Application of Multi-temporal TerraSAR-X Data to Map Winter Wheat Planted Areas in Hokkaido, Japan

Rei SONOBE^{1*}, Hiroshi TANI², Xiufeng WANG², Nobuyuki KOBAYASHI³, Atsushi KIMURA⁴ and Hideki SHIMAMURA⁴

¹ Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University (Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan)

² Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University (Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan)

³ Hokkaido Intellect Tank (Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0004, Japan)

⁴ PASCO Corporation (Meguro, Tokyo 153-0043, Japan)

Abstract

Winter wheat is an important crop for many countries, and monitoring of its planted area is considered important. Optical sensors have been used to monitor agricultural land, and have shown good classification and monitoring capabilities. However, observations using optical sensors sometimes suffer from interference due to cloud cover or rain. In contrast, synthetic aperture radars (SAR) can be used for Earth observation even under rainy, cloudy or dark conditions, hence SAR is expected to be effective in monitoring agricultural fields and identifying winter wheat fields. The objective of this study is to analyze the potential of TerraSAR-X dual images, in the StripMap mode, for mapping winter wheat planted areas. Using the separability statistic (*D*), it emerged that the sigma naught acquired in mid-July possesses great potential. The method applied in this study has an overall accuracy exceeding 96% for HH and VV polarization data for identifying winter wheat fields.

Discipline: Information technology

Additional key words: backscattering coefficient, satellite, crop types identification

Introduction

Remote sensing techniques have been used in agricultural fields to classify vegetation and estimate soil moisture and physiological parameters and are primarily based on the use of multispectral data. However, observations using optical sensors sometimes suffer from interference due to cloud cover or rain. In contrast, synthetic aperture radars (SAR) can be used for Earth observation even under rainy, cloudy or dark conditions.

In microwave remote sensing, the backscattering coefficients of L-band and sometimes C-band are related not only to vegetation but also soil moisture and surface roughness in most agricultural fields (Sonobe & Tani 2009). The effect of vegetation canopy is the main factor impacting on X-band data over vegetated fields. The intensity of incident energy scattered by vegetation is primarily a function of the canopy architecture, such as the size, shape and orientation of canopy components (leaves, stalks, and fruit), the dielectric properties of the crop canopy and the cropping characteristics (plant density and row direction) (McNairn et al. 2009).

*Corresponding author: reysnb@env.agr.hokudai.ac.jp Received 11 July 2013; accepted 14 February 2014. Over the last few decades, radar remote sensing data have also been increasingly utilized for vegetation characterization and crop monitoring as well as yield prediction. Recently, TerraSAR-X was launched on June 15, 2007 and X-band SAR data were made widely available. The objective of the mission was to develop an operational spaceborne X-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) system to produce various products for commercial and scientific use. TerraSAR-X delivers X-band SAR data at a high spatial resolution of 2.5 to 6 m within a 30-km swathe in Stripmap mode. Furthermore, several studies have proven its high geometric accuracy¹. Although the operational lifetime is 5 years, TanDEM-X, with the same specifications, was launched on June 21, 2010, and the launch of SEOSAR/PAZ is planned in 2014, hence, regular observations will be possible in future.

Studies on rice monitoring and mapping using SAR data have increased, some of which have used multi-temporal RADARSAT C-band data in HH polarization and revealed high correlations between backscattering coefficients and plant height and age (Chakraborty et al. 2005, Shao et al. 2001). These examples have been used in agricultural man-



Fig. 1. Test site located southeast of Hokkaido, Japan



Fig. 2. Cultivation calendar for the crops in this study area

agement to identify rice paddy fields. Compared with other crops, winter wheat has a unique scattering pattern due to seeding in the fall. However, the potential use of satellitebased SAR data for mapping winter wheat fields is not fully understood (Gebhardt et al. 2012). The objectives of this study are to analyze the separability of winter wheat from other crops using TerraSAR-X data and then map the winter wheat planted areas.

Materials and methods

The experimental area of this study is the farming area covering an area of approximately 20.5 km² in the western

Tokachi plains, Hokkaido, Japan (Fig. 1). The dominant crops of winter wheat, pulses (azuki and soy), potato, beet and corn (dent corn and sweetcorn) are cultivated on 4,627 fields in the study area. The cultivation calendar for the crops in this study area is presented in Figure 2.

TerraSAR-X data were acquired between May 2 and November 5, 2009. Details of the acquired data are presented in Table 1. TerraSAR-X is a side-looking X-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) based on active phased array antenna technology (Roth et al. 2004) and flies in a sun-synchronous dawn-dusk 11 day repeat orbit at an altitude of 514 km at the equator.

In this study, L1B Enhanced Ellipsoid Corrected

Date	Sensor/Mode	Incidence angle (°)	Pixel spacing (m)	Orbit	Polarization
Date May 2, 2009 May 13, 2009 May 24, 2009 June 4, 2009 June 26, 2009 July 7, 2009 July 7, 2009 July 18, 2009 July 29, 2009 August 9, 2009 August 31, 2009 September 11, 2009 September 12, 2009 October 3, 2009 October 14, 2009	Sensor/Mode TerraSAR-X/ StripMap	Incidence angle (°) 42.3	Pixel spacing (m) 2.75	Orbit	Polarization HH, VV
October 25, 2009 November 5, 2009					

 Table 1. Characteristics of the satellite data

(EEC) products operated in Stripmap mode were used. The TerraSAR-X images were converted from digital numbers to sigma naught using the following equation (Infoterra GmbH 2008):

 $\sigma^0 = 20\log_{10} DN + 10\log_{10} CF + 10\log_{10}(\sin\theta_{loc})$, (1) where σ^0 is sigma naught in dB, DN is a TerraSAR-X image digital number, CF is the calibration and processor scaling factor, and θ_{loc} is the local incidence angle (Ager & Bresnahan 2009). To compensate for spatial variability and avoid problems related to uncertainty in georeferencing, the average σ^0 (dB) was assigned to each field.

Sigma naught values were calculated for 4,627 fields (533 azuki fields, 722 beet fields, 625 corn fields, 947 potato fields, 301 soy fields and 1,499 winter wheat fields) in the study area. The reference data were provided by Tokachi Nosai as a polygon-shaped file, in which the position of the fields and attribute data such as crop type were included.

The separability statistic (D) is used to evaluate separability (Miettinen & Liew 2011). The D was calculated to compare the statistical separability of winter wheat using sigma naught as follows (Kaufman & Remer 1994):

$$D = \frac{\left|\bar{x}_{1} - \bar{x}_{2}\right|}{s_{1} + s_{2}}, \quad (2)$$

where \overline{x}_i and s_i are the mean and standard deviation of the sigma naught values of analyzed crop types, respectively, and defined as follows:

$$\overline{x}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{i,k}}{n}, \quad (3)$$
$$s_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{i,k} - \overline{x}_{i})^{2}}{n}}, \quad (4)$$

where $x_{i,k}$ is a pixel value, which is equal to a sigma naught value in dB units, *k* is a given pixel, and *n* is the number of pixels of a given crop type (*i*). The *D* normalizes the

difference in the means by the sum of standard deviations. The standard theory on feature separation is that features are well separated if the distance between the class mean values is large compared with the standard deviation (Shi et al. 1994). In the case of D = 1, this allows an overlap of nearly 16% of the samples. We visually verified that the histograms of the data acquired on July 18 revealed high D values.

Finally, the thresholds were calculated using Otsu's method (Otsu 1979) to distinguish between winter wheat and other crops and the accuracy of the results was assessed by comparison with the reference data provided by Tokachi Nosai. In addition to the overall accuracy, the accuracies of the user and producer were also calculated.

Results and discussion

Table 2 presents the D values between winter wheat and the other five crop types for all obtained TerraSAR-X data. On May 2, the values were very low because the winter wheat fields were sparsely vegetated and the other fields had just been seeded and their soil was nearly bare. However, the difference in growth became clear over time. The main backscatter response was volume scattering in the winter wheat fields, whereas that of other crops was surface scattering. Consequently, low backscattering for winter wheat was observed and the D values increased until the period from June 26 to July 18. Afterward, the winter wheat plants became mature, whereupon diffuse reflection was the predominant response in the winter wheat fields, and the sigma naught values were high, which meant the difference in backscattering between the winter wheat fields and others became unclear and the D values declined. The winter wheat and other crops were harvested in mid-August.

The D values obtained here indicate that the sigma naught values acquired during the period June 26 to July 18

R. Sonobe et al.

	Target for	Separability statistic D							
	comparison	May 2	May 13	May 24	June 4	June 26	July 7	July 18	July 29
	Azuki	0.219	0.487	0.485	0.093	0.333	0.554	0.853	0.471
	Beet	0.275	0.295	0.014	0.694	1.220	1.272	1.139	0.681
HH	Corn	0.230	0.189	0.346	0.174	0.527	0.685	0.902	0.557
	Poteto	0.207	0.198	0.026	0.734	1.088	1.012	0.729	0.500
	Soy	0.223	0.400	0.471	0.196	0.551	0.665	0.856	0.522
	Azuki	0.056	0.622	0.362	0.350	0.615	0.692	0.849	0.678
	Beet	0.140	0.463	0.081	0.763	1.421	1.372	1.090	0.847
VV	Corn	0.069	0.438	0.197	0.377	0.693	0.700	0.761	0.636
	Poteto	0.070	0.371	0.086	0.827	1.359	1.211	0.792	0.745
	Soy	0.058	0.555	0.344	0.413	0.789	0.769	0.798	0.681
	Target for				Separability	statistic D			
	Target for	August 9	August 31	September 11	Separability September 22	statistic D October 3	October 14	October 25	November 5
	Target for comparison Azuki	August 9 0.227	August 31 0.182	September 11 0.322	Separability September 22 0.422	statistic D October 3 0.330	October 14 0.150	October 25 0.023	November 5 0.073
	Target for comparison Azuki Beet	August 9 0.227 0.536	August 31 0.182 0.558	September 11 0.322 0.610	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720	October 14 0.150 0.542	October 25 0.023 0.476	November 5 0.073 0.211
	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034
нн	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055
	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto Soy	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389 0.232	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397 0.120	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297 0.270	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194 0.285	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021 0.249	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021 0.215	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009 0.107	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055 0.015
HH	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto Soy Azuki	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389 0.232 0.405	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397 0.120 0.333	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297 0.270 0.375	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194 0.285 0.452	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021 0.249 0.325	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021 0.215 0.159	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009 0.107 0.015	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055 0.015 0.114
НН	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto Soy Azuki Beet	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389 0.232 0.405 0.658	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397 0.120 0.333 0.627	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297 0.270 0.375 0.657	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194 0.285 0.452 0.748	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021 0.249 0.325 0.747	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021 0.215 0.159 0.581	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009 0.107 0.015 0.508	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055 0.015 0.114 0.239
HH	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto Soy Azuki Beet Corn	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389 0.232 0.405 0.658 0.396	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397 0.120 0.333 0.627 0.251	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297 0.270 0.375 0.657 0.271	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194 0.285 0.452 0.748 0.216	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021 0.249 0.325 0.747 0.083	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021 0.215 0.159 0.581 0.019	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009 0.107 0.015 0.508 0.021	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055 0.015 0.114 0.239 0.037
HH VV	Target for comparison Azuki Beet Corn Poteto Soy Azuki Beet Corn Poteto	August 9 0.227 0.536 0.367 0.389 0.232 0.405 0.658 0.396 0.524	August 31 0.182 0.558 0.255 0.397 0.120 0.333 0.627 0.251 0.422	September 11 0.322 0.610 0.291 0.297 0.270 0.375 0.657 0.271 0.287	Separability September 22 0.422 0.698 0.205 0.194 0.285 0.452 0.748 0.216 0.203	statistic D October 3 0.330 0.720 0.061 0.021 0.249 0.325 0.747 0.083 0.020	October 14 0.150 0.542 0.005 0.021 0.215 0.159 0.581 0.019 0.014	October 25 0.023 0.476 0.018 0.009 0.107 0.015 0.508 0.021 0.035	November 5 0.073 0.211 0.034 0.055 0.015 0.114 0.239 0.037 0.059

 Table 2. Separability of wheat from the other five crop species in TerraSAR-X data. The underlined characters show that these values are optimal for each species



Fig. 3. Color composite image illustrating different types of backscattering (RGB: VV on June 26, HH on July 7, VV on July 18)



Fig. 4. Histograms of crop types in sigma naught (dB)

Table 3. Accuracy assessment for HH polarization data

Result of	Referen	User's	
discriminant	Wheat	Others	accuracy
Wheat	1,456	118	92.5%
Others	43	3,010	98.6%
Producer's accuracy	97.1%	96.2%	

Overall accuracy: 96.5%

are useful to identify winter wheat fields. Figure 3 shows the color composite image using the period (VV on June 26 for red, HH on July 7 for green and VV on July 18 for blue). In this image, the winter wheat fields are definitively identified because of the low backscattering by diffuse reflection.

Figure 4 presents histograms of the sigma naught values of HH and VV polarization during the period June 26 to July 18. Overlaps are observed between winter wheat fields and

Table 4. Accuracy assessment for VV polarization data

Result of	Referer	User's	
discriminant	Wheat	Others	accuracy
Wheat	1,449	119	92.4%
Others	50	3,009	98.4%
Producer's accuracy	96.7%	96.2%	

Overall accuracy: 96.3%

other crops on June 26 and July 7; the winter wheat field data on July 18 are clearly separated from the others. It was caused by the increase in sigma naught values with growth for soy, azuki and corn, which implies that the separation of the winter wheat fields using a certain threshold value is possible. We employed Otsu's method to determine the threshold values and those obtained by the method were -9.1 and -9.0 dB for HH and VV polarizations, respectively.

R. Sonobe et al.

The accuracy of the identification was confirmed using the reference data as presented above. The results of the accuracy assessments are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The method was found to yield overall accuracies of 96.5 and 96.3% for HH and VV polarizations, respectively.

Conclusions

In this study, TerraSAR-X HH and VV polarization data operated in Stripmap mode were used and the potential of Xband SAR on-board satellite data for mapping winter wheat planted areas was analyzed.

Sigma naught values were collected from 4,627 fields (533 azuki fields, 722 beet fields, 625 corn fields, 947 potato fields, 301 soy fields and 1,499 winter wheat fields), and the separability statistic (D) was calculated. These D values indicate that the sigma naught values acquired during the period June 26 to July 18 are useful to identify winter wheat fields. Furthermore, using histograms, it emerged that the winter wheat field data on July 18 were separated from the other crops using a simple method.

To determine the threshold values, Otsu's method was applied and the identification of winter wheat fields using the threshold values was possible with overall accuracy exceeding 96% for HH and VV polarization data.

Acknowledgements

For the in-situ survey and analysis, we received great assistance from Mr. Yasuhito Kojima, Ms. Shiming Jiang and Mr. Toshinori Soma of Hokkaido University. The authors are thankful to Tokachi Nosai for providing the field data.

References

- Ager, T. P. & Bresnahan, P. C. (2009) Geometric precision in space radar imaging: results from TerraSAR-X. Proc. ASPRS 2009 Annu. Conf., 9-13.
- Chakraborty, M. et al. (2005) Rice crop parameter retrieval using multi-temporal, multi-incidence angle Radarsat SAR data. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, **59**, 310-322.
- Gebhardt, S. et al. (2012) A comparison of TerraSAR-X Quadpol backscattering with RapidEye multispectral vegetation indices over rice fields in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, **33**, 7644-7661.
- Infoterra GmbH: Radiometric calibration of TerraSAR-X data: http://www2.astrium-geo.com/files/pmedia/public/r465_9_ tsxx-itd-tn-0049-radiometric calculations i1.00.pdf.
- Kaufman, Y. J. & Remer, L. A. (1994) Detection of forests using mid-IR reflectance: an application for aerosol studies. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **32**, 672-683.
- McNairn, H. et al. (2009) Integration of optical and Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery for delivering operational annual crop inventories. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, 64, 434-449.
- Miettinen, J. & Liew, S. C (2011) Separability of insular Southeast Asian woody plantation species in the 50 m resolution ALOS PALSAR mosaic product. *Remote Sens. Lett.*, 2, 299-307.
- Otsu, N. (1979) A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, 9, 62-66, 1979.
- Roth, R. et al. (2004) Geocoding of TerraSAR-X data, Proc. Int. 20th ISPRS Congr., 840-844.
- Shao, Y. et al. (2001) Rice monitoring and production estimation using multitemporal RADARSAT. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 76, 310-325.
- Shi, J. et al. (1994) Snow mapping in Alpine regions with Synthetic Aperture Radar. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 32, 152-158.
- Sonobe, R. & Tani, H (2009) Application of the Sahebi model using ALOS/PALSAR and 66.3 cm long surface profile data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, **30**, 6069-6074.