TARC Report

Transmission of Rice Ragged Stunt Disease in Thailand

By TADASHI MORINAKA*, METHIE PUTTA**, DARA CHETTANACHIT**, AMARA PAREJAREARN**, and SOMKID DISTHAPORN**

* Tropical Agriculture Research Center (Yatabe, Ibaraki, 305 Japan) ** Division of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Department of Agriculture, Thailand (Bangkhen, Bangkok 10,900, Thailand)

Introduction

A new rice virus disease was found in Indonesia in 1976.⁶⁾ Symptoms of the diseased rice plants were stunting, twisted and ragged leaves, empty panicles and galls on the outer surface of flag leaves. The disease has been called "kerdil hampa" in Indonesian, and it was transmitted by the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, in a persistent manner.

At about the same time, a new rice disease also occurred in the Philippines in 1977.⁹⁾ The disease was named "ragged stunt" and the symptoms were similar to those of kerdil hampa described by Hibino et al.⁶⁾

At present, kerdil hampa and ragged stunt of rice are thought to be the same disease, and the name "rice ragged stunt (RRS)" is adopted to describe the disease. In addition to the occurrence in Indonesia and the Philippines, the disease has been reported in Thailand,¹⁵ India and Sri Lanka,⁵ Taiwan,^{1,2} China,¹⁷ and Japan.¹⁴ In Thailand, ragged stunt symptoms on rice were observed for the first time in a farmer's field at Chachoengsao Province, 60 km east of Bangkok in 1977.^{3,15}) The disease is called "rok bai ngik" (literally means twisted leaf disease) by Thai plant pathologists, and "rok joo" (literally means short or stunt disease) by Thai farmers. The area affected by rice ragged stunt disease has increased year after year, and the disease has become one of the most important rice diseases in Thailand.

This report deals with the experiments on transmission of the disease conducted at the Department of Agriculture, Thailand, from September, 1978 to April, 1981.

Material and methods

Rice variety Taichung Native 1 was used as a test plant. Colonies of brown planthopper collected from Chainat Province had been maintained in screened cages for 4 years at Division of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Department of Agriculture, Thailand. The 3rd to 4th instar nymphs were given an acquisition access of 1 to 2 days on diseased rice plants and the transmission ability of the insects was tested by serial transmission. In some experiments, the insects were reared for 4 to 10 days after acquisition access on the healthy feeding materials to allow an incu-

^{*} Present address: National Institute of Agricultural Sciences (Yatabe, Ibaraki, 305 Japan) This work was a part of collaborative research project, "Studies on rice and legume virus diseases in the tropics", between Tropical Agriculture Research Center, Japan, and Department of Agriculture, Thailand.

33 14									Day	s aft	er ac	quisit	ion a	ccess						
Insect No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲	•	٠	۲	•		•	•	0	0	•	0		
3	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	•	0	٠	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	٠														
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٠		۲										
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٠	٠	٠	٠	۲	0	0				
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲	0											
23	0	0	\odot	0	0	0	\odot	0												
28	0	0	0	0	0	\odot	۲	•	•	•	۲		•	۲	•	0	•			
29	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0
31	\odot	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	٠	۲	۲	۲	•	۲	•					
34	0	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	•	٠	0	۲	۲								
41	0	0	0	0	0	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲		۲	۲	٠	٠	0	٠	•	٠	٠
42	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	۲	0	۲	٠		0	۲							
44	0	0	0	0	0	\odot	0	0	0	0	0	•								
45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	۲	۲	۲	۲		•	٠	٠
46	0	0	0	0	0	0		•	•											
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲	۲	•	۲	•	•		٠	٠	
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲	•	0	0	•	0	0	0	0				

Table 1. Serial daily transmission of rice ragged stunt virus by Nilaparvata lugens

• Transmitted the disease

○ Failed to transmit the disease

bation period in the insects, before using for inoculation. The inoculated test plants were transplanted to seedling boxes in a screened house. Symptoms of the disease were observed about 4 weeks after inoculation.

In order to check the virus particles in the inoculated rice plants, electron microscopic grids were prepared by the leaf dip method with neutral 2% sodium phosphotungstate as a negative stain. Grids were examined under a Hitachi Model H-300 electron microscope.

Experimental results

1) Retention of the virus in the insect vector

Fourth instar nymphs of N. lugens were fed on a diseased rice plant for 1 day. Each insect was then serially transferred at 1-day intervals on a healthy test plant in a test tube for 20 days. The seedlings inoculated were transplanted to seedling boxes. Fifty indi-

O Insect molted and transmitted the disease

O Insect molted but failed to transmit the disease

viduals were tested in this experiment and 18 insects transmitted the disease. The transmission patterns of the transmitters are shown in Table 1. Incubation periods in the insects ranged from 4 to 17 days with an average of 8 days. The vectors transmitted the virus in a persistent manner. Some active transmitters were able to transmit the virus for 10 continuous days. But some individuals transmitted it intermittently.

2) Acquisition access period

Nymphs of N. lugens were given an acquisition access on a diseased rice plant for 30 min, 1 hr, 3 hr, 6 hr, 1 day, and 2 days in Experiment 1, and 30 min, 1 hr, 2 hr, 4 hr, 8 hr, and 16 hr in Experiment 2. After an acquisition access, the insects were transferred to healthy rice seedlings for a 7-day incubation period. And then, the transmission ability was tested by inoculation to the test plants. As shown in Table 2, the insects acquired the virus by 3 hr of acquisition access, but failed to acquire by a shorter acquisition access period. The rate of transmission increased with longer acquisition access period.

3) Acquisition of the virus by different instar of nymphs and adult of the insect vector

Brown planthopper nymphs at the 1st to 5th instar stages and adults were allowed acquisition access on diseased rice plants for 1 day. After acquisition access, the number of transmitters was determined by daily serial transmission (one insect per plant). As shown in Table 3, the 5th instar nymphs showed the

Table	2.	Effect of acquisition access period
		on the transmission of rice ragged
		stunt virus by Nilaparvata lugens

Acquisition	Infected plants	Percentage of
access period	Inoculated plants	infected plants
30 min	0/16	0
1 hr	0/16	0
3 hr	2/15	13
6 hr	2/18	11
1 day	3/19	16
2 days	4/18	22
control	0/17	0

Inoculation access for 1 day (5 insects/seedling) Result of the Experiment 1.

Table 3. Acquisition of rice ragged stunt virus by nymphs and adult of *Nilaparvata lugens*

Instar		Tı	Percentage	Average			
of nymphs	1	2	3	4	Total	transmission	period (days)
1 st	-	()	7/50	5/50	12/100	12	8
2 nd	4/46	7/50	7/50	4/50	32/196	16	8
3 rd	9/50	12/50	9/50	6/50	36/200	18	8
4 th	18/50	12/50	13/50	8/50	51/200	26	8
5 th	13/50	3 <u>2-</u> 2	12/50	15/50	42/150	28	10
Adult	3/35	10/50	1	4/50	17/135	13	9

Acquisition access: 1 day

Transmission ability was tested by serial transmission (1 insect per plant).

highest percentage of transmitters followed by the 4th instar as the second highest. There was no significant difference in incubation period in the insects at different growth stages of the insects tested.

Inoculation access period for the transmission

Nymphs of N. lugens were given an acquisition access of 1 day and 3 days, in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2, respectively. The vectors were then allowed to feed on healthy seedlings for 7 days, as an incubation period in the insect before inoculation access on the test plants. Results are shown in Table 4. Minimum inoculation period was 1 hr and

Table	4.	Effect of inoculation access period
		on the transmission of rice ragged
		stunt virus by Nilaparvata lugens

Inoc	ulation	Infected plants	Percentage of		
acces	ss period	Inoculated plants	infected plants		
30	min	0/19	0		
1	hr	1/19	5		
3	hr	3/17	18		
6	hr	7/19	37		
1	day	5/20	25		

Acquisition access: 3 days, Incubation: 7 days Inoculation access: 1 insect/plant

Number of	Infected plants	Percentage of		
per plant	Inoculated plants	infected plants		
1	3/20	15		
2	4/20	20		
3	2/15	13		
4	9/19	48		
5	11/18	61		

Table	5.	Effect of	insect	number	on the	e
		transmiss	ion of 1	rice ragge	d stunt	t
		virus				

Acquisition access for 3 days, inoculation for 1 day

the rate of transmission increased with the increasing inoculation period.

5) Effects of the insect number on the transmission

Nymphs of brown planthopper were given an acquisition access of 3 days. After 8 days of incubation on healthy rice plants, the insects were given an inoculation access of 1 day on the test plants. The number of insects per test plant was varied from 1 to 5. As shown in Table 5, the rate of transmission increased with the increasing number of insects per plant.

6) Transmission by leafhoppers and planthoppers

Rice ragged stunt virus transmission ability of Nephotettix virescens, Recilia dorsalis, Sogatella furcifera, and Nilaparvata bakeri was tested. Nymphs of N. virescens and adult of R. dorsalis and S. furcifera were given an acquisition access of 1 day on diseased rice plants. Each insect was then tested for its transmission ability by serial daily transfers on a test plant in a test tube. N. bakeri nymphs were given an acquisition access of 17 hr (overnight) on diseased plants, and they were reared on a host plant, Leersia hexandra, for 7 days as an incubation period. Transmission ability of N. bakeri was then tested by a series of alternate transfers of 16 hr on a rice test plant and 8 hr on L. hexandra. N. bakeri would soon die, if they were fed on rice plant for a long time. As shown in

Table 6, N. virescens, R. dorsalis and S. furcifera did not transmit rice ragged stunt virus but N. bakeri did.

Table 6. Transmission of rice ragged stunt virus by leafhoppers and planthoppers

Insect tested	Transmitted/tested
Nephotettix virescens*	0/69
Recilia dorsalis*	0/64
Sogatella frucifera*	0/98
Nilaparvata bakeri**	4/75

 * Acquisition: 1 day, Inoculation: serial transmission (1 insect per plant)

** Acquisition: 17 hr, Inoculation: alternate transfers of 16 hr on a rice seedling and 8 hr on Leersia hexandra

7) Dual transmission of ragged stunt and grassy stunt viruses

The 4th instar nymphs of *N. lugens* were fed successively on rice plants affected by ragged stunt and on those affected by grassy stunt for 2 days each. Serial transmission test was done 10 days after acquisition of viruses. Each insect was transferred to a new test plant every other day. As shown in Table 7, brown planthopper was able to acquire both ragged stunt and grassy stunt viruses and was able to transmit them at the same time or each one of them separately in

Table 7. Dual transmission of rice ragged stunt and grassy stunt viruses by Nilaparvata lugens

Transmission	Number of insects
Simultaneous transmission	
of RRS and RGS	10
Separate transmission	
of RRS and RGS*	9
RRS	7
RGS	6
No transmission	23

RRS: rice ragged stunt

RGS: rice grassy stunt

* Individual insect transmits each one of RRS and RGS separately in the course of serial transmission test.



Plate 1. Electron micrograph of rice ragged stunt virus in a dip preparation stained with 2% PTA from vein swelling of a rice plant infected with rice ragged stunt disease Bar represents 300 nm.

the course of serial transmission test. Some individuals transmitted only either ragged stunt virus or grassy stunt virus.

8) Electron microscopy

In dip preparations, spherical particles about 60 nm in diameter were observed. They were more abundant in preparations from galls than from other leaf tissues. The electron micrograph of the particles is shown in Plate 1.

Discussion

Rice ragged stunt virus was transmitted by brown planthopper in a persistent manner with a 8-day incubation period on an average. Some individuals transmitted the virus intermittently in the daily transfer to the test plants (Table 1). These data coincide with the result so far reported that the incubation period in the insect ranged from 7.6 to 10.7 days with the peak of around 8 days, and the pattern of transmission was intermittent.^{2,4,6,9,12,16)}

The minimum acquisition access period was 3 hr in the present study, whereas it was 8 hr,⁶⁾ 2 hr,²⁾ and $30 \min^{16)}$ in other reports. These difference might be due to the different origin of insects and/or the experimental conditions.

The minimum inoculation access period was 1 hr in this study and the period was the same in other papers.^{2,6)}

Ghosh and John⁴) reported that nymphs were more efficient than adults in transmitting rice ragged stunt virus. Our data (Table 3) also show that brown planthopper nymphs of the 5th and 4th instar transmitted the virus more efficiently than younger instar nymphs and adults.

The rate of transmission increased with the increasing numbers of insect per plant and the transmission efficiency of a single insect was 15%. Hibino et al.⁶) reported the same tendency and the transmission efficiency by

a single insect was 12%.

We showed that *N. lugens* transmitted rice ragged stunt virus and rice grassy stunt virus at the same time or on some occasions the insect transmitted one of them. This result indicates that the two viruses multiply independently of each other in the brown planthopper.

Some rice plants inoculated by brown planthoppers which had been successively fed on the plants infected with ragged stunt and on those infected with grassy stunt showed symptoms of both diseases. The dual infection indicates the absence of cross protection between ragged stunt and grassy stunt. Absence of cross protection was also reported between ragged stunt and grassy stunt,^{6,9)} ragged stunt and tungro,⁹⁾ ragged stunt and rice bunchy stunt,¹⁶⁾ ragged stunt and rice dwarf,¹⁶⁾ ragged stunt and rice transitory yellowing¹⁶⁾ and ragged stunt and rice yellow dwarf.¹⁶⁾ These phenomena show that ragged stunt virus is not closely related to the other viruses mentioned above.

In this study N. bakeri was shown to be an additional insect vector of rice ragged stunt virus, but N. virescens, R. dorsalis, and S. furcifera did not transmit the virus. Nephotettix cincticeps,^{2,16}) Nephotettix nigropictus,^{2,10}) Laodelphax striatellus,^{2,13,16}) R. dorsalis,^{2,10}) S. furcifera,^{2,10,16}) and Sogatella longifurcifera²) were reported as non-vectors. Iwasaki et al.⁸) reported that both N. bakeri and Nilaparvata muiri transmitted rice grassy stunt. Therefore, N. lugens and N. bakeri are common insect vectors for rice ragged stunt and rice grassy stunt viruses.

Spherical particles about 60 nm in diameter were observed in dip preparations from the diseased leaf samples obtained by transmission tests. According to Hibino et al.,^{6,7)} and Shikata et al.,¹³⁾ particles 55–60 nm or 44– 63 nm in diameter were observed in dip preparations of ragged stunt infected tissues and particles about 65 nm or 50–70 nm in diameter were observed in ultrathin sections, respectively. Our observation coincides with the results of the other reports in particle size of dip preparations. All these results of our transmission tests as well as disease symptoms^{3,15}) were similar to those reported in Indonesia,⁶) the Philippines,⁹) and other countries.^{2,4,16}) Therefore, it can be concluded that "rok bai ngik" in Thailand is the same as "kerdil hampa" in Indonesia and ragged stunt disease in the Philippines.

Summary

Transmission tests of rice ragged stunt virus in Thailand were conducted. Nilaparvata lugens transmitted the disease in a persistent manner and the incubation period in the insect ranged from 4 to 17 days with an average of 8 days. The minimum acquisition access period was 3 hr and the minimum inoculation access period was 1 hr. The 5th and 4th instar nymphs of N. lugens showed higher transmission efficiency than other instar nymphs and adults. Nilaparvata bakeri transmitted the ragged stunt virus but Nephotettix virescens, Recilia dorsalis, and Sogatella furcifera did not. Dual infection of ragged stunt and grassy stunt viruses in rice plant occurred by inoculation through N. lugens which dually acquired the viruses, suggesting the absence of cross protection between ragged stunt virus and grassy stunt, virus. Spherical particles about 60 nm in diameter were observed in the dip preparations from the diseased leaves.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express sincere thanks to Dr. Y. Saito, Institute for Plant Virus Research, Japan, for his critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- Chen, C. C., Chiu, R. J. & Wang, E. S.: Rice ragged stunt—a virus disease new to Taiwan. *Plant Prot. Bull.* (Taiwan, R.O.C.), 21, 447 (1979) [Abstract. In Chinese].
- Chen, C. C. & Chiu, R. J.: Rice ragged stunt and its effect on the growth of rice plant. *Plant Prot. Bull.* (Taiwan, R.O.C.),

23, 67-75 (1981) [In Chinese with English summary].

- Chettanachit, D., Putta, M. & Disthaporn, S.: Rice ragged stunt in Thailand. *IRRN*, 3(4), 14–15 (1978).
- Ghosh, A. & John, V. T.: Rice ragged stunt virus disease in India. *Plant Disease*, 64, 1032-1033 (1980).
- Heinrichs, E. A. & Khush, G. S.: Ragged stunt virus disease in India and Sri Lanka. *IRRN*, 3(2), 13 (1978).
- Hibino, H. et al.: A virus disease of rice (kerdil hampa) transmitted by brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens Stål. in Indonesia. Contr. Centr. Inst. Agr. Bogor, 35, 1-15 (1977).
- Hibino, H., Nasir, S. & Roechan, M.: Reovirus-like particles associated with rice ragged stunt disease rice and insect vectors cells. Ann. Phytopathl. Soc. Jpn., 45, 228– 239 (1979).
- 8) Iwasaki, M., Nakano, M. & Shinkai, A.: Transmission of rice grassy stunt disease by Nilaparvata muiri and N. bakeri. Ann. Phytopathl. Soc. Jpn., 46, 411 (1980) [Abstract. In Japanese].
- Ling, K. C., Tiongco, E. R. & Aguiero, V. M.: Rice ragged stunt, a new virus disease. *Plant Dis. Reptr.*, 62, 701-705 (1978).

- Morinaka, T. et al.: Nilaparvata bakeri transmission of rice ragged stunt virus. IRRN, 6(5), 12-13 (1981).
- Morinaka, T. et al.: Transmission of ragged stunt virus of rice in Thailand. Ann. Phytopathl. Soc. Jpn., 48, 78-79 (1982) [Abstract. In Japanese].
- Senboku, T. et al.: Transmission of rice ragged stunt disease by *Nilaparvata lugens* in Japan. *IRRN*, 3(2), 8 (1978).
- Shikata, E. et al.: Rice ragged stunt virus, a new member of plant reovirus group. Ann. Phytopathl. Soc. Jpn., 45, 436-443 (1979).
- Shinkai, A., Nakano, M. & Iwasaki, M.: Occurrence of rice ragged stunt disease in Kyushu, Japan. Ann. Phytopathl. Soc. Jpn., 46, 411 (1980) [Abstract. In Japanese].
- Weerapat, P. & Pongprasert, S.: Ragged stunt disease in Thailand. *IRRN*, 3(1), 11– 12 (1978).
- 16) Xie, L. H. & Lin, J.Y.: Rice ragged stunt disease, a new record of rice virus disease in China. *Kexue Tongbao*, 25, 961–968 (1980).
- 17) Zhou, L. K. & Ling, K. C.: Rice ragged stunt disease in China. *IRRN*, 4(6), 10 (1979).

(Received for publication, September 24, 1982)