21g B22g B23g	Apg Al2g Blg B2g	Apg B21g B22g	Apg Blg B2g BCg	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg A12g A12g ABG B21g	Apg B21g B22g IICø
	Location	Chiang Mai, Mae Taeng	Lampang, Hang Chat	Lampang, Ngao	Chiang Rai, Phan, Rice Ex. St.	Chiang Rai, Phayao	Phrae, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Lampang, Ngao	Lampang, Muang	Lamphun, Muang	Chiang Mai, Hang Dong	Chiang Mai, Doi Saket
	No.	26.	28.	30.	33.	34.	35.	85.	86.	87.	88.	89.

Low Humic Gley Soils

Moisture equiv- alent (%)	36.7 27.5 -	36.3 32.0 -	40.4 36.1 -	23.2 16.9 -	27.6 23.9 -	39.1 35.1 -	35.5 30.6 -	32.2 30.4 -	39.1 33.4 -	28.3 26.0 -	28.5 27.8 -	23.1 16.7 -
Max. water- holding capacity (%)	59.2 47.9 -	63.7 54.6 -	68.7 56.1 -	48.0 36.3 -	52.2 49.8 -	55.8 48.6 -	65.0 55.2 -	53.9 48.1 	59.2 58.0 -	54.6 46.2 -	50.1 45.2 -	45.9 36.3 -
Dis- persion ratio	72.0 88.5 99.9	84.7 86.7 91.5 96.4	91.7 90.1 95.1	82.6 88.9 92.5	78.8 85.9 77.5	76.4 87.5 88.3 86.6	87.6 87.7 91.1 86.8	87.7 81.3 79.6 63.5	98.2 87.8 86.5	98.2 87.8 86.5	85.3 92.5 92.4 94.5	89.9 90.1 90.4
Sedimen- tation volume (ml/g)	1.6 1.4 1.8	1.6 1.6 1.7	1.5 1.9 2.1	1.011	1.4 1.5 1.8	1.7 2.1 2.6 2.6	1.7 1.9 2.2	2.0 2.3 2.5 2.5	1.8 1.9 1.9	1.3 1.3 1.3	1.4 1.7 1.8	1.6 1.3 1.6
density Air- dried	1.096 1.224 1.187	1.013 1.146 1.254 1.239	1.006 1.106 1.116	1.165 1.337 1.416	1.105 1.247 1.251	1.131 1.229 1.272 1.302	1.051 1.197 1.205 1.198	1.195 1.235 1.239 1.156	0.987 1.071 1.078	$1.123 \\ 1.279 \\ 1.258 \\ 1.25$	1.052 1.239 1.255 1.230	1.285 1.375 1.381
Bulk e	1.360 1.660 1.447	1.083 1.619 1.557 1.630	1.199 1.464 1.636	1.514 1.835 1.829	1.587 1.701 1.703	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.523 \\       1.653 \\       1.652 \\       1.507 \\     \end{array}   $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.131 \\ 1.633 \\ 1.510 \\ 1.527 \\ \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.402 \\       1.437 \\       1.607 \\       1.313     \end{array} $	1.118 1.299 1.304	1.362 1.495 1.637	1.299 1.636 1.669 1.564	1.476 1.685 1.634
2 (%) AV	35.4 17.8 18.4	38.5 13.8 16.9 10.7	21.9 20.9 9.5	22.4 14.2 10.0	19.8 10.9 7.7	29.0 8.0 9.7 15.2	28.3 10.0 11.7 8.1	10.9 11.6 17.3 9.5	21.5 14.6 15.7	30.5 28.9 14.8	31.0 11.2 19.5 16.0	34.3 23.6 25.1
ree phase LV	12.3 18.1 25.9	19.9 23.9 23.2 26.6	32.0 23.8 27.6	19.4 15.2 19.6	19.1 23.7 26.8	12.4 28.4 26.8 26.8	28.8 27.2 33.2 33.2	35.2 33.1 21.1 40.0	35.5 35.4 34.2	17.1 13.6 22.2	19.0 25.9 16.3 23.9	8.9 11.6 12.1
Th	52.3 64.1 55.7	41.6 62.3 59.9 62.7	46.1 56.3 62.9	58.2 70.6 70.4	61.1 65.4 65.5	58.6 63.6 63.5 58.0	43.5 62.8 58.1 58.7	53.9 55.3 61.6 50.5	43.0 50.0 50.1	52.4 57.5 63.0	50.0 62.9 64.2 60.1	56.8 64.8 62.8
Texture	ric cic	SIC SIC SIC SIC	sic sic	siL SiL	RIC SIC	HC CC	LIC SIC HC	HCC	LIC HC	555	HCCCCC HCCCCC	FSL SCL SCL
Clay (%)	29.9 26.7 34.5	30.9 28.9 34.6 32.5	38.4 37.0 39.6	14.2 12.7 12.3	31.0 37.5 41.0	43.7 47.2 61.1 51.5	41.1 42.8 52.0 49.3	53.0 58.5 60.9 60.7	53.3 43.6 34.3	18.3 17.8 22.5	27.6 26.0 27.3 49.1	13.1 15.3 19.0
Silt (%)	42.1 43.8 38.8	49.2 47.2 43.7 42.6	50.5 53.7 46.6	51.7 47.8 39.1	46.0 43.3 41.3	34.0 33.0 24.2 31.4	44.9 45.2 39.9 40.3	31.6 29.9 30.2 31.0	26.5 32.2 38.4	41.7 37.1 30.4	45.9 41.3 38.6 13.7	16.5 15.0 15.3
FS (%)	22.7 22.5 16.6	19.5 23.4 20.2 17.8	10.6 8.3 12.0	30.8 35.8 42.7	21.7 17.5 14.9	21.8 19.2 13.8 15.9	13.5 11.2 5.6 5.9	13.2 9.8 8.3 7.9	19.2 22.0 25.4	33.7 33.8 35.3	22.5 24.6 18.8 13.3	53.9 50.1 46.6
CoS (%)	5.3 7.0 10.1	0.4 0.5 1.4 7.0	0.5 1.0 1.8	3.3 3.7 5.9	1.3 1.7 2.8	0.5 0.6 0.9 1.2	0.5 0.8 4.5	2.2 1.8 0.6 0.4	1.0 2.2 1.9	6.3 11.3 11.8	4.0 8.1 15.3 23.9	16.5 19.6 19.1
Depth (cm)	0-6 6-22 22-65	0-10 10-20 20-26 26-65	0-10 10-26 26-65	0-15 15-35 35-80	0-13 13-23 23-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-40\\ 40-65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-45\\ 45-70\end{array}$	0-11 11-20 20-35 35-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-50 \end{array}$	0-8 8-15 15-50	0-9 9-19 19-30 30-55	0-13 13-23 23-65
Horizon	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g
Location	Phrae, Sung Men	Nan, Sa	Nan, Muang	Phrae, Muang, Pa Daen	Phrae, Song	Chiang Rai, Dok Kham Tai	Chiang Rai, Mae Chai	Chiang Rai, Phan, Rice Ex. St.	Chiang Rai, Chiang Saen	Lampang, Muang	Chiang Mai, Chiang Dao	Chiang Mai, Fang, Mae Ai
No.	143.	144.	145.	147.	148.	149.	150.	151.	153.	154.	155.	156.

Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	44.9 39.6 -	29.3 27.1 -	44.0	40.0	15.6 11.3 -		49.9 45.2 -		I
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	62.9 55.7 -	49.3 42.3 -	64.6 52.2 47.3 52.4 55.7	64.3 51.7 -	39.4 27.3 26.9 37.4		68.7 65.2 -		1
Dis- persion	ratio (%)	80.9 77.8 83.9 99.7	84.8 80.7 89.5 99.4	57.5 66.3 73.2 65.9 62.6	50.2 74.6 79.1 74.0	63.5 71.3 94.4 75.3		98.3 98.6 93.1		86.9
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	1.2 1.9 2.1 1.6	1.4 1.7 1.9	1.8 2.0 2.0 2.3 2.3	1.5 2.1 2.2 2.2	1.0 0.9 1.0		2.8 4.0 4.2		17
density	Air- dried	$\begin{array}{c} 0.995 \\ 1.117 \\ 1.024 \\ 1.045 \end{array}$	1.044 1.198 1.196 1.184	1.018 1.128 1.198 1.133 1.133	0.976 1.076 1.063 1.134	$\begin{array}{c} 1.273 \\ 1.306 \\ 1.466 \\ 1.248 \end{array}$		$1.236 \\ 1.291 \\ 1.276$		1 077
Bulk o	Field	1.379 1.497 1.394 1.758	$\begin{array}{c} 1.432 \\ 1.767 \\ 1.689 \\ 1.181 \end{array}$	0.966 1.358 1.316 1.235 1.235	1.113 1.214 1.175 1.296	1.550 1.734 1.907 1.774		1.055 1.229 1.148		1.064
(火)	AV	9.3 9.1 14.1 11.6	29.5 11.5 12.3 25.9	32.0 13.9 16.4 22.3	25.3 19.8 22.2 13.0	16.0 22.5 10.6 11.1		$19.2 \\ 10.4 \\ 11.9$		31.2
ee phase	ΓΛ	37.7 33.3 32.3 20.8	15.5 20.5 22.7 28.7	30.9 33.9 33.0 30.2	31.9 33.8 33.6 37.1	24.4 10.8 21.1 20.7		41.0 43.2 44.8		979
Thu	SV	53.0 57.6 53.6 57.6	55.0 68.0 65.0 45.4	37.1 52.2 50.6 47.5 -	42.8 46.4 45.2 49.9	59.6 66.7 68.7 68.2		39.8 46.4 43.3		40 Q
	Texture	HC SIC CL	CL LIC LIC	HC HC HC	HCCC	SL LS FS LS		HC HC		1 iC
	Clay (%)	$58.2 \\ 40.5 \\ 33.0 \\ 21.9 \\ $	24.6 26.2 28.1 28.1 26.7	62.0 61.8 64.5 68.7 74.2	55.7 59.0 62.0 55.3	10.5 5.9 1.6 6.4		55.2 58.6 64.1		32.0
	Silt (%)	27.0 46.0 37.8 29.5	25.3 23.7 23.1 20.9	30.1 30.9 27.0 22.2 21.6	31.8 29.9 33.0	9.9 8.4 6.9		39.4 35.9 30.6		37.1
	FS (%)	14.0 12.3 18.4 17.5	44.3 42.5 41.8 42.5	6.6 6.1 6.8 6.8 7.5 3.4	10.9 6.6 9.5 9.5	42.8 44.7 51.8 42.9		4.5 5.2 5.0		95.8
	CoS (%)	0.8 1.2 31.1	5.8 7.6 9.9	1.3 1.2 1.7 0.8	1.6 4.5 2.5 2.2	36.8 41.0 44.0		$\begin{array}{c} 0.9\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\end{array}$		42
	Depth (cm)	0-13 13-23 23-45 45-70	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-20\\ 20-30\\ 30-55\end{array}$	0-15 15-25 25-40 40-60 60-85	0-20 20-32 32-48 48-65	0-20 20-35 35-70 70-85		0-15 15-30 30-50		0-18
	Horizon	Apg Al2g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g Cg	Apg B21g B22g BCg	Apg A12 B1 B2		Apg A12g Cg		Ano
	Location	Chiang Mai, Fang, Wieng	Chiang Mai, Fang, Pong Tam Glev Soils	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai	Podzolic Soils Chiang Mai, Chom Thong	ern Region e Alluvial Soils	Nakhon Si Tham- marat, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	ish Water Alluvial Soils	Songkhala.
	No.	157.	158. Humic	31.	82.	Gray i 24.	South Marin	171.	Bracki	94

.

;			- 1	0	ŝ		ł		Thr	ee phase (	(%)	Bulk d	ensity	Sedimen- tation	Dis- persion	Max. water- holding	Moisture equiv-
N0.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(%) (%)	FS (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	volume (ml/g)	ratio (%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
92.	Phatthalung, Khuan Khanun, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g IIB21g IIB22g	0-13 13-22 22-33 33-	2.5 2.9 0.8	30.8 25.4 19.3 12.8	53.7 57.1 50.3 46.1	13.0 14.6 27.7 40.4	Sict Sict Sic	59.5 58.1 49.4 55.1	16.4 20.8 25.8 20.0	24.1 11.1 24.8 24.9	$\begin{array}{c} 1.547 \\ 1.382 \\ 1.284 \\ 1.434 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.119\\ 1.262\\ 1.190\\ 1.012\end{array}$	1.0 1.8 1.8	95.0 55.6 93.2 14.6	8 8 1 1	1 1 1 1
174.	Phatthalung, Khuan Khanun, Rice Ex. St.	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-17 17-30 30-60 60-75	0.8 3.8 1.6 1.4	17.0 9.7 5.2 6.4	42.5 37.7 33.2 24.6	39.7 48.8 60.0 67.5	LIC HC HC	62.8 61.0 51.5 45.3	25.2 23.4 34.3 29.6	12.0 15.6 14.2 15.1	1.665 1.617 1.366 1.201	1.248 1.263 1.178 1.205	1.7 2.5 2.5 2.7	93.3 82.5 54.5 48.8	48.3 46.1 -	34.3 28.9 -
175.	Phatthalung, Khuan Khanun, Rice Ex. Subst.	Apg B1g B2g	0-14 14-23 23-70	0.8 0.7 0.4	25.5 30.0 19.8	42.3 36.1 35.6	31.3 33.2 44.2	ECC C	54.1 58.5 58.5	21.3 23.9 26.6	24.6 17.6 14.9	1.435 1.550 1.552	1.254 1.299 1.216	1.8 2.0 2.1	84.7 80.2 52.1	45.7 43.4 -	31.4 30.2 -
91.	Phatthalung, Muang	Apg Al2g G1	0-10 10-20 20-	4.9 3.5 6.3	36.4 29.7 19.6	38.1 45.3 26.5	20.6 21.5 47.6	CL SICL HC	41.3 47.3 45.1	31.4 35.0 31.8	27.3 17.7 23.1	1.073 1.230 1.172	1.158 1.202 1.175	1.3 1.4 1.9	63.2 93.2 80.6	1 1 1	1 1 1
93.	Songkhla, Pattaphum	Apg B21g B22g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-19\\ 19-37\\ 37-\end{array}$	7.8 4.8 3.2	61.0 47.2 39.1	12.6 19.8 22.9	18.6 28.2 34.8	SCL LiC LiC	50.0 60.2 43.8	26.3 31.1 32.1	33.7 8.7 24.1	1.291 1.566 1.139	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.256 \\       1.292 \\       1.177   \end{array} $	1.2 1.3 1.7	55.5 88.5 95.1	1 1 1	1 1 1
164.	Prachuap Khiri Khan, Thap Sakae	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-32 32-65	23.8 13.9 6.8	45.1 37.0 41.2	21.3 21.2 22.8	9.7 27.9 29.1	FSL LiC LiC	60.3 65.8 58.0	25.4 27.2 26.4	14.3 7.0 15.6	1.568 1.745 1.538	1.317 1.421 1.349	1.4 3.5 4.3	95.6 93.6 92.4	42.2 51.0 -	24.3 36.1
165.	Chumphon, Muang	Apg Al2g Blg B2g	0-15 15-25 25-65 65-85	1.9 4.2 1.7 2.8	53.5 47.9 46.6 49.0	27.8 26.3 28.4 26.6	16.8 21.6 23.3 21.5	5555	65.1 65.4 62.4 67.8	28.1 30.3 26.5 28.0	6.8 4.3 11.1 4.2	1.726 1.733 1.655 1.797	1.268 1.397 1.411 1.431	1.4 1.9 1.8 2.1	98.9 93.1 92.3 90.7	49.5 40.7 -	29.6 25.8 -
167.	Pangnga, Thai Muang	Apg Blg B2g	0-15 15-32 32-66	1.5 0.6 1.1	7.0 9.6 6.2	30.0 29.0 26.5	61.5 60.8 66.2	HC HC	45.2 45.3 46.4	39.6 40.5 47.3	15.2 14.2 6.3	1.197 1.200 1.229	1.344 1.061 1.301	2.3 2.6 2.8	74.2 88.5 98.0	73.1 68.3 -	46.5 42.1 -
170.	Krabi, Khlong Thom	Apg ABg B1g B2g	0-17 17-30 30-51 51-70	30.2 29.7 27.7 22.5	50.6 46.9 43.7 40.1	15.0 16.6 16.3 12.7	$4.1 \\ 6.7 \\ 12.2 \\ 24.7 \\ 24$	FSL SCL SCL	47.2 68.5 66.0 53.6	24.8 21.3 31.7 35.3	28.0 10.2 2.3 11.1	$     1.251 \\     1.815 \\     1.749 \\     1.420 $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.370 \\       1.580 \\       1.536 \\       1.415 \\     \end{array} $	1.0 1.1 1.7	86.2 94.3 99.3 93.5	41.3 34.4 -	21.9 20.1 -
172.	Nakhon Si Tham- marat, Thung Song	Apg B1g B2g	0-13 13-23 23-50	18.0 16.0 5.9	43.0 48.4 27.6	26.4 21.7 36.7	12.6 13.9 29.8	SL FSL LiC	54.3 56.7 43.6	23.7 32.2 44.2	12.0 11.1 12.2	1.438 1.503 1.155	1.087 1.276 1.189	1.5 1.7 2.1	93.4 94.6 83.4	50.2 45.8 -	28.2 22.1

Fresh Water Alluvial Soils

Moisture equiv-	alent (%)	29.3 23.0 -	34.8 31.7 -	28.5 27.7 -	17.3 21.5 -	26.5 23.0 -	21.4 17.6 -	37.9 34.6 -	1.1	22.6 20.4	24.5 18.4 -
Max. water- holding	capacity (%)	51.0 49.3 -	64.2 56.5 -	49.4 48.4 -	38.4 42.0 -	48.2 44.3 -	53.7 44.5 -	74.6 63.5 - -	1.1	48.5 43.9	44.4 36.8 -
Dis- persion	ratio (%)	73.9 84.1 78.9	94.1 91.2 88.9 83.5	85.5 92.5 95.3 95.3	80.3 79.4 45.9	84.2 93.0 92.2	71.0 69.5 94.1 91.7	75.9 48.7 42.7 90.7 42.8	84.7 86.6	80.9 93.4	95.6 76.3 96.8 67.9
Sedimen- tation	volume (ml/g)	1.7 1.8 1.9	1.5 1.9 1.8	1.5 1.9 1.7	2.0 1.8 2.0	1.3 2.2 2.5	1.5 1.4 1.6 1.9	2.2 2.3 2.3 1.9	1.2	1.4	1.2 1.6 1.1 1.1
densitv	Air- dried	$1.088 \\ 1.260 \\ 1.290$	1.128 1.163 1.107 1.158	$1.090 \\ 1.229 \\ 1.281 \\ 1.277 \\ 1.27$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.319 \\       1.265 \\       1.280 \\     \end{array}   $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.110 \\ 1.330 \\ 1.320 \end{array} $	0.993 1.478 1.425 1.367	1.024 1.122 1.185 1.21 1.21 1.191	1.164 1.283	1.143 1.362	1.405 1.395 1.285 1.490
Bulk	Field	1.274 1.290 1.316	1.216 1.388 1.321 1.307	1.240 1.671 1.592 1.679	1.688 1.526 1.521	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.382 \\       1.532 \\       1.618   \end{array} $	1.426 1.562 1.722 1.723	$\begin{array}{c} 1.153\\ 1.162\\ 1.213\\ 1.432\\ 1.432\\ 1.272\end{array}$	1.1555 1.152	1.422 1.422	$\begin{array}{c} 1.452 \\ 1.628 \\ 1.480 \\ 1.508 \end{array}$
(%)	AV	12.7 17.2 14.0	14.6 6.0 8.8 8.8	18.6 3.6 7.9 5.0	9.4 13.7 14.3	11.7 11.0 5.7	4.6 3.4 2.2	12.0 9.6 6.3 2.3 2.0	11.5 24.6	8.2 8.2	14.6 4.6 9.2 10.9
rree phase	LV	39.2 34.1 36.4	39.5 41.6 40.2 41.9	34.6 33.4 32.0 31.7	26.9 27.1 28.3	26.1 31.2 33.0	41.6 35.0 31.6 32.8	44.5 45.6 47.9 43.7 50.0	28.7 31.1	38.1 38.1	31.6 34.0 33.7 32.2
Ę	SV	48.1 48.7 49.6	45.9 52.4 49.8 49.3	46.8 63.0 60.1 63.3	63.7 59.2 57.4	52.2 57.8 61.0	53.8 60.7 65.0 65.0	43.5 44.8 45.8 54.0 48.0	59.8 44.3	53.7 53.7	54.8 61.4 57.1 56.9
	Texture	ric ci	SiC HC HC	SCCC	LIC LIC	ECC	FSL FSL SL SCL	HC LiC FSL	SL CoSL	FSL	CoSL CoSL CoSL CoS
	Clay (%)	32.6 31.9 31.5	30.9 49.2 51.5 53.3	23.6 30.5 25.7 27.9	14.6 39.1 37.6	17.1 23.1 26.5	10.5 6.4 14.4 17.7	49.8 50.1 34.9 15.7 14.6	13.4 10.5	7.6 8.1	12.1 12.6 12.3 12.3
	Silt (%)	35.6 22.6 22.5	46.5 32.6 33.3 34.9	41.1 41.4 43.7 47.1	29.0 13.3 14.7	28.2 29.9 32.5	18.2 15.7 17.5 15.2	24.4 14.8 10.2 9.4 12.2	17.2 19.1	20.0 21.9	11.4 11.4 11.1 5.0
	FS (%)	30.4 42.0 42.1	21.7 12.6 13.5 11.5	34.1 27.2 29.2 23.5	30.1 26.5 27.7	42.8 25.5 21.3	48.3 46.8 40.8 39.4	25.3 34.3 54.4 72.8 70.0	32.6 21.1	65.7 64.2	28.5 30.9 28.9 19.5
	CoS (%)	1.4 3.4 3.9	0.9 5.6 1.7 0.2	1.2 0.9 1.4 1.5	26.3 21.1 20.0	11.9 21.5 19.7	23.0 31.1 27.3 27.6	0.5 0.8 0.5 3.1	36.3 49.3	6.7 4.9	48.0 45.0 47.7 74.1
	Depth (cm)	0-16 16-45 45-75	0-10 10-23 23-35 35-72	0-10 10-21 21-38 38-68	0-12 12-28 28-70	0-12 12-26 26-65	0-12 12-22 22-42 42-70	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30\\ 30-40\\ 40-60\\ 60-90\end{array}$	0-11 11-18	0-13 13-40	0-15 15-30 30-55 55-85
	Horizon	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g Cg	Apg B1g B2g B3g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g B3g	Apg B1g B2g IIC1g IIC2g	Apg A12g	Apg Bg	Apg B1g B2g IIC
	Location	Trang, Muang	Satun, Muang	Songkhla, Muang	Pattani, Khok Pho, Rice Ex. St.	Pattani, Muang	Yala, Raman	Narathiwat, Muang	ls Phuket, Thalang	Ranong, Krabi	Phuket, Thalang
	No.	173.	176.	177.	179.	180.	181.	182.	Regoso 90.	166.	168.

	-							Thre	e phase (	(1)	Bulk de	ensity	Sedimen- tation	Dis- persion	Max. water- holding	Moisture equiv-
Horizon De <sub>l</sub> (cr	Ccr (Cr	n) th	CoS (%)	FS (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	SV	LV	AV	Field	Air- dried	volume (ml/g)	ratio (%)	capacity (%)	alent (%)
Apg 0-	6	8	9.5	56.4	19.7	14.4	FSL	67.7	27.4	4.9	1.795	1.249	1.3	90.9	43.8	22.5
Blg 8-1	-8-	2	8.5	40.3	25.9	25.3	LiC	64.0	30.5	4.5	1.696	1.323	1.8	90.9	41.5	18.8
B21g 17-3	17-	35	5.9	31.4	26.9	35.8	LiC	48.8	35.6	15.6	1.295	1.269	2.0	83.3	I	I
B22g 35-(	35-6	52	5.9	27.3	25.6	41.2	LiC	43.6	29.7	26.7	1.156	1.183	1.9	68.0	ı	I
B3g 62-6	62-8	35	9.2	23.7	24.6	42.5	LiC	46.8	34.1	19.1	1.240	1.225	1.9	22.6	ı	ı
Apg 0-	9	13	1.8	58.2	26.4	13.6	L	51.1	42.4	6.5	1.353	1.093	1.2	99.3	45.1	22.0
Blg 13	É	-25	1.9	55.6	24.7	17.8	CL	55.9	36.4	7.7	1.481	1.355	1.8	89.0	39.1	21.0
B2g 35	35	-52	1.6	53.5	23.8	21.1	CL	61.9	21.7	16.4	1.640	1.361	2.1	89.1	ı	I
B3g 52	52	-70	1.5	50.0	25.6	22.9	CL	59.5	15.2	25.3	1.577	1.179	2.5	92.8	I	ı

•

al Data on Chemical Properties		oils
2. Analytics	Central Plain	Marine Alluvial Soi

Soils	
lluvial	
A	
larine	

				10	-							Abs.				ь Ч	Races 1	mea/100		
Locatio	u	Horizon	Depth (cm)	ы (H <sub>2</sub> O)	(KCI)	T-C ⊮	C/N	T.N %	Av-N ppm	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O; ppm	P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	T-K <sub>2</sub> O mg/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm	C.E.C. meq/100g	Са	Mg	Na Na	~ х	*(%)
<sup>2</sup> hra Nakh 3ang Khen 8ice Ex. St	,	Apg A12g AIICg IIC1g IIC2g	0-15 15-30 30-50 50-65 65-	5.4 5.9 6.1 6.3	4.5 4.1 4.4 4.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1.32\\ 1.34\\ 1.16\\ 0.23\\ 0.18\end{array}$	12.0 11.2 14.5 5.8 6.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11\\ 0.12\\ 0.08\\ 0.03\\ 0.03\end{array}$	48.0	55.7 52.9 47.9 49.7 47.7	9.2 9.6 6.1 1.6 5.7	1442 1414 1534 1498 1177	955 1007 719 743 876	532 575 518 518 594	32.75 33.13 30.33 24.84 29.61	12.16 12.17 12.17 11.76 13.26 14.10	16.96 17.08 18.45 18.05 20.46	9.23 8.50 10.14 11.25 12.59	1.13 1 1.22 1 1.10 1 1.10 1 1.26 1	20.5 17.6 36.7 75.3 63.5
Phra Nakl Bang Khe Rice Ex. S	hon, n, st.	Apg A12g AIICg IIC1g	0-15 15-30 30-45 45-65	5.2 5.1 5.3 5.3	4.3 4.0 3.7 4.0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.41 \\ 1.21 \\ 0.89 \\ 0.42 \end{array} $	10.8 111.0 8.9 8.4	0.13 0.11 0.10 0.05	49.5 - -	63.7 62.1 51.8 55.2	12.1 9.0 4.6 2.1	1444 1493 1581 1479	1239 1267 1218 973	603 528 466 462	33.05 33.04 30.38 28.56	11.44 10.29 8.78 15.21	17.07 16.19 16.31 15.21	9.72 7.45 7.98 8.80	1.28 1 1.12 1 0.99 1 0.98 1	19.5 06.1 12.1 40.8
Phetchab Muang	uri,	Apg A12g C1g C2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10/15\\ 10/15-20\\ 20-40\\ 40-65\end{array}$	5.4 6.3 7.1 7.85	4.7 5.4 6.55 7.55	1.17 0.95 0.38 0.26	11.7 11.9 9.5 8.7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.04\\ 0.03\end{array}$	33.6 - -	31.6 29.3 29.1 51.6	10.9 9.5 29.8 -	1288 1216 1365 513	860 989 927 889	377 377 480 386	25.34 26.44 28.09 30.28	5.21 5.67 14.10 21.05	6.10 6.55 10.28 12.55	2.63 3.96 9.82 11.68	0.80 0.80 1.02 0.82 1	58.2 64.2 92.5 49.6
Samut S Amphaw	ongkhram a	Apg A12g C1g C2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10/15\\ 10/15-20\\ 20-43\\ 43-65\end{array}$	6.15 6.8 7.05 7.45	5.45 6.05 6.35 6.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.43 \\ 0.91 \\ 0.61 \\ 0.58 \end{array}$	13.0 15.2 12.2 14.5	0.11 0.06 0.05 0.04	54.9 - -	52.7 44.0 47.2 93.9	9.7 31.8 -	1428 1592 1457 1453	1097 1196 1243 1162	829 867 881 1055	39.95 37.92 36.02 38.61	14.98 17.37 23.14 15.70	19.68 21.43 21.29 22.44	12.84 15.47 16.76 17.26	1.76 1 1.84 1 1.87 1 2.24 1	23.3 47.8 75.1 49.3
Samut P. Bang Phl	rakan, i	Apg ACg C1g C2g	0-15 15-35 35-55 55-65	4.5 5.8 6.7 6.75	3.9 4.9 5.55 5.75	2.30 0.76 0.41 0.41	13.5 10.9 10.3 10.3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 0.07\\ 0.04\\ 0.04\\ 0.04 \end{array}$	87.1 - -	44.5 63.9 141.4 166.6	18.4 23.1 30.2 31.6	1332 1141 1042 1269	2086 2225 2120 2064	763 744 768 810	30.40 30.78 32.02 33.31	8.91 7.67 7.80 8.12	15.79 15.25 17.37 19.77	11.79 13.71 16.16 17.70	1.62 1 1.58 1 1.63 1 1.72 1	25.4 24.1 21.7 42.0
Chachoe Bang Pa	ngsao, kong	Apg-1 Apg-2 Al2g Clg C2g	0-3 3-15 15-30 30-50 50-	5.35 5.1 5.2 4.75 4.85	4.7 4.4 4.35 4.1 4.1	1.08 0.80 0.69 0.56 0.28	12.0 11.4 17.3 14.0 7.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.07\\ 0.04\\ 0.04\\ 0.04\end{array}$	74.6 - -	80.5 80.4 141.8 152.6 144.0	20.5 16.6 23.7 26.3 25.0	1017 1043 914 1365 793	$1040 \\ 1161 \\ 1263 \\ 1357 \\ 1131 \\ 1131 \\$	480 509 589 608	21.68 21.74 22.01 22.01 22.85	4.73 4.43 3.85 3.87 3.87 3.80	13.91 12.39 15.21 11.79 13.89	9.24 9.26 10.42 14.12 18.97	1.02 1.08 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.29	33.3 24.9 39.6 33.8 66.1
Samut S Krathun	iakhon 1 Baen	Apg A12g Cg	0-15 15-25 25-65	4.4 5.2 5.4	3.9 4.6 4.75	1.57     1.01     0.43	13.1 10.1 8.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	46.8 -	47.4 43.1 44.7	13.9 14.8 14.8	1249 1312 1365	1478 1486 1368	339 316 254	21.01 20.44 17.45	10.09 9.36 8.11	11.58 11.47 8.11	7.78 8.50 6.66	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.72 & 1 \\ 0.67 & 1 \\ 0.54 & 2 \end{array}$	43.6 46.8 51.3
Thon Bu Vong Kh	ıri, 1aem	Apg C1g C2g	0-23 23-55 55-	5.3 6.9 8.15	4.7 6.2 7.0	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.21 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.27 \end{array} $	9.3 14.3 6.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	42.6 - -	51.8 49.2 101.0	15.5 14.6 37.6		1374 1498 1206	495 -	29.75 -	15.91 - -	15.31 - -	5.75 -	1.05 1	27.8 - -
Vakhon Vakhon	Pathom, Chaisi	Apg BCg Clg	0-20 20-50 50-60	5.15 6.1 7.5	4.45 5.5 6.65	$1.64 \\ 1.12 \\ 0.34$	10.9 14.0 8.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	43.8 -	49.6 42.2 31.5	19.6 14.6 27.2		1374 1398 1289	306 - -	30.82 - -	- - -	19.85 - -	2.03 -	0.65 1	05.5 - -
																1	*	Base sat	uration	degree

*0	. fa	7.7 -		5.7 4.2 6.9	8.0 4.4 1.4		0.8 4.2 0.0 7.2	3.3 0.3 8.6 1.7	7.5 1.2 4.7 7.5	2.7 1.2 7.6 4.0 2.1	4.5	1 1 1 1
100	c	43 9 		21 14 81 14 15 16 73 14	.1 11 .2 11 .1 12		64 7 55 6 56 8 19 7	29 7 29 21 5 21 5 21 5 21 5 21 5 21 5 21 5 2	41 6 32 6 36 6 19 6	ອີສຸຊິຊິຊີ ເຊື້ອຊີຊີຊີຊີຊີ	30 6	
100g	К	0.2		13223			00000	0000	0000	000000	0.5	
	Na	2.55		489 4.68 4.32 3.89	9.1 9.8 9.8		3.38 4.28 5.00	1.84 1.72 1.32 2.04	0.71 0.78 1.30 1.85	0.96 0.96 0.67 0.67 0.60	0.54	
. Bases	Mg	16.13 - -	1 1 1 1	32.33 32.20 26.82 29.05	16.8 16.9 16.2		7.46 7.72 8.80 9.11	3.79 3.57 2.51 3.79	4.65 5.05 5.93 6.64	6.84 6.76 6.76 6.72 2.84 3.83	4.32	1111
Ex	Ca	6.04 - -	1 1 1 1	13.66 15.24 15.82 8.99	11.7 11.6 11.6 10.4		8.34 6.70 5.52	8.22 7.60 5.45	8.91 7.78 8.40 7.64	4.98 5.33 6.16 6.07 3.67 3.36	11.70	
رور	U.E.U. meq/100g	25.73 -	1 1 1 1	35.76 36.70 30.02 29.63	32.8 33.4 30.9		28.01 30.16 25.32 26.05	19.39 18.76 16.65 22.42	21.73 22.78 24.71 24.62	25.14 26.27 25.33 25.33 25.82 21.55 24.91	26.10	1 1 1 1
0 <i>N</i> V	ppm ppm	203 -	1 1 1 1	570 382 542 344	517 564 517	-	302 306 264 231	174 137 99 146	193 151 170 231	221 184 250 226 184 198	141	
ТКО	mg/100g	1823 1725 1471	1183 1170 833 895	1238 1189 1160 1192	1135 1180 1085		1592 1540 2069 2503	1889 1311 1423 2541	1292 1585 2314 2023	1236 1223 1242 1253 1253 1292 1687	738 863 682	894 678 619 667
Abs. coeff. P.O.	ng/100g	1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1077 997 997		1392 1404 1237 1167	1120 920 1003 1243	1150 1214 1114 1159		1 1 1	1 1 1 1
0 G V	ppm	28.5 25.2 28.8	14.2 16.1 11.3 27.9	15.6 15.3 16.5 34.0	10.2		11.7 5.2 6.4 2.7	17.1 15.2 6.4 4.3	13.6 9.5 27.6 18.2	13.5 15.7 26.6 23.4 11.9 14.8	18.0 29.9 20.7	26.2 14.9 29.0 9.9
ТРО	mg/100g	107.9 115.7 104.0	49.0 51.0 72.6 76.4	60.3 50.9 44.7 81.9	53.1 52.9 49.8		45.6 30.7 26.8 41.5	44.9 35.5 25.2 52.0	36.7 35.7 34.4 44.7	45.6 46.5 45.8 46.3 46.3 36.7	62.2 42.1 34.2	108.2 52.6 38.9 48.2
N : N	hpm M-VA	22.8 -	53.1 - -	81.0 - -	56.9 - -		19.8 - -	12.3	13.0	19.9 - - -	18.1	105.5
T N	%- %	0.09 0.03 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	0.17 0.09 0.03 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.18\\ 0.11\\ 0.05\\ 0.04\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13\\ 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.04\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.04\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 0.15\\ 0.14\\ 0.14\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	0.16 0.11 0.07 0.05
ŴĴ	C N	12.7 13.8 10.0	12.8 12.9 12.0 10.3	9.7 12.0 6.7 7.3	10.3     11.2     10.4		13.1 11.1 10.4 14.3	11.2 12.5 9.5 9.8	11.5 12.3 10.8 10.0	11.2 10.5 11.1 10.0 9.4	11.2	12.8 11.8 13.0 10.6
U L	۲ <u>،</u>	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.14 \\       1.24 \\       0.30     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.79 \\ 1.42 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.41 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.65\\ 1.08\\ 0.20\\ 0.22\end{array}$	$1.44 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.04$		2.35 1.22 0.52 0.57	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.46 \\ 1.01 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.39 \end{array} $	$\frac{1.50}{0.43}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.90 \\ 1.58 \\ 1.56 \\ 1.40 \\ 0.85 \\ 0.47 \end{array}$	1.65 1.57 1.01	2.04 1.30 0.53 0.53
UCD)	(174)	4.45 4.20 3.95	4.3 4.6 5.95	3.7 5.55 5.8 5.85	4.3 4.2 4.3		3.4 3.3 3.25 3.1	3.5 3.35 3.2 3.05	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5	4.05 3.85 3.80	3.8 3.25 3.15 3.15
Hd or ny	(O <sup>2</sup> II)	5.35 5.1 4.55	5.15 5.25 5.95 6.3	4.9 6.35 6.55 6.7	5.3 5.2 5.4		4.0 3.85 3.8 3.75	4.2 4.05 3.65 3.55	4.2 3.9 3.55 3.5	4.4 4.5 4.35 3.9 3.9	4.8 4.4 4.35	4.6 4.7 4.15 4.0
Danth	(cm)	0-14 14-30 30-	0-12 12-30 30-52 52-82	0-15 15-40 40-55 55-75	0-15 15-32 32-50		0-15 15-30 30-45 45-85	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30/50\\ 30/50-80\\ 80-100 \end{array}$	0-17 17-35 35-65 65-100	0-17 17-40 40-58 58-75 75-90 90-	0-21 21-35 35-52	0-14 14-32 32-55 55-80
Horizon	110112011	Apg BCg C1g	Apg A12g C1g C2g	Apg A12g ACg Cg	Apg A12g ACg	ils	Apg A12g BCg Cg	Apg A12g BCg Cg	Apg A12g C1g C2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g BCg Cg	Apg A12g C1g	Apg A12g C1g C2g
Location	FOCATION	Phetchaburi, Muang	Samut Prakan, Bang Phli	Nakhon Pathom, Sam Phran	Phra Nakhon, Bang Khen	sh Water Alluvial Soi	Pathum Thani, Thanyaburi Rangsit Rice Ex. St.	Pathum Thani, Klong Luang, Rice Ex. St.	Pathum Thani, Klong Luang, Rice Ex. St.	Nakhon Nayok Ongkharak	Ayutthaya, Wang Noi	Ayutthaya, Muang
SN SN		69.	136.	159.	183.	Brackis	21.	22.	55.	74.	80.	128.

90 J. J. S.	+ (%)	71.8 74.1 77.5 61.3			37.8 61.6 85.9	05.4 21.9 61.2 37.3	83.6 82.9 84.2 80.6	50.4 42.7 34.1 43.4	72.2 -	73.1	19.1	96.1 - -
50	К	0.98 1.06 0.90 0.76			0.22 0.12 0.13	0.15 1 0.16 1 0.16 1 0.13 3	0.09 0.08 0.05 0.02	0.22 0.08 0.01 0.01	0.52 -		0.08 1	0.28 - -
100§	Na	$1.43 \\ 1.99 \\ 1.58 \\ 1.34 \\ $			1.95 1.37 4.35	0.78 0.75 0.78 0.88	0.35 0.39 0.55 0.82	0.11 0.14 0.13 0.29	0.88	0.16 - -	0.71 - -	1.22
Bases n	Mg	11.48 11.17 8.35 6.18			$     \begin{array}{r}       1.72 \\       3.27 \\       3.85 \\     \end{array} $	2.71 2.64 2.23 1.86	2.99 3.60 3.32 3.64	2.25 1.84 1.58 1.64	4.26 -	1.71	2.04	2.64
Ex.	Са	12.60 13.05 16.87 11.87	1 1 1 1		4.19 7.97 10.37	22.73 26.94 35.18 59.67	4.90 4.93 4.91 3.73	3.85 4.35 2.57 1.76	4.91 -	1.93 -	1.21 -	5.57 -
	L.E.C. meq/100g	36.86 35.45 35.72 35.09			21.38 20.67 21.76	25.11 25.02 23.80 18.54	9.97 10.86 10.49 10.19	12.75 14.95 12.59 8.53	14.64 - -	5.32 -	3.38 	10.10
	ppm	462 499 424 350			104 57 61	118 75 75 61	42 38 24 9	104 38 5 5	245 -	42	38	132
0 A F	ng/100g	612 541 636 622	840 507 489 634		356 324 304	1168 1110 1096 1220	1080 1180 1128 926	657 814 786 604	1703 2556 2473	312 438 712	228 424 -	
Abs. coeff.	P <sub>2</sub> U, ng/100g	1176 1182 1184 1056			1274 1198 1231	1088 1119 1155 1290	715 788 753 775	738 717 738 642				1.1.1
6	ppm r	38.8 36.6 16.7 11.3	12.0 18.7 15.9 8.9		5.5 3.4 2.5	21.9 22.9 20.1 19.7	6.2 8.0 3.5 3.5	18.0 9.2 5.5	22.7 12.5 14.6	15.9 24.3 21.4	14.6 21.4 -	43.9 40.5 35.1
c c t	ng/100g	94.1 93.7 67.1 55.6	72.2 91.3 46.6 39.0		19.2 21.3 19.0	129.7 107.9 113.6 100.8	55.0 55.2 55.7 44.9	66.9 39.0 17.9	171.2 108.0 127.4	26.7 27.3 21.4	19.0 14.1 -	100.6 103.8 148.5
	Av-N ppm	52.3 - -	56.5 - -		24.3 -	32.8	20.7 - -	24.3 - -	32.0 - -		43.9 -	40.5
i t	Z-∦%	0.11 0.09 0.08 0.07	0.17 0.20 0.13 0.03		$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\end{array}$	0.11 0.08 0.07 0.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.05\\ 0.05\\ 0.04 \end{array}$	0.13 0.05 0.05		$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$
ie o	CN	13.0 13.9 12.9	13.5 13.9 13.9 10.3 13.3		13.8 10.8 9.7	13.7 11.6 11.0 10.3	$10.6 \\ 9.4 \\ 7.2 \\ 8.2 \\ 8.2$	11.9 12.3 8.6 8.5	11.1 11.4 10.0	1 1 1	10.4 11.3 11.2	9.0 9.3 11.4
C E	۲ <u>۔</u> %	$1.43 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.03 \\ $	2.29 2.77 1.34 0.40		$     \begin{array}{c}       1.24 \\       0.65 \\       0.58     \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.51\\ 0.93\\ 0.77\\ 0.62 \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.38 \\       0.94 \\       0.65 \\       0.74 \\       0.74     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.43 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$1.44 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.50 \\ $	1 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.45 \\       1.13 \\       0.67     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.08 \\       1.02 \\       0.59     \end{array} $
łow	(PU1)	4.35 4.35 3.75 3.3	3.4 3.5 3.25 3.35		3.6 3.7 3.8	6.0 7.0 7.4 7.6	4.65 5.8 5.3 4.85	4.05 4.05 4.05 4.05	4.0 5.5 4.55	6.9 7.35 -	4.25 4.45 4.65	4.5 5.35 6.25
Iq of the	(O <sup>2</sup> H)	4.85 5.15 4.55 4.05	4.45 4.5 3.9 3.9		5.5 5.9 6.1	6.6 7.9 8.15 8.15	5.3 7.25 7.15 6.9	4.95 5.2 5.5 5.5	5.15 6.35 5.8	8.15 9.0 -	5.2 6.15 6.4	5.15 6.8 7.9
	(cm)	0-10 10-25 25-57 57-90	0-16 16-35 35-63 63-		0-15 15-25 25-50	0-10/15 10/15-30 30-50 50-65	0-12 12-23 23-36 36-60	0-14 14-25 25-50 50-70	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30\\ 30-\end{array}$	0-11 11-25 25-	0-20 20-45 45-	0-15 15-55 55-
11	ногізоп	Apg ABg B1g B2g	Apg A12g ACg Cg		Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g C1g C2g	Apg B1g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B21g B22g	Apg B21g B22g	Apg Bg BCg	Apg Bg IICg	Apg Bg Cg
Tonnion	LOCATION	Ayutthaya, Muang, Hantra Ex. St.	Ayutthaya, Wang Noi	Water Alluvial Soils	Nakhon Sawan, Phayuhakiri	Ratchaburi, Muang	Uttaradit, Muang	Phitsanulok Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Suphan Buri, U Thong	Suphan Buri, Muang	Ratchaburi, Pak Tho	Phetchaburi, Tha Yang
N	.0N	129.	130.	Fresh V	4	10.	36.	37.	61.	63.	68.	70.

*(	5.2 5.2 9.9 1.3	2.5	6.1	7.5		9.6 5.8 5.1	9.2 7.6 5.7 5.2	7.2 5.0 3.1	7.8 9.7 4.1	8.1.8		112	1.3 3.1 7.4	2.1 7.0 3.4	an ce
5	2 10 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	99	2 9	1 - 8	282998 282998 2	6 4 6 3	0.40 0.60	666 22 22	8 13.		409 600 70	1 7 4 9 9	3 72 8 67 7 55	lon uct
00g	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5	0.7 0.6 0.6	0.7 0.7 0.7	0.3	0.3		0.2 0.1 0.1	0.2	0.7 0.6 0.6	aturau
meq/1	0.62 1.03 1.36 2.34	0.93 1.37 1.08 1.08	1.44	0.21	1.14	1.22 1.23 3.27 2.11 1.19	$\begin{array}{c} 0.89\\ 1.23\\ 2.27\\ 1.99\end{array}$	1.33 1.06 2.76	2.17 2.08 1.74	2.69 1.88		1.97 1.12 1.25	$1.26 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.25 \\ $	1.45 1.46 1.17 1.17 Race of	base se
. Bases	5.33 5.33 8.20 8.30 9.77	9.15 9.67 8.35 9.46	8.16 - -	3.10	3.79	6.67 6.94 7.79 5.51 2.98	4.69 6.45 6.53 6.67	6.16 6.30 6.48	5.89 5.94 5.25	9.43 11.94		$1.07 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.31$	3.82 3.49 3.58	2.74 2.02 2.14	-
EX	28.42 31.92 32.61 37.19	31.53 32.42 27.29 25.66	13.31 - -	9.06 -	. 14.26 -	11.44 9.59 11.16 11.36 3.06	12.11 16.04 14.62 15.95	5.69 5.61 5.52	5.19 5.59 7.65	5.50 7.19		$1.72 \\ 2.67 \\ 3.24$	12.38 18.65 20.16	7.09 4.61 2.97	
C.E.C.	32.96 37.44 38.76 43.40	38.76 40.31 35.91 36.38	30.47 - -	12.82 -	20.87	22.37 19.40 23.81 23.07 7.69	31.11 25.03 24.92 23.85	20.81 20.77 22.70	20.10 20.28 23.37	17.61 15.98		$9.77 \\ 9.10 \\ 14.24$	24.79 25.35 25.91	16.65 13.09 11.70	
Av-K2O	151 146 146 151	155 132 132 132	123	57 -	123	339 297 283 311 269	344 330 316 325	372 349 330	174 245 165	146 132		113 47 75	193 113 113	344 321 316	
T-K <sub>2</sub> O	946 946 1105 1344 1167	1101 1130 1097 1155	790 791 450 438	903 1141 1197	1268 1120 1512	828 378 781 679 278	1034 1027 626 563	1348 1261 960	509 955 675	377 541		186 190 300	840 927 521	1150 1235 839	
Abs. coeff. P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	1111 1245 1565 1569 1599	1359 1422 1244 1181		111	1 1 1		808 874 742 739	749 756 690	111	11		754 673 924	1220 1379 1400	1193 877 689	
Av-P <u>;</u> O,	65.3 69.9 67.0 51.0	46.1 42.6 42.1 39.6	39.4 29.9 32.2 18.5	28.5 45.5 38.4	$   \begin{array}{c}     17.2 \\     30.0 \\     43.7   \end{array} $	13.2 14.9 7.4 5.5 4.5	31.6 7.1 4.6 9.4	19.2 16.9 12.9	12.7 7.6 9.2	8.9 8.9		9.3 4.6 2.8	3.6 4.7 3.9	35.7 29.7 8.8	
T-P <sub>3</sub> O,	134.0 134.0 140.7 107.9 105.6	208.3 105.9 104.5 45.1	86.3 91.3 54.8 35.6	112.0 96.0 121.1	177.4 120.0 117.8	84.3 71.8 44.3 50.7 54.3	126.2 84.3 76.7 82.6	152.9 152.1 123.8	105.1 88.3 70.8	142.4 150.4		8.2 4.6 4.6	25.2 18.8 18.3	118.2 84.1 61.6	
Av-N	11104 	55.4 - -	53.8	16.7	17.5 -	42.9	29.4 - -	46.6	43.6	36.7		25.5 - -	43.8 -	41.7	
N-T	0.15 0.11 0.07 0.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	0.08 0.06 0.07	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	0.13 0.09 0.07 0.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	0.15 0.09 0.07	$0.13 \\ 0.05$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	0.11 0.06 0.05	0.13 0.10 0.08	
C_N	11.4 11.7 13.1 13.3	12.1 13.1 14.2 13.8	12.5 9.7 9.3 8.5	10.8 12.2 11.7	12.7 12.7 10.1	9.9 14.6 13.5 11.9 28.0	12.8 12.7 15.0 13.5	9.3 9.0 11.1	9.5 9.4 9.7	$9.7 \\10.4$		12.8 14.5 12.7	12.7 12.2 13.0	13.5 11.6 10.6	
T.C	1.71 1.29 0.92 0.80	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.81 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.85 \\ 0.55 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.38 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.37 \\ 0.34 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \\ 0.73 \\ 0.82 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.27 \\       0.89 \\       0.71 \\       0.71     \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.59\\ 0.73\\ 1.08\\ 0.83\\ 0.56\\ \end{array} $	1.67 1.14 1.05 0.81	1.58     1.26     0.89	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.43 \\       0.85 \\       0.68     \end{array} $	$1.26 \\ 0.52$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.89\\ 0.58\\ 0.38\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.40 \\       0.73 \\       0.65     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.75 \\       1.16 \\       0.85     \end{array} $	
(KC1)	5.4 5.7 6.5	5.7 6.1 5.9 5.5	3.9 4.45 4.7 4.6	4.6 5.1 4.8	4.85 5.25 4.8	4.15 4.8 4.75 4.95 5.05	3.9 3.45 4.45 4.45 4.6	4.75 4.95 5.25	4.6 4.75 5.0	4.15 4.8		4.1 4.1 3.8	$3.75 \\ 3.9 \\ 5.15$	6.5 6.0 5.2	
ht (O,H)	6.65 7.0 7.2 7.55	7.5 8.2 7.9 7.6	5.4 6.2 6.6 6.7	5.7 6.5 6.5	5.8 6.25 5.75	4.9 5.55 5.75 5.95 6.95	4.9 5.25 5.35 5.55	5.45 5.55 5.95	5.85 5.9 6.15	5.4 6.0		5.2 5.7 5.7	5.25 6.3 6.4	7.0 6.5 5.75	
Depth	0-12 0-12 12-37 37-57 57-	0-17 17-38 38-52 52-	$\begin{array}{c} 0-17\\ 17-36\\ 36-60\\ 60-\end{array}$	0-19 19-38 38-64	0-18 18-33 33-	0-10 10-37 37-47 47-62 62-90	0-13 13-33 33-68 68-90	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-24\\ 24-60\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-25\\ 25-50\end{array}$	0-15/19		0-12 12-25 25-55	0-15 15-30 30-60	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30\\ 30-50 \end{array}$	
Horizon	Apg B21g B22g BCg	Apg B21g B22g B23g	Apg B1g B2g BCg	Apg A21g B22g	Apg Bg Cg	Apg Blg IIB21g IIB22g IIICg	Apg B1g B2g B3g	Apg A12g Cg	Apg A12g Cg	Apg Cg		Apg B1g B2g	Apg B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	
Location	Phetchabun, Muang	Phetchabun, Lom Sak	Nakhon Sawan, Phayuha Khiri	Sing Buri, In Buri, Ponang Dum	Ayutthaya, Maha Rat	Ang Thong. Muang	Sing Buri, Muang	Sukhothai, Si Samrong	Sukhothai, Si Satchanalai	Uttaradit, Laplae	umic Gley Soils	Saraburi, Muang	Chai Nat, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Ratchaburi, Muang, Rixe Ex. St.	
No.	72.	73.	76.	78.	79.	126.	127.	140.	141.	142.	Low Hi	ŝ	3.	7.	

	1							1					1												1						1			1	
*('2)	(av.)	74.4	1	ŀ	52.4	51.1	0.26 07.0	17.6	18.5	10.3 13.9	40.7	29.8 24.3	43.8	47.8	39.5	ł	I	1	80.1	88.0	66.4 57.4	70.6	68.7	59.9 87.5	65.7	72.6	50.1	84.4	88.9	1.28	1.16	94.4 01.5	91.8	97.5	
g	К	0.17	I	T	0.67	0.55	0.57	0.64	0.62	0.63 0.68	0.69	0.60 0.59	0.54	0.26	0.34	T	1	1	0.39	0.48	0.43	0.75	1.00	0.67 0.80	0.56	0.73	0.67	0.67	0.56	19.0	+0.0	0.60	0.21	0.21	
meq/10	Na	1.22	ı	I	0.89	0.80	0.00 1.02	0.77	0.74	$0.70 \\ 0.64$	1.31	$1.38 \\ 1.26$	0.92	0.44	0.38	ł	ł	ı	1.72	1.61	0.76	0.92	2.38	0.95 2.01	2.60	2.88	1.43	1.79	1.98	1.94 0.0	0.92	1.69	1.79	3.62	
Bases 1	Mg	0.93	I	ľ	1.23	0.94	0.09 1.02	0.52	0.53	$0.36 \\ 0.35$	1.82	$1.50 \\ 0.95$	1.52	0.76	1.29	T	ı	1	1.01	2.34	2.75	4.76	5.09	6.53 16.58	0.77	1.15	1.23	3.91	2.88	4.76	02.0	3.07	4.12	5.45	
Ex.	Ca	2.21	I	ł	2.72	4.27	4.14 5.77	1.55	1.94	$1.61 \\ 1.06$	2.94	$2.29 \\ 1.21$	1.58	1.14	1.29	ı	1	1	1.52	2.91	2.55	4.15	14.38	12.32 9.49	2.38	3.61	4.20	4.16	4.65	2/.C	70.0	4.12 4 34	6.11	9.35	
U H J	meq/100g	6.09 -	ı	ł	10.52	12.83	19.37 8.64	19.82	20.67	31.92 19.92	16.60	19.38 16.53	10.42	6.44	8.39	T	ı	T	5.80	9.47	9.77	14.58	33.26	34.15 32.99	9.61	9.04	13.72	12.47	11.33	13.76	13.12	10.04 11.66	13.32	19.21	
Aw.K.O	mdd	80	I	I	316	259	302 269	302	292	297 320	325	283 278	255	123	160	I	I	ł	184	226	203	353	471	316 377	264	344	316	316	264	287	200	283 00	66	66	
Т.К.О	mg/100g	240 554	727	168	328	644	476	661	1330	1159 669	635	829 636	273	134	543	451	531	249	546	590	509 661	520	961	1050 1080	069	542	759	327	568	525 792	CC /	298 201	238	295	
Abs. coeff.	ng/100g	1 1	ı	I	516	517	450 405		I	1 1	619	679 440	1	I	ł	ı	I	1	451	413	466	414		1 1	1	ı	1	334	432	433	760	1		•	
P.O.	bpm 1	19.3 23.2	23.6	33.0	14.1	20.2	20.8 10.0	22.1	15.1	9.6 8.4	6.8	12.0 11.8	5.2	6.8	6.1	6.8	5.7	16.5	21.2	13.3	11.4	12.9	22.9	20.1 12.1	13.6	15.3	13.3	21.1	5.7	4.7	0.0	13.2 0.5	6.5 6.5	5.8	
TPO	mg/100g	26.6 341	33.6	20.9	35.6	37.8	38.2 24.8	50.2	43.0	39.4 38.0	50.4	45.5 32.9	22.8	19.4	24.8	51.0	50.8	42.4	58.1	50.2	57.1	49.7	123.2	144.3 88.3	45.3	42.3	71.2	31.6	25.0	17.7	0.01	27.2	18.1	16.7	
N. N	mdd	20.9	ı	I	35.7	t	1 1	31.5	ı	1-1	15.5	1 1	13.5	ı	ı.	46.0	ı	1	32.2	I.	I		57.8	1 1	18.4	I	ı	14.0	ı	ı	1	12.5	1	•	
TN	36	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.19	0.10	0.08 0.06	0.12	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.16	0.13 0.06	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	70.0	0.04	0.03	0.02	
N	5	11.7	8.0	8.0	12.5	10.2	11.4	12.6	12.6	$10.4 \\ 10.2$	13.6	14.1 11.3	19.3	11.0	12.0	8.8	6.5	1.7	10.4	9.6	11.6	17.0	14.2	13.2 12.8	13.8	10.1	13.8	14.3	13.0	11.0	6.2	10.8	9.0	9.5	
Ţ	2	0.82	0.32	0.32	1.75	1.22	0.49	2.40	1.26	0.83 0.61	1.63	0.85 0.45	0.77	0.22	0.24	1.06	0.65	0.57	1.14	0.96	0.81	0.53	2.28	$1.71 \\ 0.77$	0.97	0.81	0.69	0.43	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.43	0.27	0.19	
(KCI)		4.5 4.8	4.2	4.1	3.5	3.45	3.25 3.25	3.3	3.45	3.2 3.15	3.5	3.45 3.7	4.1	3.85	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.95	5,0 5,0	3.7	4.25	4.25 4.25	3.9	4.05	4.35	4.0	5.1	5.2 6 7 E	67.0	3.6 4 7	5.55	6.0	
Hd	10211	6.0 6.5	6.2	6.1	4.55	4.65	4.00 5.05	4.55	4.5	4.15 4.5	5.1	4.95 5.6	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.05	5.05	4.8	5.45	5.65	5.6 6	5.65	5.3	5.15	5.4	5.35	5.4	5.45	9.9 2 0	0.7	0.40	5.65 6.55	7.2	8.0	
Denth	(cm)	0-15 15-30	30-46	46-	0-15	15-32	32-33 55-75	0-13	13-20	20-60 60-	0-20	20-32 32-65	0-15	15 - 30	30-65	0-14	14-29	89-67	0-13	13-22	22-36	47-65	0-18	18-38 38-70	0-10	10-19	19-55	0-14	14-27	27-40	40-00	0-20 20-32	32-40	40-70	
Horizon	110211011	$Apg_{R21\sigma}$	B22g	BCg	Apg	A12g	B1g B2g	Apg	A12g	B21g B22g	Apg	ACg Cg	Apg	Al2g	cg	Apg	ACg	Сg	Apg	A12g	Blg D9z	B3g	Apg	A12g Bg	Ape	A12g	Bg	Apg	Blg	B2g	cg.	Apg B1g	B2g	Сg	
Location	FOCATION	Nakhon Sawan, Rannhot Phisai			Saraburi,	Nong Khae		Nakhon Nayok,	Muang		Prachin Buri,	Prachantakhum	Prachin Buri.	Watthana Nakhon		Chachoengsao,	Phanon Sarakham		Kamphaeng Phet,	Khranu Woralak-	Saburi		Kamphaeng Phet,	Khlong Khlung	Kamphaeng Phet.	Phran Kratai		Lop Buri,	Khok Samrong,	KICE EX. St.		Lop Buri, Khok Samrong	Rice Ex. St.		
N		77.			131.			132.			133.		134.			135.			137.				138.		139.			161.				162.			

	*(")	79.5 22.3 14.3 16.5		87.7 8.9	87.1 10.0	7.7 14.2	C'11	80.7 3.4 7.2	6.18	29.7 18.7 10.3	33.7 18.6 19.9	2.3 9.5 3.5	egree
	ت ب	08 04 02 02 02 02		34 E 18 8	15 8	17 10 13 12 14 13		36 15 25 11 20 11	35 18	52 15 51 11 50 16	41 16 25 16 27 10	69 11 53 10 39 11	ation d
1000	8001 X	0000		0.0	0.0	000		000	0.0	000	0000	0.00	satur
man	Na	0.50		1.10	1.36	1.70	310	2.41 2.81 3.65	5.15	0.85 1.55 1.62	1.35	1.84 2.28 1.95	*Base
Racac	Mg	$\begin{array}{c} 0.28\\ 0.34\\ 0.10\\ 1.25\end{array}$		2.87 2.29	$1.86 \\ 1.68$	2.51 3.63 8.77	1.0	5.05 3.68 3.27	3.26	5.54 4.93 4.79	5.64 3.52 2.41	$13.10 \\ 13.20 \\ 12.42$	
Ľ.	Ca	0.50 1.05 0.79 1.06		12.49 14.12	14.21 13.10	16.11 19.38	64.61	61.59 59.88 59.85	88.32	69.54 60.00 77.87	49.24 25.20 29.30	23.71 24.31 26.71	
	C.E.C. meq/100g	1.71 2.92 2.43 2.88		19.16 20.06	20.18 18.17	19.02 21.87 36.04	£0.02	53.12 58.73 57.16	53.36	58.96 56.49 52.90	34.59 27.90 31.51	35.04 36.85 36.55	
	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm	38 19 9		160 85	71 66	80 80 80	B	170 118 94	165	245 240 236	193 118 127	325 250 184	
	T-K <sub>2</sub> O mg/100g	149 191 255 211		940 925	572 1328	698 2387 3610	6107	216 228 218	196	265 143 118	557 326 292	167 142 124	
Abs.	P2O, mg/100g	423 425 412 412		1044 1293	1202 1126	929 1164	0671	2498 2251 2325	2352	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	
	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O, ppm	$13.8 \\ 25.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.3$		7.0 9.4	6.8 10.5	12.1 8.3	1.21	47.6 58.0 27.5	33.5	27.4 37.8 20.1	$21.1 \\ 15.7 \\ 8.2 \\ 8.2$	22.4 16.5 8.3	
	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	6.9 17.9 6.9 2.3		25.4 28.2	19.2 15.1	63.7 60.7	44.9	79.7 75.2 44.9	36.2	53.8 33.9 17.9	55.8 25.9 19.9	63.0 49.6 36.1	
	Av-N ppm	12.0 - -		41.1	1 1	36.9	'	19.2 -	ī	14.9 -	37.2 -	38.8	
	N-T ⊗	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$		0.10 0.07	$0.04 \\ 0.03$	0.10	co.n	0.10 0.08 0.07	0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	0.09 0.05 0.03	
	C/N	11.2 11.3 8.7 10.0		11.6 13.4	12.5 7.7	15.1 17.0	13.0	17.0 16.0 15.3	20.3	16.3 17.2 18.7	19.0 16.3 17.0	16.0 16.4 13.6	
	T-C	$\begin{array}{c} 0.56 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$		1.16 0.94	$0.50 \\ 0.23$	1.02	60'0	$   \frac{1.70}{1.38}   $ $   1.07 $	0.61	$   \frac{1.79}{0.69}   0.56 $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.52 \\ 0.98 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.44 \\ 0.82 \\ 0.41 \end{array} $	
	(KC1)	4.05 4.15 3.75 3.75 3.75		4.2 4.45	3.8 3.95	5.65 5.9 6.5	cc.0	6.15 6.3 6.2	6.9	6.1 6.0 6.4	5.85 5.95 6.2	4.5 4.6 4.45	
	(0 <sup>7</sup> H)	4.25 4.75 4.25 4.25		5.3 5.65	5.15 5.35	6.0 6.65 7 3	2	7.3 7.2 7.15	7.25	7.4 8.0 8.15	6.30 6.85 7.05	5.60 5.55 5.25	
	Depth (cm)	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-25\\ 25-40\\ 40-\end{array}$		0-15 15-25	25-40 40-60	0-15 15-45 45 65	C0-C+	0-15 15-30 30-60	-09	0-18 18-42 42-	0-16 16-30 30-60	0-12 12-40 40-70	
	Horizon	Apg Alg B1g B2g		Apg A12g	Bg BIICg	Apg B1g D32	870	Ap A12 A13	С	Ap C1 C2	Apg A12g Cg	Apg A12g Cg	
	Location	Rayon, Klaeng	alcic Brown Soils	Suphan Buri, Muang,	Rice Ex. St.	Nakhon Pathom Muang	sols	Lop Buri, Muang		Lop Buri, Muang	Lop Buri, Muang	Lop Buri, Khok Samrong Rice Ex. Subst.	
	No.	18.	Non-Ca	9.		i.	Grumu	23.		71.	160.	163.	

Gray Podzolic Soils

				-to								Abs.				Рv	Bases r	nea/100	۵	
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(0 <sup>-</sup> H)	(KC1)	T-C ⊮	C/N	T.N	Av-N ppm n	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, / ng/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O, ppm	P2O, mg/100g 1	T-K <sub>2</sub> O ng/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O	C.E.C. meq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	° ×	*(%)
42.	Nakhon Ratchasima, Phimai, Rice Ex. St.	Apg Blg B2g	0-15 15-40 40-75	4.7 5.1 4.9	3.9 4.2 3.9	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.044 \\       0.732 \\       0.493 \\     \end{array} $	9.8 8.5 8.5	0.107 0.079 0.058	40.6 -	29.1 25.2 24.7	$12.2 \\ 7.7 \\ 6.1$	892 831 919	764 300 424	165 90 80	21.85 17.27 20.45	13.02 11.30 12.75	2.99 3.25 2.92	1.50 1.01 1.20	0.35 0.19 0.17	81.7 91.2 83.3
48.	Nong Khai, Si Chiang Mai	Apg A12g Cg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-40\\ 30-60\end{array}$	8.05 7.9 8.05	7.6 7.25 6.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.882 \\ 0.698 \\ 0.440 \end{array}$	8.6 10.0 8.6	0.093 0.070 0.051	50.4	101.3 94.6 52.0	7.6 11.2 12.3	539 540 537	1030 822 995	80 57 47	9.58 11.89 8.71	55.85 23.65 8.15	$6.46 \\ 3.54 \\ 1.92$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.24 \end{array}$	0.17 0.12 0.10	553.9 231.5 119.5
49.	Sakon Nakhon, Rice Ex. St.	ApC Apg A12g C1g IIC2g	0-5 5-20 20-35 35-45 43-	4.5 4.75 5.5 5.65 5.45	3.85 3.8 4.1 4.4 4.3 4.35	0.319 0.460 0.092 0.104 0.116	8.0 9.2 7.8 8.2 7.7	0.040 0.050 0.012 0.012 0.015	12.1	19.9 25.0 11.5 10.8 14.9	13.4 13.4 4.0 5.3	169 209 116 116 303	71 718 79 51 16	57 24 5 24 24	4.29 4.87 4.04 3.18 6.41	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.92 \\ 1.99 \\ 2.02 \\ 1.78 \\ 4.19 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 0.32\\ 0.43\\ 0.12\\ 0.10\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.35 \end{array}$	0.12 0.05 0.02 0.05 0.05	66.9 53.4 66.3 66.7 73.2
95.	Nakhon Ratchasima. Nong Sung	Apg C1g C2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-20\\ 20-40\\ 40-75\end{array}$	5.45 6.6 7.0	4.55 6.3 6.4	$0.452 \\ 0.252 \\ 0.244$	10.3 10.5 13.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.044 \\ 0.024 \\ 0.018 \end{array}$	8.4	7.2 9.5 6.1	1 1 1	279 422 569	87 181 158	71 28 127	5.57 11.16 16.55	2.79 7.29 10.98	1.58 3.62 5.94	1.61 1.91 3.24	0.15 0.06 0.27	09.9 15.5 23.5
98.	Khon Kaen, Nam Phong	Apg A12g C1g C2g	0-15 15-30 30-43 43-75	5.75 6.2 5.05 4.9	4.1 3.95 4.0 3.85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.244 \\ 0.209 \\ 0.278 \\ 0.109 \end{array}$	$9.4 \\ 11.6 \\ 11.0 \\ 10.9 \\ 10.9$	0.026 0.018 0.025 0.010	16.3 - -	10.6 10.9 12.4 10.7	4.4		436 744 55 358	1 1 1 1	1111	1111	1 1 1 1		1111	
102.	Nong Khai, Muang	Apg A12g Cg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-23\\ 23-65\end{array}$	4.3 4.8 5.15	4.1 4.25 4.15	$\begin{array}{c} 0.255 \\ 0.264 \\ 0.91 \end{array}$	9.8 8.8 10.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026 \\ 0.030 \\ 0.019 \end{array}$	14.5 -	19.7 9.6 9.5	7.3	1 1 1	328 190 568	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	8 8 1	1 1 1	111	L I J
Low H 43.	lumic Gley Soils Khon Kaen Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg BCg Clg	0-15 15-35 35-60	5.3 6.3 7.35	4.75 5.6 6.25	0.316 0.221 0.216	12.2 12.3 14.4	0.026 0.018 0.015	6.0 - -	3.4 4.4 3.7	3.9 3.8 2.4	115 211 212	26 49 49	24 29 24	3.54 5.57 8.22	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.53 \\     3.11 \\     5.27   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \\ 0.69 \\ 0.97 \end{array}$	0.88 1.78 2.80	0.05 0.06 0.05	87.6 01.3 10.6
45.	Khon Kaen, Chum Phae, Rice Ex. St.	C2g Apg Al2g Bg Cg	$\begin{array}{r} 60-75 \\ 0-10 \\ 10-25 \\ 25-40 \\ 40-75 \end{array}$	7.95 5.85 6.25 6.15 6.55	6.45 5.5 4.95 4.05 4.2	0.117 0.604 0.508 0.500 0.450	9.8 9.2 9.4 9.0	0.012 0.066 0.053 0.053 0.050	30.4	0.5 32.3 23.6 25.6 18.6	1.9 13.5 5.9 2.4 14.2	188 477 569 660 733	48 467 715 817 145	29 99 132 104	8.79 10.31 16.34 21.98 20.62	$     5.40 \\     5.94 \\     9.04 \\     11.19 \\     11.68 $	1.06 2.19 3.48 3.52	2.80 0.38 1.00 2.29	0.06 0.21 0.28 0.22	.06.0 84.4 79.3 77.0 85.9
47.	Udon Thani, Muang	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-15 15-30 30-45 45-75	4.65 6.0 6.25 6.15	4.0 4.4 4.2 3.95	$\begin{array}{c} 0.355\\ 0.189\\ 0.182\\ 0.181\end{array}$	9.9 9.0 10.1 10.7	0.036 0.021 0.018 0.016	12.0	9.2 4.7 5.7 10.5	2.4 2.5 3.6	167 139 139 170	116 140 298 291	14 14 14 28	1.84 3.21 4.22 8.62	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84 \\ 1.09 \\ 2.70 \\ 4.49 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16 \\ 0.76 \\ 0.52 \\ 1.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10\\ 0.14\\ 0.37\\ 1.01\end{array}$	0.03 0.03 0.06	61.4 62.9 85.8 77.7
																	*Ba	ise satur	ation de	gree

North-eastern Region Fresh Water Alluvial

												Abs.				Ľ	c	001		
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth	.н <sub>5</sub> О)	(KC1)	Ţ.Ċ	C/N	T-N	Av-N	T-P <sub>2</sub> O,	Av-P <sub>2</sub> Os	COEII. P <sub>2</sub> O;	T-K <sub>2</sub> O	Av-K2O	C.E.C.	EX U	. Dases	nor /bau	20	*(%)
			(cm)			%		%	u mqq	ng/100g	bpm	mg/100g	ng/100g	u mqq	neq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	¥	
52.	Maha Sarakam, Muang	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-35 35-65	4.35 5.4 6.0	3.95 4.8 4.35	$\begin{array}{c} 0.380 \\ 0.167 \\ 0.153 \end{array}$	9.5 9.3 9.6	0.040 0.018 0.016	22.8 -	6.8 9.4 4.6	4.6 1.4 1.3	139 92 170	37 29 40	19 28 14	3.50 3.21 4.09	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.40 \\       1.83 \\       2.37 \\     \end{array}   $	0.61 0.20 0.61	0.80 0.20 0.38	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	81.4 71.3 82.9
53.	Surin, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg Blg B2g B3g	0-15 15-25 25-60 60-100	4.7 5.0 5.2 5.4	3.3 4.3 4.2 4.4	0.102 0.138 0.081 0.069	6.4 6.6 5.4 4.9	0.016 0.021 0.015 0.014	5.1	2.3 2.3 2.3 1.6	1.1 0.8 2.5 2.5		37 85 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	42 19 14 24	2.20 4.06 6.56 7.18	0.92 2.92 3.98 4.05	0.29 0.38 1.36 1.17	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.15\\ 0.44\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.05\\ 0.05 \end{array}$	63.2 83.7 84.1 78.4
96.	Nakhon Ratchasima, Bua Yai	, Apg A12g B21g B22g	0-18 18-25 25-35 35-75	5.5 6.3 5.85 5.75	4.1 5.35 4.3 4.05	0.644 0.418 0.331 0.220	11.1 10.7 13.2 8.5	0.058 0.039 0.025 0.026	15.5 - -	9.5 9.8 9.8 9.7	2.3	479 422 445	180 188 200 168	104 80 90 94	7.92 10.25 10.62 11.39	4.44 5.38 6.13 6.02	2.01 2.39 2.89 2.91	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.20 \\       1.28 \\       1.49 \\       1.31   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.17\\ 0.19\\ 0.20\end{array}$	99.4 90.0 91.5
97.	Khon Kaen, Phon	Apg A12g Bg IICg	0-13 13-19 19-27 27-65	- 7.0 6.6	- 4.05 4.8 4.0	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 0.307 \\ 0.174 \\ 0.122 \end{array}$	- 11.4 11.6 11.1	- 0.027 0.015 0.011	1 1 1 1	6.3 3.2 4.6	2.2		213 213 30 60	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	
99.	Udon Thani, Kumphawapi	Apg Blg B2g	0-10 10-21 21-80	4.95 5.25 4.95	4.9 4.55 4.3	0.325 0.261 0.191	14.8 14.5 9.6	0.022 0.018 0.020	7.1	7.2 7.2 5.3	3.2	1 1 1	83 113 42	47 38 38	2.81 3.31 3.83	$1.32 \\ 1.63 \\ 1.69 \\ $	0.59 0.84 1.07	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.22 \\       1.15 \\       0.47     \end{array} $	0.10 0.08 0.08	113.9 111.8 87.0
100.	Udon Thani, Kumphawapi	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-13 13-23 23-50 50-80	4.75 5.3 5.45 4.85	4.8 4.25 4.35 3.95	0.365 0.348 0.161	10.7 10.9 8.9 -	0.034 0.032 0.018 -	9.2 - -	$^{-}_{9.7}$ 12.3 108.3	3.5	69 160 229 278	$^{-}_{74}$	9 38 47	2.10 3.03 4.94 6.57	0.75 1.02 2.70 3.09	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27 \\ 0.55 \\ 0.63 \\ 0.40 \end{array}$	0.30 0.53 0.75 0.88	0.02 0.06 0.08 0.10	64.0 71.3 84.1 67.8
101.	Nong Khai, Muang	Apg A12g B21g B22g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-11\\ 11-18\\ 18-46\\ 46-80 \end{array}$	4.55 5.9 5.65 5.15	4.05 4.4 4.35 4.15	0.673 0.487 0.299 0.278	12.1 11.1 9.6 9.6	0.055 0.044 0.031 0.029	25.0 - -	$15.0 \\ 9.9 \\ 9.6 \\ 14.4$	3.7	1 1 1 1	158 130 170 180	47 28 52	5.64 5.23 5.67 7.40	1.31 2.03 2.27 3.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.55 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.76 \\ 1.54 \\ 0.73 \\ 0.72 \end{array}$	0.10 0.06 0.10 0.11	45.4 80.7 81.3 68.2
105.	Sakon Nakhon, Phanna Nikhom	Apg A12g B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-30\\ 30-45\\ 45-80 \end{array}$	4.2 4.7 4.55 4.2	4.1 4.25 4.2 3.85	0.319 0.302 0.209 0.205	13.9 13.1 11.0 11.3	0.023 0.023 0.019 0.018	7.2 - -	6.2 7.4 8.0 6.1	3.2	1 1 1 1	105 43 54 178		1 1 1 1	1111				
106.	Sakon Nakhon, Muang	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-13 13-26 26-48 48-80	4.35 4.95 5.55 5.65	4.2 4.25 4.8 4.0	0.348 0.336 0.174 0.104	11.2 10.5 8.0	0.031 0.032 0.016 0.013	10.6	5.3 2.3 4.3	3.2	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1111	EFFI	1 1 1 1	* 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	1111
107.	Nakhon Phanom, Muang	Apg Blg B21g B22g	0-12 12-23 23-42 42-75	5.05 6.25 7.45 8.2	4.1 5.35 6.25 6.75	0.755 0.338 0.210 0.254	9.9 8.5 8.5	0.076 0.038 0.031 0.030	30.6	18.7 73.8 33.5 23.2		1 1 1 1	132 234 283 502	66 28 47 108	4.94 4.68 6.84 7.83	0.76 1.01 1.83 2.66	0.40 2.44 3.34 3.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.74 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.94 \\ 2.47 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.23 \end{array}$	41.3 97.1 105.4 115.3
108.	Nakhon Phanom, Muang	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-15 15-31 31-50 50-80	4.85 6.15 6.05 6.05	4.1 5.3 4.95 4.55	0.448 0.429 0.278 0.191	9.3 9.0 8.0	0.048 0.048 0.031 0.024	39.8	54.6 44.1 31.3 30.3	6.5	418 420 420	707 600 548	94 94 127 127	7.71 7.54 8.09 7.95	2.54 3.07 3.18 3.49	1.86 2.76 3.35 3.35	0.39 0.38 0.69 0.63	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.27 \end{array}$	64.6 85.0 92.6 97.5
																	ł*	3ase sat	uration	degree

No	Location	Horizon	Denth	Hd	(KC1)	T-C	N N	L.N	Av.N	T.P.O.	Av.P.O.	Abs. coeff. P.O.	T.K.O	Av-K,O	C E C	E	x. Bases	meq/10	36	*(%)
			(cm)	(0.711)	(1711)	5	10	%	u mqq	ng/100g	bpm	mg/100g 1	mg/100g	mdd	meq/100	g Ca	Mg	Na	К	(ov)
109.	. Nakhon Phanom, Raenu Nakhon	Apg B1g B2g Cg	0-13 13-28 28-42 42-75	4.45 4.75 5.45 5.65	4.1 4.0 4.8 4.25	0.354 0.128 0.160 0.107	8.6 7.5 10.7 10.7	0.041 0.017 0.015 0.010	31.4 - -	5.2 5.9 6.5 9.7	4:2	1 1 1 1	40 68 120 79	14 14 38 5	2.80 2.16 2.50 2.50	0.25 0.55 0.62 0.63	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 0.21\\ 0.40\\ 0.46\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66\\ 0.60\\ 0.62\\ 0.52\end{array}$	0.03 0.03 0.08 0.01	39.6 64.3 68.8 71.2
110.	. Nakhon Phanom, That Phanom	Apg B1g B2g	0-11 11-25 25-75	4.75 5.85 5.6	4.0 4.75 4.65	0.447 0.336 0.347	14.9 14.0 14.6	0.030 0.028 0.024	27.3 -	14.4 14.2 18.1	3.5 8.1	1 1 1	129 266 317	28 52 33 52	4.04 6.66 7.44	0.75 0.88 2.80	0.23 0.88 0.82	0.30 0.74 0.63	0.06 0.11 0.07	33.2 39.0 58.1
111.	. Nakhon Phanom, Mukdahan	Apg Bg BCg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-22\\ 22-70\end{array}$	4.5 4.65 5.15	4.1 3.9 4.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.348 \\ 0.255 \\ 0.180 \end{array}$	14.5 11.9 12.9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ 0.013 \\ 0.014 \end{array}$	28.2 -	8.3 8.4 8.3	4.5	92 92 160	80 120 339	1 1 1	1 1 1		1 1 1	1 1 1		
112.	. Ubon Ratchathani, Loeng Nok Tha	Apg A12g B21g B22g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-43\\ 43-75\end{array}$	5.1 5.55 5.65 5.15	4.0 4.0 4.1 4.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.423\\ 0.323\\ 0.326\\ 0.226\end{array}$	8.0 11.1 9.8 11.8	0.053 0.029 0.033 0.019	37.5 - -	15.3 14.3 15.0 15.0	8, I I I 8, I I I	1 1 1 1	180 198 507 387	47 28 80 94	5.55 6.12 9.54 13.32	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.28 \\       1.78 \\       3.82 \\       4.82     \end{array} $	0.44 0.70 1.55 1.93	$\begin{array}{c} 0.72 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10\\ 0.06\\ 0.17\\ 0.20\end{array}$	45.7 49.4 62.8 55.1
113.	. Ubon Ratchathani, Muang Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g Bg BCg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-15\\ 15-30\\ 30-75 \end{array}$	4.5 4.55 4.95 5.0	4.0 4.05 4.0	0.336 0.394 0.318 0.209	15.3 14.6 14.5 11.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.022\\ 0.027\\ 0.022\\ 0.019\end{array}$	10.1	14.6 14.5 11.4 7.1	33.5		30 80 10	28 38 47 47	3.10 3.96 6.74 8.62	0.30 0.38 1.14 1.21	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10\\ 0.23\\ 0.32\\ 0.42\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80\\ 0.54\\ 0.36\\ 0.53\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.7\\ 31.1\\ 28.4\\ 26.2\end{array}$
115.	. Ubon Ratchathani, Khuang Nai	Apg Bg IIC1g IIC2g	0-9 9-20 20-35 35-80	5.5 6.4 7.1 7.0	4.4 4.45 5.8 6.35	0.269 0.166 0.174 0.151	9.3 8.7 8.7 9.4	0.029 0.019 0.020 0.016	9.9 - -	7.3 7.3 8.3 10.5	4.8	1 1 1 1	30 53 118 319			1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1111	1 1 1 1
116.	. Ubon Ratchathani, Kham Khuan Kaeo	Apg Al2g Blg B2lg B22g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10 \\ 0-10 \\ 24-33 \\ 33-55 \\ 55-80 \end{array}$	5.0 6.0 5.9 5.25	4.45 5.05 4.6 4.6 4.55	0.287 0.108 0.119 0.105 0.105	10.3 9.0 8.5 8.1 7.1	0.028 0.012 0.014 0.013 0.013	8.4	7.9 5.1 5.1 5.6 5.6	3.7		37 38 28 28 28 28	11111			1 1 1 1 1			
117.	. Ubon Ratchathani, Yasothon	Apg B1g B1Cg IICg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-14\\ 14-20\\ 20-40\\ 40-75 \end{array}$	5.45 6.5 7.0 7.5	4.4 4.85 5.7 6.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.331 \\ 0.239 \\ 0.293 \\ 0.263 \end{array}$	7.4 9.2 9.2 8.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.045 \\ 0.026 \\ 0.032 \\ 0.030 \end{array}$	17.3	11.5 10.5 11.7 11.4	4.3	1 1 1 1	44 56 304 289	28 24 99 108	3.00 4.60 12.88 15.73	0.50 0.55 3.86 4.83	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.27 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.85 \end{array}$	0.34 0.51 3.73 3.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.23 \end{array}$	35.4 30.0 71.7 69.7
118.	. Roi Et, Selaphum	Apg A12g B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-12\\ 12-25\\ 25-75\end{array}$	5.0 5.3 5.45 6.0	4.2 4.2 4.35 4.15	$\begin{array}{c} 0.511\\ 0.398\\ 0.383\\ 0.270\\ 0.270 \end{array}$	8.0 9.5 9.3 7.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.064 \\ 0.042 \\ 0.041 \\ 0.034 \end{array}$	23.8	12.0 11.7 11.7 11.8	6.8	1 1 5 1	67 65 139 198	151 156 156 146 146	3.87 3.78 4.25 11.48	0.54 1.36 0.95 1.16	0.13 0.17 0.13 0.13	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36\\ 0.59\\ 0.83\\ 0.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32\\ 0.33\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\end{array}$	34.9 64.8 52.2 18.6
122.	. Roi Et, Suwannaphum	Apg Cg IIC2g IIC3g	0-11 11-46 46-57 57-80	5.5 6.95 8.05 8.1	4.5 5.15 6.4 6.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.537 \\ 0.221 \\ 0.200 \\ 0.152 \end{array}$	8.8 7.9 9.5 9.5	0.061 0.028 0.021 0.016	4.2	7.9 7.9 7.9 9.5	4.4		37 37 43 118	38 99 123	1.90 1.20 7.29 13.74	$\begin{array}{c} 0.50\\ 0.33\\ 4.31\\ 7.26\end{array}$	0.27 0.30 0.34 0.34	0.65 0.35 0.81 1.49	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.26 \end{array}$	78.9 88.3 77.2 68.0
123.	. Surin, Muang	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-12 12-25 25-45 45-70	5.9 6.4 5.9 6.1	4.7 5.2 5.2 5.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.522 \\ 0.417 \\ 0.310 \\ 0.274 \end{array}$	9.7 8.9 8.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.054\\ 0.047\\ 0.035\\ 0.031\end{array}$	28.5 - -	11.5 10.5 9.1 7.8	4.2	92 92 164 288	122 160 234 440	57 71 156 160	2.68 3.28 9.36 15.65	0.58 0.67 2.64 3.66	0.21 0.35 0.30 0.33	0.63 1.22 2.07 2.11	0.12 0.15 0.33 0.34	57.5 72.9 57.1 41.2
																	<u>р</u>	ase satu	ration u	egree

												Ahe								
;				Hd		e			;	(	6	coeff.	:	:	0 2 0	Еx	. Bases 1	meq/10(	ß	100
N0.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(H <sub>2</sub> O)	(KC1)	T-C	N	I-N A ⊮ β	N-N mu	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, <i>i</i> 1g/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O, ppm n	P20, ng/100g r	T-K <sub>2</sub> O ng/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm 1	C.E.C. neq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	К	*(%)
124.	Surin, Prasat	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-10 10-18 18-43 43-80	6.1 5.4 6.0 6.0	5.2 4.9 4.3	0.882 0.556 0.395 0.355	9.8 8.8 9.2 8.3 0 8.3	090 064 043 043	37.8 - -	11.7 9.8 7.9 7.9	5.5	1 1 1 1	123 126 148 141	127 123 127 127	4.74 5.32 7.68 12.17	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.09 \\     1.25 \\     2.40 \\     4.42   \end{array} $	0.23 0.21 0.21 0.17	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.38 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.27 \end{array}$	42.4 40.6 43.2 43.1
125.	Buri Ram, Prakhon Chai	Apg A12g Bg	0-9 9-21 21-32	5.9 5.8 6.0	4.6 4.55 4.45	$\begin{array}{c} 0.807 \\ 0.390 \\ 0.269 \end{array}$	9.5 0 9.8 6 8.4 0	.085 .040 .032	27.5 -	11.6 11.6 11.6	3.9	1 1 1	139 131 130	141 123 156	8.14 8.62 7.79	2.03 2.54 2.37	$\begin{array}{c} 0.68 \\ 0.64 \\ 0.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.88 \\ 0.42 \\ 0.33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.33 \end{array}$	47.8 44.8 42.7
Regos	ols																			
50.	Ubon Ratchathani, Muang	Apg B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-75\end{array}$	4.5 5.6 6.2	4.5 5.6 6.3	0.213 0.090 0.080	9.3 9.0 9.0 0	1.023 1.010 1.009	5.9	5.7 4.6 4.4	1.0 4.7 3.5	69 92	20 18 18	19 14 5	0.35 0.28 0.29	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	5.27 2.16 2.14	0.04 1 0.03 0.01	660.0 946.4 882.8
51.	Maha Sarakam, Muang, Pamong Project.	Ap C1 C2	0-15 15-30 30-70	5.85 7.0 7.35	5.1 5.9 6.3	0.112 0.061 0.054	6.6 ( 6.1 ( 6.8 ()	0117 0100 0108	2.6	4.6 4.6 2.3	6.6 2.9 2.7	35 35 127	20 18 17	രഹര	0.65 0.85 0.37	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62 \\ 0.78 \\ 0.46 \end{array}$	0.02 0.02 0.02	0.27 0.07 0.02	0.01 0.02 0.02	141.5 103.5 178.4
56.	Sakon Nakhon, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Ap C1 C2 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C1 D	0-25 25-35 35-56 56-70 70-86 86-100	4.25 6.0 5.85 5.7 5.1 4.8	4.2 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.350\\ 0.392\\ 0.298\\ 0.070\\ 0.231\\ 0.374\end{array}$	10.0 C 10.6 C 9.9 C 8.8 0 13.6 0 14.4 0	0.035 0.037 0.030 0.008 0.017 0.017	10.9	9.2 5.7 4.7 2.3 2.1 22.9	14.2 13.0 8.5 3.6 3.4 25.2		15 13 13 13 12 27 27	18 14 14	0.98 1.10 0.63 0.30 0.70 2.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.68 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.49 \\ 0.42 \end{array}$	0.22  0.19 0.22	8.14 8.14 - - 0.09 0.71	0.08 1 	012.2 - - 67.0
57.	Sakon Nakhon, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Ap C2 C3 C3 C3 C3	0-12 12-26 26-44 44-77 77-100	4.7 4.8 5.0 5.05 5.05	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 7 4.5	0.283 0.182 0.182 0.182 0.118 0.246	13.5 C 12.1 C 12.1 C 9.8 0 9.8 0 11.1 0	0.021 0.015 0.015 0.012 0.022	7.8	6.9 2.1 2.1 1.1 3.0	15.5 6.0 6.5 0.4 2.3		19 12 12 29 29	19	0.95 0.82 0.95 0.65 1.85	0.11	0.16	0.46 - - -	0.04 - - -	81.1 - - -
103.	Udon Thani, Nong Han	Apg A12g B1g	0-16 16-25/31 25/31-46	4.25 5.75 5.15	4.15 5.45 4.25	0.218 0.191 0.061	10.9 0 9.6 0 7.6 0	.020 .020 .008	9.0 - -	7.1 1.5 6.0	3.7 -		36 36 36	3 8 8	111	111	1 1 1	1 1 1	111	1.1.3
104.	Sakon Nakhon, Sawang Daen Din	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-10 10-22 22-35 35-80	4.1 5.3 5.25	4.05 4.2 4.45 4.25	$\begin{array}{c} 0.267 \\ 0.174 \\ 0.139 \\ 0.128 \end{array}$	11.1 6 10.9 0 9.3 0 9.8 0	.024 .016 .015 .013	10.4	6.2 3.2 1.7 7.2	5.0	90 160 69 69	87 35 34	28	1.74 2.10 1.80 3.91	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.26 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36\\ 0.21\\ 0.22\\ 0.40\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62 \\ 0.49 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.97 \end{array}$	0.06 0.08 0.08 0.10	113.8 93.3 127.7 69.8
119.	Roi Et, Muang	Apg C1g C2g	0-13 13-40 40-80	4.6 5.55 6.1	4.4 4.7 5.0	0.380 0.105 0.087	10.6 0 8.8 0 9.7 0	.036 .012 .009	12.6 -	9.1 5.0 6.5	4.3	69 92 69	17 17 13	111	111	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1
120.	Roi Et, Chaturaphak Phiman	Apg A12g Cg	0-14 14-37 37-80	4.6 5.5 5.6	4.45 4.8 4.55	$\begin{array}{c} 0.182 \\ 0.190 \\ 0.132 \end{array}$	7.0 0 6.7 0 6.9 0	.026 .028 .019	7.6 - -	5.0 5.6 5.0	2.0	92 92 60	10 12 20	1 1 1	1 1 1			1 1 1		
121.	Roi Et, Kaset Wisai	Ap C	0-12 12-75	6.25 6.95	4.9 5.6	0.187 0.187	5.8 0 5.7 0	.032 .033	3.6 -	7.3 7.3	2.5 -		14 13	i i	1 1	1.1	1 1	11	1.1	т. і

Soils	
Brown	
Non-Calcic	

i	*(%)	15 52.0 17 48.8 17 50.6 15 49.9		14 110.7 19 112.6 10 120.0 14 119.7 15 117.3	20 98.3 13 105.3 16 101.6	12 84.3 14 78.8 14 77.4 18 86.6	12 60.2 10 63.4 10 57.1 23 59.3	17 64.4 35 62.6 99 92.8 10 86.0	30 66.6  	25 81.0 24 114.4 9 122.8	53 109.0 22 98.8 24 101.8 101.8
eq/100g	Na K	1.02 0.1 1.05 0.1 1.40 0.1 1.39 0.1		0.45 0.1 0.61 0.1 0.39 0.1 0.50 0.1 0.68 0.1	0.34 0.5 0.42 0.1 0.31 0.1	0.32 0.1 0.13 0.0 0.18 0.0	0.13 0.1 0.09 0.1 0.09 0.1	0.40 0.5 0.07 0.6 0.48 0.6	0.78 0.5 - -	0.93 0.5 1.46 0.5 1.09 0.1	2.51 0.5 1.37 0.5 1.18 0.2
Bases me	Mg	1.38 1.76 2.59 2.38		1.56 ( 1.45 ( 1.13 ( 1.19 ( 2.33 (	2.59 ( 3.93 ( 2.63 (	1.94 (1.15 (1.15 (1.128) (1.12	2.94 3.00 1.89 1.96	2.98 ( 1.37 ( 3.22 ( 5.36 (	3.98 ( - -	3.48 ( 5.08 1 6.55 1	6.83 6.39 6.84 1
Ex.	Са	2.49 2.63 5.96 6.48		15.75 10.58 9.79 10.58 15.62	4.73 7.79 6.78	2.17 2.21 2.75 3.04	5.78 4.94 4.86 4.13	5.56 1.86 5.91 6.36	9.32 - -	5.21 7.33 9.33	8.23 8.71 8.80
:   	C.E.C. meq/100g	9.69 11.49 20.01 20.84		16.17 11.39 9.51 10.37 16.01	8.00 11.66 9.72	5.40 5.39 5.39 5.88	14.89 12.82 12.16 11.74	14.15 5.35 10.45 14.50	21.58 - -	12.19 12.33 13.93	16.61 16.90 16.76
(   	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm 1	71 80 80 71 71		66 90 47 66 71	94 61 75	57 19 38	57 47 108	80 24 42	141 - -	118 113 99	250 104 113
-	T-K <sub>2</sub> 0 ng/100g	120 89 130		1135 1058 1020 992 1515	867 844 935	295 263 477 511	935 935 888 843	781 877 901 1073	1208 1409 1363	607 423 486	506 537 269
Abs. coeff.	P205 mg/100g i	491 425 717 642		1049 884 825 825 809 1150	540 763 638	495 471 515 729	1547 1226 1031 984	905 394 843 934	1 1 1	600 634 649	845 1010 755
1	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppm 1	7.4 - -		28.4 29.2 28.1 29.3 30.4	12.3 11.8 14.5	22.3 10.2 13.7 13.9	18.5 11.7 18.5 14.6	20.1 41.8 47.8 19.3	26.0 21.4 25.6	3.1	29.8 - -
-	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	20.5 14.4 14.5 14.5		72.6 78.1 65.3 77.7 113.0	52.2 51.8 42.2	50.6 33.2 27.3 91.4	145.8 101.7 91.9 103.3	135.8 82.9 140.7 83.2	151.2 80.3 82.3	41.2 36.2 34.9	105.4 111.5 155.6
:	Av-N ppm	38.5		16.6 - - -	32.4 - -	27.4	24.5 - -		149.9 - -	77.3 - -	165.8 - -
:	N-T	$\begin{array}{c} 0.089\\ 0.065\\ 0.051\\ 0.044\end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.113\\ 0.056\\ 0.029\\ 0.030\\ 0.048\\ 0.048\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.062 \\ 0.040 \\ 0.044 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.130\\ 0.052\\ 0.034\\ 0.033\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.215\\ 0.149\\ 0.101\\ 0.095\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.160\\ 0.075\\ 0.102\\ 0.087\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.221 \\ 0.106 \\ 0.124 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166 \\ 0.125 \\ 0.064 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.187 \\ 0.129 \\ 0.103 \end{array}$
	C/N	9.7 8.9 8.8		10.6 11.3 12.2 12.3 12.1	11.5 9.0 8.9	10.1 12.5 11.1 11.3	8.5 8.7 8.2 8.2	10.4 11.2 11.1 8.8	11.6 10.1 9.8	9.8 9.1 9.5	12.2 9.9 9.9
6	T-C %	$\begin{array}{c} 0.862 \\ 0.417 \\ 0.310 \\ 0.274 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 1.201 \\ 0.632 \\ 0.354 \\ 0.368 \\ 0.581 \end{array}$	0.710 0.358 0.391	$\begin{array}{c} 1.312 \\ 0.650 \\ 0.377 \\ 0.372 \end{array}$	1.818 1.298 0.826 0.775	$\begin{array}{c} 1.670\\ 0.842\\ 1.130\\ 0.769\end{array}$	$2.571 \\ 1.069 \\ 1.216 \\ 1.216$	1.629 1.136 0.609	2.279 1.548 1.015
	(KCI)	3.75 3.7 3.75 3.65		5.8 6.9 6.4 6.2	4.8 5.35 5.80	4.15 4.95 5.10 5.50	4.45 4.6 4.5 4.25	4.05 4.45 4.95 4.6	4.2 5.05 4.55	3.2 4.95 6.45	4.0 4.5
Hq	(H;O)	4.25 4.65 4.7 4.95		6.95 7.95 7.95 7.75	5.95 6.85 7.60	5.15 5.75 6.05 6.05	6.0 5.4 5.0	5.55 6.2 6.45 6.45	5.3 6.8 6.25	5.3 6.2 7.15	5.1 5.8 5.85
	Depth (cm)	0-7 7-20 20-33 33-80		0-15 15-30 30-45 45-55 55-80	0-15 15-30 30-65	0-12 12-25 25-60 60-80	0-13 13-24 24-40 40-65	0-12 12-21 21-40 40-56	0-12 12-37 37-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-55\end{array}$	0-10 10-25 25-40
:	Horizon	Apg A12g B1g B2g		Apg A12g IIC1g IIIC2g IVBg	Apg B21g B22g	Apg Blg B2g Cg	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg A12g IIC1g IIIC2g	Apg C1g C2g	Apg C1g C2g	Apg A12g B1g
•	Location	Ubon Ratchathani, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	ern Region Water Alluvial Soils	Chiang Mai San Pa Thong, Rice Ex. St.	Chiang Mai, San Kamphaeng	Chiang Rai, Muang	Chiang Rai, Chiang Saen	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan	Chiang Rai, Muang	Nan, Muang	Chiang Rai, Mae Chan
;	No.	114.	Northe Fresh	25.	27.	32.	81.	83.	84.	146.	152.

															and the second se					
1				pł	Ŧ							Abs. coeff.				Ex.	Bases n	neq/100	50	
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(0 <sup>-</sup> H)	(KC1)	T.C	CN	L.N.	Av-N ppm n	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, <i>H</i> ng/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O, ppm n	P <sub>2</sub> O, ng/100g r	T-K <sub>2</sub> O ng/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm n	C.E.C. neq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	К	*(%)
26.	Chiang Mai, Mae Taeng	Apg A12g B21g B22g	0-15 15-25 25-45 45-90	5.35 7.15 7.15 6.95	4.55 6.15 5.65 5.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1.572 \\ 0.750 \\ 0.901 \\ 0.693 \end{array}$	10.5 11.9 12.2 9.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.150\\ 0.063\\ 0.074\\ 0.071\end{array}$	97.5 - -	84.1 130.6 202.6 197.7	21.8 19.8 17.2 20.2	749 941 1041 1025	1512 1804 1651 1791	108 90 113 179	14.81 16.33 19.38 17.31	10.62 15.25 16.28 14.35	1.98 2.63 3.18 2.45	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29\\ 0.35\\ 0.41\\ 0.29\\ 0.29\end{array}$	0.23 0.19 0.24 0.38	88.6 12.8 03.8 00.9
28.	Lampang, Hang Chat	Apg Blg B2g	0-15 15-25 25-65	4.9 6.0 5.85	4.05 4.25 3.85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.910 \\ 0.596 \\ 0.494 \end{array}$	9.3 8.8	0.089 0.064 0.056	23.1	50.4 53.4 51.8	16.6 18.8 15.4	564 784 816	806 1210 1159	151 113 90	8.60 13.87 13.97	4.40 5.37 4.79	2.19 3.61 3.75	0.94 0.42 0.42	0.32 0.24 0.19	91.3 69.5 65.5
30.	Lampang, Ngao	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-25 25-65	5.65 7.25 7.25	5.2 6.8 6.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.252 \\ 0.453 \\ 0.470 \end{array} $	10.4 9.4 10.7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120\\ 0.048\\ 0.044\end{array}$	46.9 -	51.6 22.7 27.1	20.1 11.8 10.5	684 646 705	678 579 699	66 38 38	9.66 9.28 9.85	7.66 11.19 10.42	1.80 0.45 0.45	0.23 0.30 0.24	0.14 10.08	01.8 29.6 13.6
33.	Chiang Rai, Phan, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g B21g B22g	0-15 15-25 25-45 45-65	5.65 6.9 7.55 8.0	4.9 6.1 6.6 7.15	$\begin{array}{c} 1.461 \\ 0.808 \\ 0.711 \\ 0.439 \end{array}$	11.2 8.7 8.1 8.1	0.131 0.093 0.068 0.054	72.2 - -	45.1 41.9 41.0 36.4	14.8 16.6 13.1 13.6	669 493 458 624	1268 1270 1182 900	264 108 75 66	15.26 14.32 14.77 14.90	10.91 12.02 12.68 13.43	2.07 2.92 2.05 1.99	0.43 0.39 0.46 0.41	0.56 0.23 0.16 0.14	89.8 08.7 03.9 07.2
34.	Chiang Rai, Phayao	Apg B21g B22g B23g	0-13 13-25 25-45 45-75	4.75 4.75 5.1 4.55	4.0 4.1 3.95	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.553\\ 0.737\\ 0.654\\ 0.494 \end{array} $	10.7 9.1 8.4	0.145 0.074 0.072 0.059	25.3 - -	73.6 45.4 55.2 45.6	12.0 9.4 9.6 9.7	661 584 657 613	876 1030 711 1126	137 47 80 14	16.84 11.76 13.24 13.43	6.82 3.81 2.97 2.30	3.12 2.98 3.05 2.21	0.14 0.11 0.22 0.09	0.29 0.10 0.17 0.03	61.6 59.6 34.5 34.5
35.	Phrae, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-15 15-30 30-50 50-75	5.3 5.75 5.05 4.45	4.65 4.7 4.15 3.95	0.625 0.525 0.356 0.268	11.4 12.2 11.9 10.3	0.055 0.043 0.030 0.026	23.1 - -	17.9 13.3 11.9 6.6	7.8 8.7 4.8 3.5	421 413 398 461	273 280 360 398	24 5 5 5	3.84 3.77 4.07 3.98	2.62 3.39 1.47 1.11	0.99 0.97 1.25 1.17	0.02 0.05 0.11 0.01	0.09 0.05 0.01 0.01	96.9 90.6 69.7 58.8
85.	Lampang Ngao	Apg B21g B22g	0-12 12-35 35-65	5.75 7.85 7.75	5.0 6.65 6.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.516 \\ 0.730 \\ 0.462 \end{array} $	9.6 9.7 9.6	0.158 0.075 0.048	62.3 - -	67.8 54.7 39.5	28.5 26.5 14.3	582 568 604	1823 1866 1437	132 -	15.61 - -	12.68 - -	2.57 -	0.83	0.28 1	04.8 -
86.	Lampang Muang	Apg B1g B2g BCg	0-13 13-24 24-39 39-65	5.35 7.65 7.9 7.2	4.35 6.25 6.15 5.55	$\begin{array}{c} 1.410 \\ 0.637 \\ 0.427 \\ 0.428 \end{array}$	12.8 11.4 9.5 9.1 0.1	0.110 0.056 0.045 0.047	62.4 - -	48.0 38.4 45.1 55.5	22.7 26.9 28.5 33.3		650 583 723 722	80	12.85	5.46 - -	2.06	0.73 - -	0.17 - -	65.5
87.	Lamphun, Muang	Apg A12g B21g B22g	0-10 10-18 18-33 33-65	5.35 5.80 6.15 6.15	4.2 4.85 4.25 3.7	0.382 0.286 0.267 0.182	12.3 11.4 12.1 14.0	0.031 0.025 0.022 0.022 0.013	30.8	22.2 23.9 25.7 29.1	25.9 42.6 31.7 33.4	299 288 399 420	185 188 555 735	52 118 28 28	3.14 2.92 5.63 7.03	1.76 1.61 1.92 1.10	0.24 0.32 0.41 0.53	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.17\\ 0.66\end{array}$	0.11 0.25 0.06 0.06	70.1 76.0 33.4
88	Chiang Mai, Hang Dong	Apg A12g A12g ABG B21g	0-12 12-18 18-25 25-38 38-48	6.55 8.4 8.7 8.5 8.3	5.15 6.4 6.4 6.25 6.25 6.05	$\begin{array}{c} 1.904 \\ 1.200 \\ 0.774 \\ 0.811 \\ 0.593 \end{array}$	10.6 9.7 11.1 11.1	0.179 0.110 0.080 0.073 0.073		92.3 94.8 100.6 108.3 122.2	12.9 14.6 8.5 29.2 16.7	963 887 921 989 1359	753 993 970 717	71 52 47 80 33	18.28 20.00 18.67 17.96 19.39	15.28 19.61 19.52 18.85 16.97	2.72 0.42 0.58 0.39 1.30	1.50 1.74 1.91 1.77 1.31	0.15 1 0.11 1 0.10 1 0.17 1 0.07 1	07.5 09.4 18.4 17.9 01.3
89.	Chiang Mai, Doi Saket	Apg B21g B22g IICg	0-15 15-43 43-55 55-75	5.3 7.8 7.5 7.2	3.8 5.65 5.0 4.65	1.257 0.625 0.590 0.570	11.1 ( 12.0 ( 11.1 ( 11.4 ()	0.113 0.052 0.053 9.050	105.6 - -	$109.2 \\ 88.9 \\ 98.4 \\ 170.7$	23.2 23.2 15.1 25.6		885 1120 1220 1553	80	12.64 - -	5.68	1.98 - -	0.11 - -	0.17 - -	62.8 - -
																	*B	ase satu	ration o	legree

Low Humic Gley Soils

*('8')	(11/1	19.0 22.4 22.5	98.0 21.7 34.6 65.6	92.3 87.6 00.9	39.5 30.3 18.2	97.9 26.9 27.1	77.0 95.3 04.6 90.5	87.1 05.9 16.6 28.4	98.3 07.9 15.4	1 1 1	1 ( )	97.6 00.2 07.5 98.4	94.4 84.5 84.6	
	К	0.25 1 0.26 1 0.30 1	0.35 0.26 1 0.24 1 0.24 1	0.27 0.24 0.19 1	0.24 10.26 1	0.30 0.26 1 0.34 1	0.48 0.37 0.28 1 0.24	0.26 0.19 1 0.24 1 0.34 1	0.46 0.37 1 0.44 1 0.24 1	111		0.40 0.23 1 0.23 1 0.36	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	
ieq/100g	Na	$\begin{array}{c} 0.85 \\ 0.94 \\ 1.20 \end{array}$	1.54 1.16 1.65 2.09	1.39 1.13 0.97	1.15 0.49 0.41	0.98 0.80 1.17	0.81 0.77 0.50 1.22	0.72 0.59 1.12 1.67	1.44 0.95 1.61 1.35	1 1 1	1 1 1	1.50 1.28 1.01 1.45	0.83 0.83 0.85	
Bases m	Mg	5.12 6.44 7.81	2.78 3.86 4.62 5.41	5.54 5.46 5.80	1.96 1.18 1.01	2.99 3.67 4.30	2.60 3.99 5.03	2.40 2.77 2.83 2.14	2.41 2.13 1.99 2.15	н н н		1.06 1.04 1.96 2.49	0.75 0.82 1.25	0.4
Ex.	Ca	8.18 10.70 11.27	5.34 7.07 7.57 8.90	4.74 4.29 5.56	4.53 3.68 4.04	5.43 7.48 11.25	7.53 9.11 13.53 10.03	4.55 6.57 8.45 8.78	10.64 12.31 13.11 12.58			2.24 2.54 2.71 2.84	1.30 1.80 1.50	
י בי	neq/100g	12.10 14.93 16.80	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.20 \\     10.15 \\     10.46 \\     10.05   \end{array} $	12.93 12.68 10.41	5.72 4.26 4.84	9.91 9.62 13.42	14.83 14.94 19.46 18.25	9.11 9.56 10.84 10.14	$ \begin{array}{c} 15.21 \\ 14.61 \\ 14.43 \\ 14.14 \\ 14.14 \end{array} $	1 1 1		5.33 5.08 5.50 7.26	3.20 4.20 4.40	
Av.K.O	n mqq	118 123 141	165 123 113 113	127 99 123	160 123 123	141 123 160	226 174 179 113	123 99 113 160	217 174 207 113	1 1 1		189 108 108 170	66 47 57	
Т.К.О	ng/100g	557 533 638	815 780 863 951	894 937 918	281 172 148	483 1022 1102	1035 1150 1855 726	1127 1202 1482 1480	1247 1385 1404 791	685 732 746	331 318 381	542 507 581 675	55 94 82	
Abs. coeff. P.O.	1205 1g/100g n	111	1 1 1 1	349 302 328	1 1 1	551 434 579	1 1 1 1	835 714 714 581		1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	
4w.P.O.	bpm n	2.5	6.1	5.4	52.7 -	5.6	2.3	14.8	17.6	8.7	19.2 -	23.3	13.1	
T.P.O.	ng/100g	35.1 30.1 26.3	38.7 38.9 36.4 74.4	45.7 39.3 42.1	28.6 9.1 11.3	49.7 39.4 39.4	32.1 35.0 31.7 24.6	36.7 28.8 34.9 50.2	55.7 46.5 40.1 37.8	116.4 71.2 65.9	36.3 28.2 28.0	56.7 49.7 63.3 71.9	39.4 32.7 26.0	
N.WA	udd	32.5 - -	83.9 - -	71.5	24.9 -	41.7 -	62.4 - -	58.1	72.7 - -	82.5	60.8 - -	61.0 - -	44.9 -	
N.T.	%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.113\\ 0.077\\ 0.059\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.145 \\ 0.096 \\ 0.049 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	0.138 0.088 0.065	0.076 0.022 0.013	$\begin{array}{c} 0.130 \\ 0.084 \\ 0.055 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125\\ 0.101\\ 0.066\\ 0.048\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.143\\ 0.072\\ 0.061\\ 0.059 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120\\ 0.090\\ 0.073\\ 0.043\end{array}$	0.196 0.082 0.039	0.102 0.058 0.031	$\begin{array}{c} 0.124\\ 0.054\\ 0.048\\ 0.050\end{array}$	0.086 0.050 0.022	
Ŋ	1	$13.3 \\ 11.6 \\ 10.3$	10.7 11.8 12.4 12.5	10.0 9.9 9.9	12.8 9.2 9.2	10.7 10.1 9.5	13.4 12.9 12.5 9.3	11.1 9.5 10.0 7.6	9.1 9.5 8.5 8.5	9.1 10.9 12.5	12.7 11.9 11.9	13.5 12.0 9.4 10.0	10.4 8.1 12.0	
L, T	r F	$1.508 \\ 0.893 \\ 0.609$	$1.548 \\ 1.136 \\ 0.609 \\ 0.527 \\ 0.57$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.386 \\ 0.868 \\ 0.649 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.974 \\ 0.203 \\ 0.120 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       1.386 \\       0.852 \\       0.527 \\       0.527     \end{array} $	1.670 1.299 0.812 0.446	$\begin{array}{c} 1.589 \\ 0.690 \\ 0.609 \\ 0.446 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.096\\ 0.852\\ 0.690\\ 0.365\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.786 \\       0.893 \\       0.487     \end{array} $	$1.299 \\ 0.690 \\ 0.368 \\ 0.368$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.670 \\ 0.649 \\ 0.446 \\ 0.493 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.893 \\ 0.406 \\ 0.265 \end{array}$	
l (KCI)		5.25 5.85 5.95	3.95 4.95 6.2 5.5	3.55 4.8 5.5	7.2 6.65 6.15	4.9 6.4 6.55	3.8 5.6 5.05	4.5 6.3 6.65 5.95	4.7 6.0 6.3 7.15	4.0 5.0	4.25 5.55 5.55	3.75 4.2 4.5 4.25	4.0 4.35 4.25	
ta on	(O2II)	6.1 7.2 7.45	5.3 6.2 7.25 7.0	5.1 5.95 6.6	7.9 7.6 7.4	5.9 7.65 7.75	4.7 5.6 5.8 5.8	5.75 7.4 7.6 6.95	5.85 7.0 7.2 7.65	5.25 6.15 6.3	5.4 6.7 6.65	5.2 5.65 5.75 5.7	5.2 5.5 5.6	
Denth	(cm)	0-6 6-22 22-65	0-10 10-20 20-26 26-65	0-10 10-26 26-65	0-15 15-35 35-80	0-13 13-23 23-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-18\\ 18-40\\ 40-65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-45\\ 45-70\end{array}$	0-11 11-20 20-35 35-65	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-20\\ 20-50 \end{array}$	0-8 8-15 15-50	0-9 9-19 30-55	0-13 13-23 23-65	
Horizon	10711011	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg A12g B21g B22g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg A12g B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	
Location	FOCATION	Phrae, Sung Men	Nan, Sa	Nan, Muang	Phrae, Muang, Pa Dean	Phrae, Song	Chiang Rai, Dok Kham Tai	Chiang Rai, Mae Chai	Chiang Rai, Phan, Rice Ex. St.	Chiang Rai, Chiang Saen	Lampang, Muang	Chiang Mai, Chiang Dao	Chiang Mai, Fang, Mae Ai	
N		143.	144.	145.	147.	148.	149.	150.	151.	153.	154.	155.	156.	

				Ha								Abs. coeff				н Ч	Bases 1	mea/100	5	
N0.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(H <sup>2</sup> O)	(KCI)	T-C %	C/N	L-N %	Av-N `	Γ-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / lg/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> O, ng/100g n	T-K <sub>2</sub> O ng/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm r	C.E.C. neq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	° х	*(%)
157.	Chiang Mai, Fang, Wieng	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-13 13-23 23-45 45-70	5.35 6.15 6.55 6.35	4.0 5.0 5.7	1.596 0.933 0.568 0.346	9.7 8.6 8.9	0.159 0.096 0.066 0.039	113.2	55.8 55.8 50.6 76.8	13.5	479 419 383 359	950 923 1031 627	203 141 85	8.00 6.49 5.19 4.74	3.70 3.86 3.35 3.85	2.07 2.73 2.98 2.80	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.46 \\       1.59 \\       0.88 \\       0.79 \\     \end{array}   $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.18 \end{array}$	95.8 130.7 142.4 60.8
158.	Fang, Pong Tam	Apg A12g B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-7\\ 7-20\\ 20-30\\ 30-55\end{array}$	5.35 6.75 7.65 7.4	4.1 5.95 6.1 6.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.458 \\ 0.609 \\ 0.243 \\ 0.306 \end{array}$	10.7 10.7 8.3 8.3	0.146 0.057 0.024 0.037	57.3	30.8 25.1 34.8 34.8	10.9	283 499 464 430	485 673 655 686	217 85 99 108	5.94 7.82 10.98 10.31	3.72 6.09 7.25 7.25	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.78 \\       1.79 \\       2.12 \\       2.47 \\       2.47 \\     \end{array} $	1.51 1.19 1.10 1.49	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.23 \end{array}$	25.8 118.3 103.4 111.0
31.	chiang Rai, Mae Sai	Apg A12g B1g B2g Cg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-25\\ 25-40\\ 40-60\\ 60-85 \end{array}$	7.65 7.85 7.9 7.8 8.15	7.3 6.8 6.5 6.5 6.7	3.192 1.648 0.911 1.092 0.712	12.8 12.9 13.4 13.8 13.8	0.250 0.128 0.068 0.079 0.053	86.8	129.1 87.5 97.3 88.9	32.6 36.1 17.0 17.6 17.8	1143 1137 1066 1079 1130	841 821 1235 976 907	123 71 75 66	21.53 20.71 22.31 24.72 25.11	50.46 20.56 20.52 25.88 24.32	0.59 0.92 1.83 2.87 2.44	0.53 0.48 0.45 0.51 0.43	0.26 0.15 0.15 0.16 0.16	240.8 106.8 119.0 08.8
82.	Chiang Rai, Mae Sai	Apg B21g B22g BCg	0-20 20-32 32-48 48-65	7.85 8.25 8.1 8.15 8.15	7.0 6.75 6.35 6.45	$\begin{array}{c} 2.776 \\ 1.052 \\ 0.947 \\ 0.714 \end{array}$	12.2 11.8 13.3 11.0	0.227 0.089 0.071 0.065	72.6 - -	$129.4 \\ 101.1 \\ 80.0 \\ 90.2$	31.7 20.7 23.2 26.0	1 1 1 1	820 876 1029 969	123	19.78 - -	42.08 - -	2.20	0.57	0.26 2	228.0
Gray Po	dzolic Soils		000	c t	100	0000		1	d	. 00	0.01	010	ore	5	cu c	00 0	00.0	000		0
24.	Chiang Mai, Chom Thong	Apg A12g B1 B2	0-20 20-35 35-70 70-85	7.2 7.95 8.65 8.7	6.65 6.9 7.3 7.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.660\\ 0.145\\ 0.052\\ 0.039\end{array}$	11.8 12.1 7.4 7.8	0.056 0.012 0.007 0.005	80 I I I 80 I I I 80 I I I I	23.1 13.1 9.2 8.0	19.8 13.0 8.0 9.6	312 343 293 209	213 162 76 132	66 28 52	6.73 3.86 1.24 2.85	7.20 4.10 1.55 2.69	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36\\ 0.44\\ 0.22\\ 0.52\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.33\\ 0.22\\ 1.81\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14\\ 0.06\\ 0.04\\ 0.11\end{array}$	17.8 27.7 64.0 80.6
Southeri Marine 1	n Region Alluvial Soils																			
171.	Nakhon Si Tham- marat, Muang, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g Cg	0-15 15-30 30-50	6.1 8.25 8.35	5.05 6.55 6.75	2.142 2.242 1.330	13.9 13.8 13.9	0.154 0.162 0.092	58.2 -	53.4 76.4 54.1	15.5 - -	1072 1045 990	1089 1309 1856	589 631 815	27.80 25.46 25.81	16.64 16.63 14.00	20.78 19.34 19.10	5.70 5.69 5.75	1.25     1.34     1.73	56.8 56.8
Brackisł	n Water Alluvial Soi	ls																		
94.	Songkhla, Muang	Apg Blg IIB2g	0-18 18-33 33-	3.95 3.9 4.25	3.4 3.3 3.85	$3.156 \\ 0.844 \\ 0.403$	15.9 11.3 10.6	0.198 0.075 0.038	41.1	62.6 32.4 25.1	19.5	1 1 1	1011 1196 1769	189 13 90	19.51 14.35 14.34	$3.01 \\ 2.65 \\ 1.44$	$2.14 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.27$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.12 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.75 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.19 \end{array}$	34.2 31.3 25.5
																	*Ba	ise satur	ation de	gree

				pt	-							Abs. coeff.				Ex.	Bases 1	meq/100	ы	
No.	Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(H;O)	(KC1)	T-C ≋	C/N	⊮⊮	Av-N n	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, / ng/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppm 1	P <sub>2</sub> O, ng/100g	T-K <sub>2</sub> O mg/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm r	C.E.C. neq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	Х	*(%)
92.	Phatthalung, Khuan Khanun, Rice Ex. St.	Apg A12g IIB21g IIB22g	0-13 13-22 22-33 33-	5.2 5.1 5.4 5.45	4.05 4.65 4.8 4.95	$\begin{array}{c} 1.065\\ 0.720\\ 0.534\\ 0.479\end{array}$	12.5 11.4 11.4 14.1	0.085 0.063 0.047 0.034	34.7 - -	24.0 16.3 38.7 20.4	18.4 - -	1 1 1 1	344 344 641 591	66 18 18 42	6.91 6.35 7.50 9.55	$\begin{array}{c} 1.79 \\ 3.40 \\ 2.08 \\ 3.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.49 \\ 1.28 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	52.0 75.9 41.2 47.6
174.	Phatthalung, Khun Khanun, Rice Ex. St.	Apg B1g B21g B22g	0-17 17-30 30-60 60-75	5.4 5.65 5.65 5.45	4.3 4.5 4.4 4.35	$\begin{array}{c} 1.019\\ 0.745\\ 0.471\\ 0.374\end{array}$	11.2 10.8 10.7 9.6	0.091 0.069 0.044 0.039	44.2 - -	33.7 28.1 11.3 28.3	13.0	517 427 512 539	473 620 681 893	75 66 52 61	9.12 9.23 11.02 15.99	6.29 6.89 8.29 8.29	$\begin{array}{c} 0.70 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16\\ 0.14\\ 0.11\\ 0.11\\ 0.13\end{array}$	80.3 85.8 85.1 56.0
175.	Phatthalung, Khuan Khanun, Rice Ex. Subst.	Apg B1g B2g	$\begin{array}{c} 0-14\\ 14-23\\ 23-70\end{array}$	5.1 5.45 5.1	4.0 4.1 4.95	1.339 0.625 0.225	12.4 11.8 9.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.108 \\ 0.053 \\ 0.023 \end{array}$	43.7 -	38.7 28.1 11.2	20.3 -	522 317 472	319 385 501	57 33 43	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.08 \\     9.24 \\     12.38   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     4.10 \\     4.39 \\     5.01   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \\ 0.53 \\ 1.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31 \\ 0.40 \\ 1.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	52.4 58.3 61.3
Low H	lumic Gley Soils																			
91.	Phatthalung, Muang	$\substack{\substack{\text{Apg}\\\text{A12g}\\\text{G1}}$	0-10 10-20 20-	4.6 5.5 5.4	3.9 4.85 4.85	$1.194 \\ 0.589 \\ 0.386 \\ 0.386$	12.3 13.1 10.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.097 \\ 0.045 \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	62.5 -	20.4 26.2 27.0	16.2 - -	111	298 826 955	57 57 71	5.32 5.95 7.75	$1.41 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.04$	$1.02 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.91$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.39 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.15 \end{array}$	55.3 50.6 41.8
93.	Songkhla, Pattaphum	Apg B21g B22g	0-9 19-37 37-	4.8 5.4 5.4	3.95 5.25 4.85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.696 \\ 0.441 \\ 0.386 \end{array}$	11.2 10.3 12.9	0.062 0.043 0.030	42.2	30.1 32.9 25.0	11.0	111	370 597 805	57 66 38	3.88 6.56 5.92	$1.20 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.46$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.58 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29 \\ 0.19 \\ 0.65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	61.6 33.5 46.8
164.	Prachuap Khiri Khan, Thap Sakae	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-32 32-65	6.35 7.5 8.8	5.5 6.0 6.85	$\begin{array}{c} 0.690 \\ 0.402 \\ 0.361 \end{array}$	8.6 8.3 8.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.086 \\ 0.048 \\ 0.042 \end{array}$	18.4 - -	73.2 73.6 62.4	21.7 - -	111	503 774 894	71 113 99	$5.66 \\ 9.74 \\ 11.25$	$2.54 \\ 5.00 \\ 6.55$	$1.64 \\ 2.61 \\ 2.19 \\ 2.19$	0.96 3.64 4.76	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.21 \end{array}$	93.5 17.9 21.9
165.	Chumphon, Muang	Apg A12g B1g B2g	0-15 15-25 25-65 65-85	4.9 6.8 5.8 6.8	3.95 5.35 5.5 5.5	1.325 1.013 0.596 0.668	10.9 12.2 14.2 13.1	0.122 0.083 0.042 0.051	51.8 	33.7 33.5 28.4 27.8	26.4 - -	397 502 303 303	650 733 894 760	8 8 3 3 8 8 8 9 9 9	7.30 7.27 7.64 7.93	3.17 4.33 4.19 3.69	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.60 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.83 \\ 0.70 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19\\ 0.19\\ 0.22\\ 0.44\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	69.0 81.0 82.5 61.9
167.	Pangnga, Thai Muang	Apg B1g B2g	0-15 15-32 32-66	4.6 5.1 5.2	3.95 4.0 4.0	2.100 0.806 0.805	9.5 10.2 10.9	0.221 0.079 0.074	93.4 - -	82.1 60.6 53.4	34.0 - -	111	1994 2029 2217	127 75 42	9.11 7.59 7.77	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.81 \\     2.69 \\     2.90   \end{array} $	$1.64 \\ 1.97 \\ 2.23$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.43 \\ 0.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$	42.4 69.0 71.3
170.	Krabi, Khlong Thom	Apg ABg B1g B2g	0-17 17-30 30-51 51-70	5.05 5.0 4.95 4.75	4.3 4.3 4.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.932 \\ 0.480 \\ 0.294 \\ 0.131 \end{array}$	14.8 15.0 14.0 11.9	0.063 0.032 0.021 0.011	12.3	30.6 11.3 7.8 13.6	15.1 - -	1 1 1 1	64 70 88	42 19 52	2.65 2.80 3.08 6.33	0.62 0.63 1.50 2.68	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64 \\ 0.67 \\ 0.29 \\ 0.43 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43 \\ 0.31 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.11 \end{array}$	68.7 58.2 66.9 57.5
172.	Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thung Song	Apg B1g B2g	0-13 13-23 23-50	5.25 5.7 6.05	4.4 4.7 4.85	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.114 \\ 0.714 \\ 0.323 \\ \end{array} $	8.4 8.1 8.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.132 \\ 0.088 \\ 0.040 \end{array}$	47.1	39.2 28.4 75.8	18.6 - -	1 1 1	972 717 118	66 52 57	5.08 4.25 6.16	3.17 2.78 4.27	$\begin{array}{c} 0.80 \\ 0.72 \\ 1.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	84.8 98.4 93.0
																	*Bas	æ satura	ttion deg	gree

Fresh Water Alluvial Soils

*	- (1)	9.8 5.4 4.2	8.6 2.4 3.9 4.5	8.6 6.2 5.6	3.2 5.6 6.0	1.5 3.0 7.2	6.6 5.8 3.0	5.3 2.1 8.5 7.0		$6.4 \\ 0.6$	5.7 9.4	1.4 8.4 9.4 4.0	ee.
17	5	8 8 6 8 11 11 8 11	4 8 8 8 8	4988 8488	2 6 7 6 7	5 8 9 2 8 8 1	0.440 200.02	8 8 10 8 8 8 4 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		0 8 4 6	3 3	8 2 8 3 4 10 0 3 4	n degr
100g	K	000	0000	6.0.0.0	0.0	0.0.0	00000	0.0.0.0		0.0	0.1	0.00	uratic
meq/	Na	0.35 0.35 0.23	0.17 0.43 0.35 1.87	0.46 0.48 0.86 1.33	0.62 0.84 0.20	1.05 1.08 1.67	0.18 0.15 0.22 0.61	0.43 0.56 0.49 0.35 0.35		0.25	0.46 0.19	0.07 0.29 0.04	ase sat
. Bases	Mg	$1.79 \\ 3.65 \\ 4.09$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.72 \\ 1.64 \\ 1.68 \\ 1.68 \\ 1.77 \end{array}$	0.63 0.59 0.51 1.50	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.56 \end{array}$	$1.50 \\ 2.46 \\ 2.76 \\ 2.76$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26\\ 0.33\\ 0.54\\ 0.64\\ 0.84\end{array}$	2.21 1.88 1.41 1.43 1.43 1.27	1	0.57 0.76	$0.34 \\ 0.38$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.82 \\ 0.58 \end{array}$	*B
Ex	Са	8.97 12.26 15.54	2.30 5.19 5.43 5.85	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.60 \\       1.99 \\       1.51 \\       1.15 \\       1.15     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.30 \\       1.84 \\       2.33     \end{array}   $	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.04 \\     2.04 \\     1.61   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.33 \\ 1.65 \\ 1.40 \\ 2.12 \end{array}$	2.30 2.56 2.54 2.54 2.44		$0.79 \\ 1.01$	0.63 0.75	$\begin{array}{c} 1.39 \\ 0.89 \\ 1.02 \\ 0.68 \end{array}$	
( L (	с.Е.С. neq/100g	13.65 13.38 14.93	6.79 8.92 9.05 11.52	4.83 6.76 5.23 6.16	3.91 4.82 4.13	5.20 6.82 7.03	5.24 3.96 4.04 5.07	11.51 7.15 5.33 3.40 3.77		2.58 4.96	4.36 4.94	4.80 4.53 2.88 1.50	
0 2	AV-K <sub>2</sub> U ppm r	85 85 90	52 61 113	66 28 38 38	57 33 24	61 38 42	71 19 24 61	127 42 33 24 24		47 38	56 61	108 28 38	
0 2 1	1-K <sub>2</sub> U mg/100g	1280 1069 955	1679 1475 2031 2301	449 1838 802 942	269 359 410	928 1229 1043	299 294 360 239	898 869 651 449 417		342 405	596 593	437 384 707 299	
Abs. coeff.	P2U, ng/100g 1	8 8 8	581 430 368 344	528 643 511 -	469 702 705	1 1 1	1 1 1 1	646 583 476 216 217		1 1	1.1	1111	
	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O, ppm 1	8.5 I	9.6	19.7	21.4 - -	13.0	18.5	20.1		23.4	33.7	39.6 - -	
c c t	ng/100g	73.6 70.7 71.0	40.0 53.3 52.4 28.4	28.5 19.4 7.9 33.6	39.9 39.2 33.6	39.8 33.4 39.7	54.1 33.6 20.0 28.4	71.7 39.9 39.4 28.4 34.0		30.2 32.0	39.7 39.5	80.4 75.9 72.8 34.1	
5	N-vP bbm	82.0 - -	62.9 - -	51.7	21.7	40.3	47.0 - -	50.3		36.5	37.3	20.1 - -	
i e	×- ≊	$\begin{array}{c} 0.128\\ 0.052\\ 0.043 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.124 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.040 \\ 0.041 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.111\\ 0.060\\ 0.043\\ 0.034\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ 0.040 \\ 0.031 \end{array}$	0.090 0.047 0.039	$\begin{array}{c} 0.243\\ 0.059\\ 0.019\\ 0.020\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.202 \\ 0.065 \\ 0.034 \\ 0.020 \\ 0.015 \end{array}$		0.080	$0.101 \\ 0.023$	0.049 0.042 0.025 0.015	
	C/N	8.5 7.4 7.9	9.2 9.8 9.1	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.2 \\     9.5 \\     9.2   \end{array} $	11.2 10.2 9.0	12.2 13.1 10.8	$13.4 \\ 12.1 \\ 10.7 \\ 10.5 \\ $	$10.3 \\ 9.8 \\ 9.2 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.2$		12.2 10.8	12.8 8.3	$11.9 \\ 10.1 \\ 9.8 \\ 10.9 \\ 10.9$	
c f	۔ ⊮	$\begin{array}{c} 1.088 \\ 0.385 \\ 0.340 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.141 \\ 0.571 \\ 0.392 \\ 0.373 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.132 \\ 0.606 \\ 0.409 \\ 0.313 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.862 \\ 0.408 \\ 0.279 \end{array}$	1.098 0.616 0.421	$3.256 \\ 0.714 \\ 0.203 \\ 0.210 \\ 0.21$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.081\\ 0.637\\ 0.313\\ 0.156\\ 0.108\\ 0.108 \end{array}$		$1.084 \\ 0.864$	$1.290 \\ 0.191$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.583 \\ 0.425 \\ 0.244 \\ 0.164 \end{array}$	
1020	(I))	4.15 7.15 7.3	4.2 5.15 5.1 5.0	4.0 3.9 3.85	4.1 4.0 4.1	3.9 4.35 4.7	4.15 4.2 4.05 4.2	3.95 4.1 4.2 4.05		4.0 4.85	4.25 4.4	4.3 4.2 4.2 4.55	
Hd	(O <sup>-</sup> H)	5.0 7.65 8.25	5.15 6.45 6.4 6.25	4.55 4.9 4.95	4.95 4.55 4.9	4.35 5.95 6.15	5.0 5.25 5.3	4.5 4.6 4.7 8.8		4.95 5.9	4.8 5.3	4.75 5.0 4.5 5.65	
i L	(cm)	0-16 16-45 45-75	$\begin{array}{c} 0-10\\ 10-23\\ 23-35\\ 35-72\end{array}$	0-10 10-21 21-38 38-68	$\begin{array}{c} 0-12\\ 12-28\\ 28-70\end{array}$	0-12 12-26 26-65	0-12 12-22 22-42 42-70	$\begin{array}{c} 0-15\\ 15-30\\ 30-40\\ 40-60\\ 60-90 \end{array}$		0-11 11-18	0-13 13-40	0-15 15-30 30-55 55-85	
	Horizon	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g Cg	Apg Blg B2g B3g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg B1g B2g	Apg Blg B2g B3g	Apg B1g B2g IIC1g IIC2g		Apg A12g	Agp Bg	Apg B1g B2g IIC	
	Location	Trang, Muang	Satun, Muang	Songkhla, Muang	Pattani, Khok Pho, Rice Ex. St.	Pattani, Muang	Yala, Raman	Narathiwat, Muang	ols	Phuket, Thalang	Ranong, Kra Buri	Phuket, Thalang	
ž	N0.	173.	176.	177.	179.	180.	181.	182.	Regoso	90.	166.	168.	

			hq	-							Abs.				Ex	Bases	mea/10(	a(	
No. Location	Horizon	Depth (cm)	(H,O)	(KC1)	T-C %	C/N	T.N %	Av-N ppm	T-P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	Av-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ppm	P <sub>2</sub> O, mg/100g	T-K <sub>2</sub> O mg/100g	Av-K <sub>2</sub> O ppm	C.E.C. meq/100g	Ca	Mg	Na	, X	*(%)
69. Pangnga,	Apg	0-8	4.75	4.1	1.031	11.2	0.092	29.2	34.2	11.9	244	719	57	5.93	2.41	0.97	0.03	0.12	59.7
Thap Put	Big	8-17	5.95	5.0	0.406	9.0	0.045	1	28.0	1	380	1061	33	6.60	2.82	1.82	0.60	0.07	80.5
	B2Ig	17-35	5.05	4.05	0.284	10.9	0.026	ı	33.7	1	468	1478	52	8.21	3.83	1.45	0.58	0.11	72.6
	B22g	35-62	5.3	4.1	0.181	9.5	0.019	ı	39.6	1	474	1250	71	9.79	2.07	1.16	0.47	0.15	39.3
	B3g	62-85	5.15	4.0	0.184	10.2	0.018	I	33.9	ı	473	1602	75	9.72	1.16	1.03	0.30	0.16	27.3
78. Songkhla,	Apg	0-13	5.2	4.4	1.356	12.0	0.113	39.3	33.8	26.0	1	504	170	5.08	0.59	0.73	0.31	0.36	39.7
Na Thawi	Big	13-35	5.6	4.0	0.769	11.4	0.067	I	11.4	1	'	509	33	4.98	2.03	0.59	0.77	0.07	69.5
	B2g	35-52	5.8	3.95	0.332	10.9	0.031	1	19.9	'	I	688	38	6.63	2.55	0.76	1.29	0.08	70.6
	B3g	52-70	5.85	3.75	0.273	10.1	0.027	4	19.7	'	ı	538	38	7.36	1.36	1.62	1.65	0.08	63.8